

# Potential use of marinas as nursery grounds by rocky fishes: insights from four Diplodus species in the Mediterranean

M. Bouchoucha, Audrey M. Darnaude, A. Gudefin, R. Neveu, M. Verdoit-Jarraya, P. Boissery, P. Lenfant

## ► To cite this version:

M. Bouchoucha, Audrey M. Darnaude, A. Gudefin, R. Neveu, M. Verdoit-Jarraya, et al.. Potential use of marinas as nursery grounds by rocky fishes: insights from four Diplodus species in the Mediterranean. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 2016, 547, pp.193-209. 10.3354/meps11641. hal-01305085

## HAL Id: hal-01305085 https://univ-perp.hal.science/hal-01305085

Submitted on 10 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Potential use of marinas as nursery grounds by rocky fishes: insights from four *Diplodus* species in the Mediterranean

Bouchoucha Marc <sup>1, 2, 3, 4, \*</sup>, Darnaude A. M. <sup>2</sup>, Gudefin A. <sup>3, 4</sup>, Neveu R. <sup>3, 4</sup>, Verdoit-Jarraya M. <sup>3, 4</sup>, Boissery P. <sup>5</sup>, Lenfant P. <sup>3, 4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ctr Ifremer Mediterranee ZP Bregaillon, Lab Environm Ressources Provence Azur Corse, CS 20330, F-83507 La Seyne Sur Mer, France.

<sup>2</sup> Univ Montpellier, UMR 9190, MARBEC, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, CC093, PI Eugene Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier, France.

<sup>3</sup> Univ Perpignan Via Domitia, Ctr Format & Rech Environm Mediterraneens, UMR 5110, 58 Ave Paul Alduy, F-66860 Perpignan, France.

<sup>4</sup> CNRS, Ctr Format & Rech Environm Mediterraneens, UMR 5110, 58 Ave Paul Alduy, F-66860 Perpignan, France.

<sup>5</sup> Agence Eau Rhone Mediterranee Corse, Delegat Marseille, Immeuble Noailles 62, F-13001 Marseille, France.

\* Corresponding author : Marc Bouchoucha, email address : marc.bouchoucha@ifremer.fr

### Abstract :

The construction of marinas along the shoreline has caused substantial habitat destruction within the sheltered coastal areas previously used as nursery grounds by many fish species. However, although the negative ecological impacts of these constructions have been reported extensively, their potential roles in the functioning of the coastal zone remain largely unknown. Here, we surveyed the juveniles of 4 Diplodus species in 5 marinas located along the French Mediterranean coast to assess whether rocky fishes can successfully settle inside these artificialized coastal areas. Inter-specific differences in the spatiotemporal use of the various artificial habitats provided by marinas were investigated between April and August over 2 consecutive years. We also tested the potential benefit of pegging additional artificial habitats (Biohuts) on docks and pontoons to increase their value as fish nursery grounds. Our results suggest that although variations in marina and artificial habitat preferences exist between species, Diplodus juveniles repeatedly colonize marinas. Their average abundances on added Biohut habitats were twice as high as on nearby bare surfaces. This suggests that increasing the complexity of the vertical structures of marinas can considerably enhance their suitability for juvenile rocky fishes, especially at the youngest stages, when mortality is highest. Therefore, as long as marina water quality is well controlled, ecological engineering within these man-made habitats might reduce the ecological consequences of urban development by providing suitable alternative artificial nursery grounds for rocky fishes.

**Keywords**: Coastal areas, Habitat anthropization, Fish juveniles, Sparids, Habitat preferences, Ecological engineering, Biohut

1 1. Introduction

2 Until recently, most research in urban ecology has focused on terrestrial habitats (e.g., Clergeau et al. 3 2006, Hobbs et al. 2006). However, more than three billion people currently live within 200 km of the 4 sea, and this number is predicted to double by 2025 (Creel 2003). As a result, the destruction of 5 coastal habitats is one of the main threats to marine ecosystems (Lotze et al. 2006, Airoldi & Beck 6 2007, Seaman 2007, Halpern et al. 2008), through its combined effects on biodiversity and ecosystem 7 functioning (Beck et al. 2001, Courrat et al. 2009, Verdiell-Cubedo et al. 2013, Seitz et al. 2014, 8 Sundblad et al. 2014). Although many different types of man-made structures dominate the shoreline, 9 ecological issues relating to the introduction of infrastructures in shallow coastal waters have received 10 little attention so far (Chapman & Blockley 2009, Bulleri & Chapman 2010) and we are just beginning 11 to understand their impact on marine organisms, especially fishes (Duffy-Anderson et al. 2003). 12 Marinas are among the most common man-made infrastructures found on the shoreline. Their 13 construction not only leads to the direct destruction of natural shallow water habitats, but also causes 14 indirect damage through changes to currents and sediment loads that both have a dramatic impact on 15 the composition of benthic communities (Meinesz et al. 1991, Martin et al. 2005) and fish larval 16 dispersal and recruitment (Roberts 1997). Moreover, the industrial and yachting activities associated 17 with marinas result in chronic biological and chemical pollutions of both the sediment and the water 18 column inside and in the vicinity of marinas, which can have long-term consequences on living 19 organisms (Bech 2002, Falandysz et al. 2002, Neira et al. 2011). All these factors lead to 20 modifications of the ecological functions of the zones where marinas are built (Airoldi et al. 2005). 21 However, the new shallow water habitats created within marinas might support new ecological 22 functions. 23 The identification of nursery habitats is particularly important for stock conservation because mortality 24 rates in fish are maximal during the early phases of their life cycles (Macpherson et al. 1997, Jennings 25 & Blanchard 2004). Until now, very few studies have investigated the fish populations associated with marina structures. However, the peripheral breakwaters of marinas are often colonized by marine 26 rocky fishes, at both the juvenile (Ruitton et al. 2000, Pizzolon et al. 2008, Dufour et al. 2009, Pastor 27

28 et al. 2013) and adult stages (Guidetti 2004, Clynick 2006, Pizzolon et al. 2008, Cenci et al. 2011). 29 Fish species richness on these artificial structures is generally equivalent to that of natural zones, and 30 fish abundances are sometimes higher (Perez-Ruzafa et al. 2006). The number of fish species and the 31 abundances of the most common rocky species inside marinas also seem to be similar to those found in natural habitats (Clynick et al 2008). As a result, shallow and protected habitats on the inshore sides 32 of marina breakwaters could provide suitable nursery grounds for some of these fish species (Dufour 33 34 et al. 2009). In theory, fish nursery grounds are defined according to four parameters: they support 35 high abundances of juveniles, sustain faster somatic growth rates, allow higher survival and their 36 populations contribute more to the final adult stock (Beck et al. 2001). At first sight, featureless and 37 steep sloping marina structures, provided for example by docks and floating pontoons, seem unlikely 38 to meet these definition criteria. Nevertheless, high abundances of juvenile rocky fishes have been 39 observed on these artificial structures (Clynick 2008). Although not a definitive test, many authors 40 (e.g., Cheminee et al. 2013, Pastor et al. 2013) have used the abundance criterion alone to identify 41 nursery grounds among juvenile habitats. In this context, we decided to investigate the condition for 42 the potential use of marina vertical structures as nursery grounds by rocky fish species, by surveying 43 small-scale spatiotemporal changes in juvenile abundances for four sparids of the same genus 44 (Diplodus, Raffinesque 1810) within five contrasting marinas located along the French Mediterranean 45 coast (North-West Mediterranean). The main aims of this study were: (1) to see whether certain infra-46 littoral rocky fishes could successfully settle on the artificial vertical structures commonly found 47 inside the marinas, and (2) to investigate how the juveniles of different species make use of the 48 artificial habitats available. However, we also wanted to (3) test the potential benefit of ecological 49 engineering within marinas to increase their value as rocky fish nursery grounds. Indeed, increasing 50 the complexity of vertical structures has been shown to augment biodiversity in artificial aquatic 51 habitats (Browne & Chapman 2014). For rocky fishes, this could also enhance juvenile survival by 52 providing shelter against predators (Bulleri & Chapman 2010). Therefore, increasing the complexity 53 of vertical structures inside marinas could enhance their value as fish nursery grounds, with potentially 54 important consequences in terms of population dynamics.

#### 57 2. Materials and methods

58 2.1. Species studied

59 This study focused on the juveniles of four species of the *Diplodus* genus: the annular seabream D. annularis (Linnaeus, 1758), the sharp snout seabream D. puntazzo (Cetti, 1777), the white seabream 60 D. sargus sargus (Linnaeus, 1758), hereafter D. sargus, and the two-banded seabream D. vulgaris 61 62 (Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1817). These species are common in the Mediterranean and have high ecological and commercial value (Coll et al. 2004, Morales-Nin et al. 2005, Lloret et al. 2008). They 63 64 are highly abundant in both natural and artificial rocky coastal areas (Tortonese 1965) and their juveniles are present in high abundances inside marinas, at least along the internal side of peripheral 65 66 breakwaters (Clynick 2006). Natural settlement patterns are fairly well described for all four species: D. annularis, D. puntazzo and D. sargus all settle in one pulse, in June-July, October-November and 67 68 May-June respectively, while D. vulgaris settles in two pulses, in November-December and in January-February, the first pulse being the more predominant (García-Rubies & Macpherson 1995, 69 70 Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995, Vigliola et al. 1998, Cheminee et al. 2011, Ventura et al. 2014). In 71 Diplodus species, physical habitat characteristics (substrate, depth, level of protection from the 72 prevailing winds, etc.) strongly determine both settlement success and pre-recruitment mortality 73 (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995, MacPherson 1998, Vigliola & Harmelin-Vivien 2001, Cheminee et al. 74 2011, Ventura et al. 2014). Diplodus puntazzo and D. sargus both usually settle in very shallow parts 75 (< 1 m) of small crannies characterized by gentle slopes covered with pebbles or sand. Diplodus 76 vulgaris seems to prefer deeper waters (1-6 m) and can settle on a wider range of substrates (coarse 77 sand, gravel, pebbles or boulders). Finally, settlement in *Diplodus annularis* occurs at even greater 78 depths (> 5 m) but almost exclusively on seagrass beds (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995, Ventura et al. 79 2014). The species is thought to remain sedentary on the same seagrass bed for the entire duration of 80 its juvenile life, while in D. puntazzo, D. vulgaris and D. sargus, substrate specificity disappears 81 progressively during juvenile life, resulting in horizontal then vertical migrations (MacPherson 1998, Vigliola & Harmelin-Vivien 2001, Ventura et al. 2014). Given the differences in settlement dates and
natural habitat preferences between these four species, the investigation of the variation in their
respective abundances and habitat preferences between different types of marina should allow
reaching global conclusions on the conditions of use of these man-made habitats by juvenile rocky
fishes.

87

88 2.2. Study area

89 One hundred and fifty five marinas are listed along the French Mediterranean coast (Pinar Genc & 90 Guler 2012). Our study was carried out within five of them, located at distances ranging from 20 to 91 180 km along the coasts of the Gulf of Lions and the French Riviera, in the towns of Port-Vendres, 92 Port-Barcarès, Cap d'Adge, Mèze and Le Brusc (Fig. 1). These five marinas have all been in operation for over forty years and each harbors more than 200 pleasure craft; however, they have different 93 94 surface areas, depths and surrounding environmental characteristics (Table 1). Port-Vendres marina is 95 the deepest (8 m on average). Surrounded by a rocky coast, it covers a surface of 33 ha. The Cap 96 d'Agde marina was also built on a rocky peninsula but it can harbor up to 3 100 boats (on 53 ha), so it 97 is the most artificialized of the five. Le Brusc marina is the smallest (8 ha), but also the closest to 98 natural conditions. It was constructed on a rocky shore, near one of the last four P. oceanica barrier 99 reefs remaining in the French Mediterranean (Boudouresque et al. 1985). With a surface area of 81 ha, 100 Port-Barcarès marina is very shallow (2 m on average). It was constructed in the channel connecting 101 the Salses-Leucate lagoon to the sea and therefore communicates easily with the two habitats. The 102 Mèze marina is also linked to a lagoon (Thau) but has no direct link with the sea (although it 103 ultimately communicates with it through the three exits of the lagoon). Because these five marinas all 104 have very different topographies and levels of human impact, the study of their respective use by fish 105 juveniles should allow generalizing our results.

106

107 2.3. Surveys

108 Juvenile fish abundances and sizes were monitored along with water temperature (T in  $^{\circ}$ C) in all five 109 marinas, every two weeks for five consecutive months (April - August) in 2013 and in 2014. Due to 110 logistic constraints, this timespan could not be extended to include the settlement dates for D. puntazzo 111 (October-November) and D. vulgaris (November-December) (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995, Vigliola et 112 al. 1998). However, it corresponds to the main period when the juveniles of all four species are found 113 in natural coastal areas (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995, MacPherson 1998, Vigliola et al. 1998). 114 Therefore we expected it to also cover most of the period for their joint presence in the marinas. 115 Two different artificial vertical structures frequently found in marinas were compared in our work: 116 vertical docks and floating pontoons. For each of them, two types of conditions were investigated: the 117 structure (i.e. docks or pontoons) left bare (for control) or equipped by patented multifaceted devices 118 specially designed to increase substrate complexity and facilitate fish post-larval settlement in man-119 made coastal habitats ("Biohut<sup>®</sup>"). The latter were consistently positioned under the surface of the 120 water on both structures and immersed at least one month before the start of the annual surveys to 121 harmonize benthic fauna densities between Biohut and controls. For each marina, three distinct zones 122 containing only docks and three distinct zones containing pontoons were used as replicates. They were 123 consistently separated by at least 20 m and positioned to encompass most of the local variability in 124 physico-chemical conditions.

125 The sizes and locations of the surface areas of docks and pontoons dedicated respectively to Biohut positioning and to control surveys in each zone were chosen to harmonize Biohut surfaces between 126 127 artificial structures and based on the minimum dimensions of the docks and the pontoons across all marinas. As a result, the Dock Biohut (DB) consisted of eight pegged cages measuring  $0.5 \times 0.8 \times$ 128 129 0.25 m grouped along a 5 m long dock section and covering a total vertical surface of 4 m<sup>2</sup>. Each DB 130 cage was composed by two inseparable parts: an empty part and a part filled with oyster shells to 131 maximize the surface for benthic fauna development (Fig. 2.a and 2.c). The Pontoon Biohut (PB) 132 consisted of three cages measuring  $0.5 \times 0.8 \times 0.34$  m suspended under the pontoons by polyurethane ropes to provide a total vertical surface of ca. 4m<sup>2</sup>. Each PB cage was composed of three inseparable 133 134 parts: one part filled with oyster shells surrounded by two empty ones (Fig.2 b and 2d). Each replicate 135dock zone contained one DB and one control, the later consisting in a  $5 \times 0.8$  m (4 m²) vertical surface136of bare dock (hereafter DC for Dock Control). Similarly, each replicate pontoon zone contained one137PB and one control, the later consisting in a vertical surface of 4 m² positioned on the upper part of a138pile used to anchor the pontoon (hereafter PC for Pontoon Control). In all replicate zones (dock or139pontoon), the distance between the Biohut and the control was always of at least 10 m.

140 For each replicate dock and pontoon zone, a submersible data logger (IbCod 22L) was positioned to

141 record water temperature (T in °C) hourly during the five months of the study (April -August) and

surveys of juvenile fish abundances and sizes were scheduled every two weeks on all four artificial

143 habitat types (AH, referring to DB, DC, PB and PC).

144 Abundances for all species were estimated by underwater visual census using stationary point counts, 145 as they are particularly appropriate in restricted areas with homogeneous habitats (Bohnsack & Bannerot 1986, Clynick 2008, La Mesa et al. 2011). To do this, individual fish were always counted 146 147 for three minutes. The surveys were carried out between 10:00 am and 4:00 pm and poor visibility and 148 evident chemical or bacterial pollution conditions were consistently avoided. For each replicate zone, 149 the survey of the docks was designed to include four successive stationary point counts per AH (one per pair of cages for DB and one per m<sup>2</sup> of bare dock surface for DC), so both DB and DC were each 150 surveyed for 12 minutes. Moreover, for each replicate zone, the survey of the pontoons consisted of 151 152 three successive point counts per AH (one point count per cage for PB and one per 1.33 m<sup>2</sup> of pile for PC), so their survey took nine minutes each. As a result, fish counts took 24 minutes in a dock zone, 153 18 minutes in a pontoon zone, and underwater visual censuses of 126 minutes were needed for the 154 complete survey of each marina. 155

During the visual censuses, the juveniles of the four species were discriminated according to the
morphological and size criteria given in Fishbase.com (Froese & Pauly 2014). All the juveniles
identified were counted and their sizes (total length, TL) were estimated to the nearest 5 mm. To
minimize bias in this estimation, fish silhouettes of different sizes were printed on plastic slates and
used *in situ* by the divers (García-Rubies & Macpherson 1995, Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995). When *in*

*situ* identification was difficult, pictures and videos of individuals were taken and interpreted once
back at the laboratory, with the assistance of expert Underwater Visual Census (UVC) divers. The
same two divers worked throughout the sampling period.

164 In order to investigate changes in habitat use within the marinas, the juveniles of all species were also 165 classified *a posteriori* into three successive development stages, according to the size ranges provided 166 by Vigliola & Harmelin-Vivien (2001) for D. sargus, D. vulgaris and D. puntazzo, and by Ventura et al. (2014) for D. annularis (Table S1). Irrespective of the species, the "post-settlement" stage refers to 167 168 the smallest individuals found during the short time-period that immediately follows benthic 169 settlement (Vigliola & Harmelin-Vivien 2001). The "intermediate" stage is longer and includes 170 juveniles of medium sizes that usually colonize all suitable habitats within the nursery ground. Finally, 171 the "pre-dispersal" stage refers to the largest individuals that have acquired adult-like morphology and 172 are ready to leave the nursery ground.

173

174 2.5. Statistical analysis

175 All calculations and statistical analyses were performed using the PRIMER 6 software with the

176 PERMANOVA add-on (Clarke & Warwick 2001) or using the R software (R Core Team 2014) and

177 indicspecies package. The significance level for the tests was consistently set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

178 Preliminary data analyses showed that the sampling year had no significant effect on juvenile

179 Diplodus abundances and produced negative variance component estimates in all models but one (that

180 for *D. sargus*). Therefore, following Fletcher & Underwood (2002), the data for the two consecutive

181 years sampled in this work were consistently analyzed pooled, to reduce the effect of random

182 variability in fish abundances among fish counts for certain AH and species, and thereby give a more

183 robust image of the overall patterns of marina use by juvenile rocky fishes.

184 As the corresponding data were not normally distributed, spatial differences in water temperatures

185 during the period studied (April-August) were investigated using a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test

186 followed by Steel-Dwass-Critchlow-Fligner bilateral pairwise tests. Spatio-temporal variations in fish abundances (in numbers of individuals per count, hereafter ind. count<sup>-1</sup>) were analyzed for all four 187 188 species, separately or grouped, according to the month, the marina and the AH. For this, we performed 189 three-way univariate PERMANOVAs since this method allows the handling of complex, unbalanced 190 and multiple-factors designs, considers interaction among factors and does not assume a normal 191 distribution of errors (Anderson 2001). Fish abundances were log(X+1) transformed prior to the 192 generation of Euclidean distance similarity matrixes, and the factors 'Month' (with five levels: April 193 to August) and 'AH' (with four levels: DB, DC, PB and PC) were treated as fixed, while the 'Marina' 194 factor (with five levels: Port-Vendres, Port-Barcarès, Cap d'Adge, Mèze and Le Brusc) was treated as random. In addition to the main PERMANOVA test, contrasts were used to compare fish abundances 195 196 between DB and DC and between PB and PC (Glasby 1997). P-values were calculated by 9999 197 random permutations of residuals under a reduced model and Type III sum of square (Anderson 2001). 198 For each species, ontogenetic changes in habitat use during juvenile life in marinas were also

199 investigated, by identifying the type(s) of AH(s) preferred at each development stage using the 200 Indicator Value index (IndVal) (Dufrêne & Legendre 1997). This composite index was originally 201 developed to compare populations between sites and link species to communities based on habitat 202 conditions (De Cáceres & Legendre 2009). It combines two estimates of habitat use for each species: 203 specificity (S) and fidelity (F), based respectively on the species' relative abundance and its relative 204 frequency of occurrence among sites or habitat types. Therefore it gives precise and accurate 205 information on species habitat preferences (Legendre & Legendre 1998). In the present study, we applied it to explore differences in AH preferences within the marinas between all the development 206 207 stages of the four species. Therefore development stages (post-settlement, intermediate and predispersal) by species were used instead of species in IndVal calculations per AH, following the 208 209 formula:

 $210 \qquad IndVal_{ijk} = 100 \ x \ S_{ijk} \ x \ F_{ijk}$ 

211 with specificity  $S_{ijk}$  defined by:

212  $S_{ijk} = Abundance_{ijk}/Abundance_{ij}$ .

where Abundance<sub>ijk</sub> is the mean abundance per count of the development stage i of the species j in the AH k and Abundance<sub>ij</sub>. is the sum of the mean abundances per count of the same stage i of the same species j over all AH.

216 and with fidelity  $F_{jk}$  defined by:

 $217 \qquad F_{jk} = N_{ijk}/N_{..k}$ 

where  $N_{ijk}$  is the number of the survey with at least one individual of the development stage i of the species j in the AH k, and  $N_{.k}$  is the total number of surveys for this AH during the all study.

grouped. Differences in IndVal values between AH were tested by 9999 random permutations among
groups (De Cáceres & Legendre 2009).

In order to generalize our results, IndVal was also calculated per development stage for all four species

223

220

224 3. Results

225 Due to unexpected adverse environmental conditions in the marinas (e.g., occasional days with poor 226 underwater visibility, pollution events) or logistic constraints on some of the sampling dates scheduled 227 during the two years of the study, finally only 896 surveys were performed of the 1 200 initially 228 planned. This did not however prevent accurate investigation of fish AH use in the five marinas, since 229 all the sites were fully surveyed at least twice per month over the whole duration of the study when 230 considering the two years pooled. However, pooling data from the two years can bias estimates of 231 variance in some analyses and caution should be taken when interpreting the results. Similarly, 232 logistic constraints in 2013 allowed performing only one stationary count per replicate zone for the controls, so the vertical surfaces investigated for DC and PC in this year covered only 4 m<sup>2</sup> per marina, 233 instead of 12 m<sup>2</sup> in 2014. This should not however affect our conclusions since fish abundances on 234

controls for 2014 were similar (PERMANOVA, p > 0.5484) when using one or all stationary counts, irrespective of the species or the marina.

237 Water temperatures in April-August differed significantly according to the survey site (p < 0.0001,

- Fig. S2), with higher and lower averages in Mèze ( $22.37 \pm 3.77^{\circ}$ C) and in Port-Vendres ( $19.39 \pm$
- 239 3.29°C), respectively, than in the three other marinas (19.76  $\pm$  3.40°C in Port-Barcarès, 20.49.76  $\pm$
- 240 3.16°C in Cap d'Agde and  $20.56 \pm 3.21$ °C in Le Brusc).

241

242 3.1. Juvenile fish abundances in the marinas

243 Over the time period surveyed, the maximum number of *Diplodus* juveniles observed throughout one

point count was 60 individuals (on 15/04/2013 on a DB habitat in the Cap d'Agde marina). However,

single counts with no observation were very frequent (83 %), and occurred irrespective of month or

location. Finally, a total of 1 766 observations of *Diplodus* juveniles were made within the five

247 marinas. However, most of the juveniles counted were D. vulgaris (N= 653) or D. annularis (N= 520),

248 while observations were less frequent for *D. sargus* (N= 388) and *D. puntazzo* (N= 205).

249 Spatiotemporal patterns of marina use varied greatly between species (Table 2, Fig. S1). Significant

250 interactions between the 'Month' and 'Marina' factors in the PERMANOVAs for all four species,

251 whether grouped or not (Table 2), also indicated that the temporal variations in juvenile abundances

252 were not consistent across locations. Although this complicated data interpretation, some general

temporal and spatial trends could still be discerned.

254 Temporal variations in juvenile abundances were significant only for *D. annularis* and *D. sargus* 

(Table 2), with the highest abundances for these species being reached in August (mean:  $0.73 \pm 0.14$ 

ind. count<sup>-1</sup>) and in July (mean:  $0.48 \pm 0.14$  ind. count<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. Temporal trends in the

- abundances of the post-settlement juveniles of the two species allowed identifying their respective
- 258 periods of settlement in the marinas, in June-July for *D. sargus* and in July-August for *D. annularis*
- 259 (Fig. 3). Similarly, a detailed analysis of the temporal variations in abundance for the post-settlement
- and pre-dispersal development stages of *D. puntazzo* and *D. vulgaris* suggested that settlement in the

marinas probably occurred before the sampling period (April-August) for both these species, and
suggested that their departure from the marinas started from July at certain locations (in particular
Port-Vendres, Fig. 3). It is probable that sampling was stopped well before *D. annularis* dispersal
because very few pre-dispersal individuals were observed for this species and most of its juveniles
were still at the intermediate stage in August (Fig. 3).

- 266 Regarding general spatial trends, the number of observations of *Diplodus* juveniles varied
- 267 considerably between marinas, with a total of 646 individuals being counted in Cap d'Agde, 393 in Le
- 268 Brusc, 387 in Port-Vendres, 337 in Port-Barcarès, and only three in Mèze. Accordingly, juvenile
- abundance differed significantly between locations (p < 0.001, Table 2), with a maximum (1.08  $\pm$  0.18
- ind. count<sup>-1</sup>) in Cap d'Agde and a minimum  $(0.04 \pm 0.003 \text{ ind. count}^{-1})$  in the marinas of Mèze and
- 271 Port-Barcarès, while similar intermediate values were obtained for Le Brusc and Port-Vendres
- 272 (respectively of  $0.85 \pm 0.24$ ,  $0.96 \pm 0.15$  and  $0.98 \pm 0.14$  ind. count<sup>-1</sup>, respectively).
- 273 Species composition also depended on location, with spatial variations in juvenile abundance
- 274 concerning all four species (Table 2), but differently (Fig. S1). Indeed, juveniles from all four species
- 275 were observed in Port-Vendres, Port-Barcarès, Cap d'Agde and Le Brusc, while only three individuals
- of *D. vulgaris* were seen in Mèze (one post-settler in April and two pre-dispersal juveniles in June,
- observed on a DC and on a DB, respectively). Over the April-August period, the global average
- abundance of juveniles per count was maximum in Le Brusc for *D. annularis*  $(0.53 \pm 0.14 \text{ ind. count}^{-1})$
- <sup>1</sup>), in Port-Vendres for *D. puntazzo* ( $0.24 \pm 0.05$  ind. count<sup>-1</sup>), in Port-Barcarès for *D. sargus* ( $0.67 \pm$
- 280 0.23 ind. count<sup>-1</sup>) and in Cap d'Agde for *D. vulgaris* (0.55  $\pm$  0.16 ind. count<sup>-1</sup>). This may suggest
- 281 differences in habitat suitability for *Diplodus* juveniles between the five marinas investigated, with
- 282 further variation between the marinas according to species.
- 283 With regards effects of the artificial habitat type, abundances for the four species grouped were on
- average twice higher on the Biohut (mean:  $1.02 \pm 0.12$  ind. count<sup>-1</sup>) than on the nearby bare vertical
- surfaces used as controls (mean:  $0.52 \pm 0.12$  ind. count<sup>-1</sup>.). The significance of the interactions Ma ×
- 286 DB-v-DC (p = 0.012) and Ma × PB-v-DC (p = 0.002) suggested that *Diplodus* abundances were

higher on Biohut than on controls irrespective of the artificial structure considered (dock or pontoon) 287 but also revealed that this effect was strongly dependent on the location. Considering species 288 separately revealed that, on the pontoons, this pattern concerned all four species (Ma  $\times$  PB-v-PC, p <289 0.004). On the docks however, the interaction was significant for *D. annularis* (Ma  $\times$  DB-v-DC, p = 290 291 0.001), approached statistical significance for *D. vulgaris* (p = 0.050), but was not significant for *D*. 292 *puntazzo* (p = 0.364) and *D. sargus* (p = 0.807). As a result, for docks, the month also had a significant effect on the global difference in *Diplodus* spp. abundances between Biohut on controls (Mo × DB-v-293 294 DC, p = 0.004).

295

296 3.2. Changes in habitat use during juvenile life

297 Our results revealed a generalized loss of habitat specificity during juvenile life in marinas in the four 298 fish species investigated (Fig. 4). Indeed, when considering all Diplodus spp. grouped, IndVal values 299 at the post-settlement stage were significantly higher (p < 0.036) for DB and PB than for DC and PC, 300 reflecting a preference for Biohut habitats than for bare structures. At the intermediate stage, although 301 IndVal values were still significantly higher (p < 0.0001) for DB and PB than for PC, the values for 302 DB and DC were no longer statistically different (p = 0.067). Finally, at the pre-dispersal stage, IndVal 303 did not differ significantly between any pair of habitat (p > 0.057), so no AH preference could be 304 detected. This general trend was, however, slightly complicated by differences in AH preference 305 between species, especially at the post-settlement and intermediate stages (Fig. 4). In D. annularis, 306 IndVal values for DB and PB were similar (p > 0.611), irrespective of the development stage, and 307 consistently higher (p < 0.023) than those of DC and PC. This indicated a preference for the Biohut 308 habitats (on both docks and pontoons) in this species throughout its juvenile life within marinas. For 309 the three other species investigated, ontogenetic shifts in habitat use were observed, all resulting in an 310 absence of AH preference at the pre-dispersal stage (p > 0.073). *Diplodus vulgaris* settled essentially 311 on DB, as indicated by the significantly higher (p = 0.0001) IndVal value found for this AH at the 312 post-settlement stage. At the intermediate stage, it enlarged its habitat use to all dock habitats, which

313	resulted in similar IndVal values for DB and DC ( $p = 0.55$ ), both significantly higher ( $p < 0.0003$ ) than
314	those of PB and PC. In <i>D. puntazzo</i> , juveniles showed a preference for PB ( $p < 0.032$ ) at the post-
315	settlement stage, and then gathered preferentially around either of the two types of Biohut provided in
316	the marinas. Thus, at the intermediate stage, the IndVal indexes for DB and PB in this species were
317	significantly higher than those for DC and PC ( $p < 0.014$ ) but did not differ significantly from each
318	other ( $p = 0.059$ ). Finally, post-settlement and intermediate juveniles of <i>D. sargus</i> had similar AH
319	preferences. Their IndVal index for PB was significantly higher than that for DC ( $p < 0.002$ ) but did
320	not differ significantly from those of PC and DB ( $p > 0.162$ ).

321

322

323 4. Discussion

324 To our knowledge, this is the first time that the use of man-made structures inside marinas, such as 325 docks and pontoons found all around the world, by juvenile rocky fishes has been investigated this 326 thoroughly. Juvenile fishes of the Diplodus genus were present on docks and pontoons in several of 327 the marinas we investigated along the French Mediterranean coast. All four species were observed 328 inside the marinas, and at all development stages (i.e. from post-settlement to pre-dispersal). However, 329 even within these highly artificialized ecosystems, inter-specific variations in habitat preferences (mostly associated with the complexity of the vertical substrate available) were evidenced, especially 330 331 at the youngest stages when mortality is highest (Macpherson et al. 1997). These findings have strong implications for the evaluation of the potential suitability of marinas as fish nursery grounds. 332

333

4.1. Conditions for the use of marinas as juvenile fish habitats

335 Juveniles of *Diplodus* spp. have already been reported in high numbers in the peripheral breakwaters

336 of certain Mediterranean marinas (Ruitton 1999, Clynick 2006) but up to now no study has

337 investigated their abundances on the AH present inside them. Our work shows that they are also

338 present at all development stages on both the docks and the pontoons available inside the marinas.
339 This suggests that docks and pontoons (or at least parts of them) have the potential to meet the habitat
340 requirements for the successful settlement and juvenile growth of various *Diplodus* species in the

341

Mediterranean.

342 This result was relatively unexpected, in particular for *D. annularis* for which juveniles were 343 previously thought to be strongly associated with *P. oceanica* beds in the Mediterranean (Gordoa & 344 Moli 1997, Garcia-Charton et al. 2004, Ventura et al. 2014). During the two summer months 345 considered in this work, 520 juveniles of D. annularis were observed in the five marinas tested with 346 high inter-location variability (Fig. S1). 54% and 42% of these observations were made on DB and 347 PB, respectively. Since different protocols were used for the juvenile counts, the abundances measured 348 in this study cannot be compared directly to those observed in natural areas. However, this result 349 suggests that certain parts of marina ecosystems can allow the settlement of *D. annularis* juveniles. 350 Pelagic fish larvae are known to often settle in the first suitable habitat they encounter (Shapiro 1987). 351 Therefore, high abundances of *D. annularis* juveniles in certain marinas could partially result from an 352 absence of suitable natural habitat in their immediate vicinity. This could be the case in Port-Barcarès, 353 since this marina is located on a sandy coast, far from any P. oceanica bed. However, this explanation 354 is not valid for the two marinas where the species was the most abundant (Le Brusc and Cap d'Agde), 355 since they are both located in the neighborhood of one of the largest meadows of P. oceanica remaining along the Mediterranean coast (Boudouresque et al. 1985, Descamp et al. 2011). Therefore, 356 357 our results suggest that plasticity in juvenile habitat requirements is probably higher than previously thought in D. annularis, and is maybe close to that already pointed out in the three other Diplodus 358 species investigated (Guidetti 2004, Martin et al. 2005, Clynick 2006, Pastor et al. 2013). Further 359 research should be conducted to investigate this possibility since an active selection of man-made 360 artificial habitats by *Diplodus* larvae cannot be excluded, given the low levels of waving and the high 361 362 trophic productivity often found in marinas (Planes et al. 1999, Dufour et al. 2009). Marinas could also 363 act as light traps for the larvae at night (Doherty 1987). Whatever the case, plasticity in juvenile 364 habitat requirements apparently allows successful settlement and growth of the juvenile fishes of this

genus within certain marinas, despite the unavoidable pollution (by noise and by chemicals) associated
with these man-made ecosystems (CETMEF 2010). If so, marinas could provide alternative nursery
grounds for rocky fishes, at least in highly urbanized areas of the shoreline.

368 The presence and abundance of *Diplodus* juveniles varied greatly however according to the marina investigated. With only three juveniles (of D. vulgaris) observed during the whole period of our study, 369 370 the marina of Mèze was barely colonized by the juveniles of this genus in 2013-14. The environmental 371 conditions in this marina were apparently particular, since monthly temperatures during the study 372 period were consistently at least 1°C higher in Mèze than in the four other sites investigated (Fig. S2). 373 For many fish species, juvenile abundances strongly depend on water temperature, especially at the 374 post-larval stage (e.g., Henderson & Seaby 1994, Félix-Hackradt et al. 2013). However, the juveniles 375 of at least D. vulgaris, D. annularis and D. puntazzo usually settle successfully in environments with 376 large differences in water temperature (18-29°C), salinities (18-39) and dissolved oxygen levels (2.7-9.6 mg. l<sup>-1</sup>) (Vinagre et al. 2010). Therefore, it is quite unlikely that the main reason for the absence of 377 378 Diplodus juveniles in Mèze lies in its environmental conditions. Another explanation could lie in the 379 fact that this marina is located within the Thau lagoon, which the post-larvae must cross before 380 reaching the marina. Indeed, in the nearby lagoon of Salses-Leucate, in which the salinity and 381 temperature conditions are very close to those observed in Thau (Ifremer 2012), a marked reduction in 382 the abundance of *D. sargus* juveniles has been noted over recent decades (Pastor et al. 2013). This 383 phenomenon was attributed either to the increasing collection of *D. sargus* larvae by the artificial 384 constructions built at the entrance of the lagoon, or to an augmentation of local juvenile mortality rates due to the degradation of environmental conditions in it. All the channels connecting the Thau lagoon 385 to the sea are artificial and the Mèze marina is located at ca. 4 km from the nearest marine entrance. 386 387 Therefore, the hypotheses proposed to explain the decline of juvenile D. sargus in Salses-Leucate (Pastor et al. 2013) could also apply in Thau and explain the lack of juveniles of the Diplodus genus in 388 389 the Mèze marina, which has no direct connection with the sea where the breeding of the four species 390 occurs (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995).

391 Juvenile fish abundances also varied between the four other marinas investigated, with almost twice as 392 many individuals counted in Cap d'Agde as in Le Brusc, Port-Vendres and Port-Barcarès. Several 393 hypotheses can be proposed to explain these spatial differences. For example, as the main direction of 394 local currents and the presence of gyres can influence the dispersion or retention of fish eggs and larvae (Cheminee et al. 2011), pelagic larval inputs for each species may differ between marinas, 395 396 depending on the location of their nearest spawning grounds. Some marinas might also be close to 397 natural nursery areas and indirectly benefit from their attractiveness for the larvae. Another 398 explanation might lie in the differences in mean depth between our marinas as this factor governs 399 Diplodus spp. settlement in natural areas (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995). However, Diplodus 400 abundances in our study showed no significant relation with marina depth. For example, the maximum 401 abundances of D. puntazzo, a species known to naturally settle in very shallow coastal habitats 402 (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995), were observed in the deepest marina (Port-Vendres). Finally, post-403 settlement mortality rates can differ between locations depending on both the physico-chemical 404 conditions and local inter-specific competition for available resources (Planes et al. 1998). Apparently, 405 of all the sites we studied, the vast (53 ha) and shallow (< 3 m) marina of Cap d'Agde is the most 406 favorable for the settlement and growth of *Diplodus* juveniles, despite the fact that, with 58.5 rings. ha <sup>1</sup> on average, it has the highest density of use after the marina of Le Brusc (99.5 rings. ha<sup>-1</sup>). This 407 408 might be due to its location on a primarily rocky shore, or to the fact that it is surrounded by several P. 409 oceanica meadows (Descamp et al. 2011). However, species composition also depended highly on 410 location and maximum juvenile abundances were found in Cap d'Agde for D. vulgaris only. For the 411 three other species, these abundances occurred in other marinas: in Le Brusc for D. annularis, in Port-412 Vendres for D. puntazzo and in Port-Barcarès for D. sargus. Therefore the factors responsible for 413 spatial differences in juvenile abundances are probably multiple and depend on the species. They 414 require investigation to better understand the potential value of marinas as nursery grounds for rocky 415 fishes. However, our results clearly indicate that marinas do not all succeed in providing 416 environmental conditions favorable for the settlement and survival of *Diplodus* juveniles. Thus the 417 location and environmental characteristics of marinas should be considered first when trying to restore 418 the potential nursery function of the littoral ecosystems in which they were built.

420 4.2 Ecological engineering: a tool for improving the nursery potential of marinas

421 Each marina consists in a mosaic of different artificial habitats, each characterized by specific biotic 422 and abiotic features and supporting functionally different life stages or species. Our results showed 423 that increasing their diversity can have a significant impact on the value of marinas as fish nursery 424 grounds. Indeed, post-settlement stage juveniles were essentially found around the added Biohut 425 structures, irrespective of whether they were installed on docks or on pontoons. But this result strongly 426 depends on the marina considered as, due to their location or physico-chemical conditions they 427 provide, some of them do not seem to meet environmental conditions for the successful Diplodus 428 juveniles settlement. Such marinas (for example Mèze) probably cannot function as *Diplodus* nursery

429 grounds and adding Biohut on docks or pontoons do not provide any ecological benefit.

In a natural context, early mortality of Diplodus juveniles is driven both by density-dependent 430 431 processes linked to post-settlement intensity (Doherty 1981, 1991), and by density-independent processes linked to predation and refuge availability (Hixon 1991, Vigliola 1998). Although this has 432 433 not yet been demonstrated, we can reasonably assume that these two types of processes also modulate 434 the abundances of rocky fish juveniles in marinas. For example, differences in abundance between 435 Biohut and controls in our study could be due to a reduction of juvenile mortality on Biohut, since 436 they provide more refuge against predators than the featureless vertical surfaces usually found on 437 docks and pontoons (Ammann 2004, Bulleri & Chapman 2010). In this case, the reason why habitat 438 preference for Biohut in marinas was particularly observable for the youngest stages may lie in the fact 439 that mortality by predation is highest at this period of life (Macpherson et al. 1997). Another 440 explanation could lie in the attraction offered by complex solid structures (in our case the Biohut) for 441 pelagic larvae, a behavior known as thigmotaxis (Ammann 2004). The two hypotheses are not 442 mutually exclusive and, even if the Biohut was originally designed to protect the youngest juveniles 443 from mobile predators, knowing whether they act on fish production by reducing post-settlement 444 mortality or on fish density by attracting fish larvae by thigmotaxis requires further investigation.

445 Interestingly, habitat preference at the post-settlement stage was the least marked in D. sargus for 446 which no significant differences in IndVal were observed between DB, PB and PC. This could be due 447 to the higher plasticity in this species' juvenile habitat requirements (Cheminee et al. 2011, Pastor et 448 al. 2013) or to a limitation in the availability of its most favorable habitats (Harborne et al. 2011). 449 Indeed, when pre-settlement D. sargus arrive in the marinas (in June-July), Biohut habitats are still largely occupied by the post-settlement juveniles of D. puntazzo and D. vulgaris (Fig. S1). This might 450 451 partly explain why they also settle abundantly under the bare pontoons. Therefore, as in natural 452 habitats, high occupation rates of the most optimal habitats could lead to competitive interactions 453 between species within marinas and force the late-breeding ones to settle on sub-optimal habitats.

454 Although our results suggest that ecological engineering in some marinas could increase their value as 455 nursery grounds for rocky fishes, this also implies the preservation or improvement of their water and 456 sediment quality. Indeed, in order to satisfy the definition of nursery area, habitats have to contribute 457 considerably to the adult stock (Beck et al. 2001). Juvenile fish can experience very stressful 458 environmental conditions in marinas (e.g., boat traffic, extensive pollutant loads, dredging, etc.) linked 459 to human activities (CETMEF 2010). Chemical contaminants in particular are known to adversely 460 affect fish physiology, growth, health and behavior, especially at young stages (e.g., Laroche et al. 461 2002, Marchand et al. 2003, Rowe 2003, Kerambrun et al. 2012). As in other organisms, sub-lethal 462 responses to contaminant exposure in fish commonly involve a decrease in feeding activity (Stephens et al. 2000, Saborido-Rey et al. 2007) and a modification in energy allocation, which is preferentially 463 464 used to fight chemical stress rather than for body maintenance and growth (Rowe 2003). This can have marked negative effects on global individual fitness, as fish juveniles with slow growth rates and 465 limited energy storage have lower survival rates and contribute less to the adult stock (Sogard 1997). 466 467 Therefore heavily contaminated marinas probably cannot function as fish nursery grounds. Since between 337 and 646 Diplodus juveniles were observed at all development stages in all but one marina 468 469 (Mèze) tested for two consecutive years, the pollution in these particular locations is probably below 470 critical pollution thresholds. However, our results might overestimate the actual abundances of 471 *Diplodus* juveniles in marinas since the techniques applied in this study (underwater visual census by

472 snorkelers) excluded heavily polluted sites from our investigations. Therefore, the impact of
473 contaminant exposure during juvenile life (high and punctual or limited yet repeated) on the final
474 fitness of fishes will have to be explored before concluding on the real value of marinas as nursery
475 grounds.

476

477 4.3. Consistency in seasonality and changes in habitat use between natural and artificial habitats 478 In the Mediterranean, juvenile presence on nursery grounds is known to occur from May-June to late 479 September in D. sargus, from July to September in D. annularis, from October to May-June in D. 480 puntazzo and from November-December to June-July in D. vulgaris. Therefore, given our period of 481 survey within marinas (April to August), abundance peaks in juveniles linked to recruitment were 482 expected for D. annularis and D. sargus only. Similarly, artificial habitat preferences were estimated solely from the individuals present within the marinas from April to August. As a result, they were 483 484 reliably assessed only for the post-settlement juveniles of *D. annularis* and *D. sargus*, the intermediate juveniles of all four species and the pre-dispersal juveniles of *D. puntazzo*, *D. vulgaris* and *D. sargus*. 485 486 For the other fish groups, preferred habitat estimates should be considered with caution since they 487 were extrapolations based on a reduced number of observations.

488 Temporal abundance patterns for the post-settlement juveniles of D. sargus and D. annularis indicated 489 that, during the two years studied, their juveniles mainly arrived in the marinas in June and in July-490 August, respectively. This timing is consistent with the information gathered so far on their respective 491 settlement periods in the Western Mediterranean, which can both show temporal variation of ca. one 492 month between sites and years (see Ventura et al. 2014 for review). For D. puntazzo and D. vulgaris, 493 post-settlement juveniles were observed only at the beginning of the survey period (from April to June) and were consistently accompanied by older juveniles. This suggests that both species settled in 494 495 the marinas well before the start of the surveys (in April). Thus local adaptations to marina artificial 496 habitats in *Diplodus* spp. apparently do not involve a modification in recruitment dates. It should be 497 noted, however, that the observation of 12 post-settlement juveniles of D. puntazzo in several of the

marinas investigated in April was relatively unexpected since this species settles in November – December on its natural nurseries (Harmelin-Vivien et al. 1995, Vigliola et al. 1998). Although errors  $(\pm 3.5 \text{ mm})$  in the estimation of fish size by visual census cannot be excluded (MacPherson 1998), so part of these *D. puntazzo* individuals could be at the boundary between post-settler and intermediate juvenile classes, they were particularly small (< 30 mm TL). Therefore further research should be conducted to investigate the reasons for their presence in the marinas at this period.

504 In Mediterranean natural coastal areas, ontogenetic shifts in habitat use are well documented for 505 Diplodus species (MacPherson 1998, Vigliola & Harmelin-Vivien 2001, Ventura et al. 2014). In 506 general, morphologic modifications during fish growth in this genus are accompanied by habitat 507 changes, resulting in horizontal then vertical migrations (MacPherson 1998, Vigliola & Harmelin-508 Vivien 2001). In D. annularis however, fidelity to P. oceanica meadows is usually strong throughout 509 juvenile life, except for the larger individuals that can be found on other substrates such as sandy areas 510 (Ventura et al. 2014). This high habitat fidelity was also observed in the marinas, where the species 511 was almost exclusively found in Biohut habitats, irrespective of the juvenile stage. Indeed, during the 512 two years of our survey, D. annularis juveniles were observed only twice on DC and only three times 513 on PC, and at very low abundances in both cases. Behavior in the artificial context of marinas is thus 514 close to natural behavior, the juveniles of the species being highly associated with the most sheltered 515 habitat available. Similarly, changes in habitat preferences during juvenile life for D. sargus, D. 516 vulgaris and D. puntazzo juveniles in marinas mirrored those described in natural areas (MacPherson 517 1998). With increasing body size juvenile fish are less vulnerable to predation (Houde & Hoyt 1987), 518 so their need for shelter decreases. They also diversify their diet toward bigger and more mobile prey, 519 and experience lower inter- or intra-specific competition for food (Ross 1986, MacPherson 1998), 520 driving them to enlarge their territory. Accordingly, in the marinas investigated, the youngest juvenile 521 stages of D. sargus, D. vulgaris and D. puntazzo were essentially associated with the most sheltered 522 (PB and/or DB), whereas the oldest ones were found to occur evenly on all the types of AH 523 investigated. This was not anticipated because the habitats available within the marinas and in natural

environments differ dramatically and fish species generally adapt their behavior to the habitat (Koecket al. 2013).

526

527

528 5. Conclusions

529 By studying the abundances of *Diplodus* spp. juveniles in five marinas located along the French 530 Mediterranean coast, we observed that these man-made littoral ecosystems, designed without 531 considering their potential value as fish habitats, can contain high numbers of juvenile rocky fish 532 which apparently remain there from settlement to dispersal. This had already been observed for other 533 artificial habitats found in the coastal zone, including the breakwaters commonly found at the entrance 534 of marinas. Nevertheless, the docks and pontoons commonly found inside marinas unexpectedly also 535 seem to be suitable for fish settlement and growth, especially if artificial multifaceted devices are added to increase their structural complexity. This has important ecological implications since the 536 537 entire surface of marina ecosystems might participate in the maintenance of rocky fish stocks along 538 anthropized shorelines. However, water and sediment are often polluted in marinas, and the physical 539 damage caused by the replacement of natural substrates with built infrastructures is irreversible. 540 Therefore, even if some ecological functions can be conserved within man-made habitats, some are 541 definitively lost and it seems unrealistic to pursue the hope of restoring marinas to pristine conditions. 542 Public policies should therefore manage and protect natural fish nursery grounds prior to considering 543 the potential improvement of marina quality as such. Whatever the case, the rehabilitation of the 544 nursery function of the zones where marinas have been built cannot rely only on ecological 545 engineering. The immediate and long-term effects of fish exposure to the contaminants found in 546 marinas should also be studied to adequately improve their water and sediment quality. Finally, 547 connectivity between artificial and natural habitats must be evaluated in order to prioritize restoration 548 of the marinas that receive the highest numbers of larvae and contribute most to coastal adult stocks.

### 550 Acknowledgements

551	This work was conducted within the framework of the Nappex Project funded by the 'Agence de l'Eau
552	Rhône Mediterranée et Corse', the 'Conseil Général de l'Herault' and the Ecocean Society in the
553	framework of a call for tenders launched by the Ministry of the Environment and Sustainable
554	Development in 2011.
555	
556	
557	
558	
559	
560	
561	
562	
563	
564	
565	Bibliographical references
566	
567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574	<ul> <li>Airoldi L, Beck MW (2007) Loss, status and trends for coastal marine habitats of Europe. In: Gibson RN, Atkinson RJA, Gordon JDM (eds) Oceanography and Marine Biology, Vol 45, Vol 45. Crc Press-Taylor &amp; Francis Group, Boca Raton, p 345-405</li> <li>Ammann AJ (2004) SMURFs: standard monitoring units for the recruitment of temperate reef fishes. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 299:135-154</li> <li>Anderson JM (2001) Permutation tests for univariate or multivariate analysis of variance and regression. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 58:626-639</li> <li>Bech M (2002) Imposex and tributylin contamination as a consequence of the establishment of a</li> </ul>
575 576	marina, and increasing yachting activities at Phuket Island, Thailand. Environmental Pollution 117:421-429

- Beck MW, Heck KL, Able KW, Childers DL, Eggleston DB, Gillanders BM, Halpern B, Hays CG,
  Hoshino K, Minello TJ, Orth RJ, Sheridan PF, Weinstein MR (2001) The identification,
  conservation, and management of estuarine and marine nurseries for fish and invertebrates.
  Bioscience 51:633-641
- Bohnsack J, Bannerot SP (1986) A stationary visual census technique for quantitatively assessing
   community structure of coral reef fishes, Vol Technical Report 41. NOAA
- Boudouresque CF, Meinesz A, Lefevre JR (1985) Mapping the marine benthic communities in
   Corsica. The *Posidonia oceanica* reef of Saint-Florent. Annales de l'Institut Oceanographique
   61:27-38
- Browne MA, Chapman MG (2014) Mitigating against the loss of species by adding artificial intertidal
   pools to existing seawalls. Marine Ecology Progress Series 497:119-129
- Bulleri F, Chapman MG (2010) The introduction of coastal infrastructure as a driver of change in
   marine environments. Journal of Applied Ecology 47:26-35
- Cenci E, Pizzolon M, Chimento N, Mazzoldi C (2011) The influence of a new artificial structure on
   fish assemblages of adjacent hard substrata. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 91:133-149
- 592 CETMEF L (2010) Bilan national du RÉPOM-Réseau national de surveillance de la qualité des eaux
   593 et des sédiments des ports maritimes. 90 p
- Chapman MG, Blockley DJ (2009) Engineering novel habitats on urban infrastructure to increase
   intertidal biodiversity. Oecologia 161:625-635
- Cheminee A, Francour P, Harmelin-Vivien M (2011) Assessment of Diplodus spp. (Sparidae) nursery
   grounds along the rocky shore of Marseilles (France, NW Mediterranean). Scientia Marina
   75:181-188
- Cheminee A, Sala E, Pastor J, Bodilis P, Thiriet P, Mangialajo L, Cottalorda J-M, Francour P (2013)
   Nursery value of Cystoseira forests for Mediterranean rocky reef fishes. Journal of
   Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 442:70-79
- 602 Clarke KR, Warwick RM (2001) Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis
   603 and interpretation. PRIMER-E Limited Plymouth, UK
- 604 Clergeau P, Croci S, Jokimäki J, Kaisanlahti-Jokimäki M-L, Dinetti M (2006) Avifauna
   605 homogenisation by urbanisation: Analysis at different European latitudes. Biological
   606 Conservation 127:336-344
- 607 Clynick BG (2006) Assemblages of fish associated with coastal marinas in north-western Italy.
   608 Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 86:847-852
- 609 Clynick BG (2008) Characteristics of an urban fish assemblage: Distribution of fish associated with
   610 coastal marinas. Marine Environmental Research 65:18-33
- Coll J, Linde M, García-Rubies A, Riera F, Grau AM (2004) Spear fishing in the Balearic Islands
   (west central Mediterranean): species affected and catch evolution during the period 1975–
   2001. Fisheries Research 70:97-111
- Courrat A, Lobry J, Nicolas D, Laffargue P, Amara R, Lepage M, Girardin M, Le Pape O (2009)
   Anthropogenic disturbance on nursery function of estuarine areas for marine species.
   Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 81:179-190
- 617 Creel L (2003) Ripple effects: Population and coastal regions, Vol. Population Reference Bureau
   618 Washington, DC
- 619 De Cáceres M, Legendre P (2009) Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and
   620 statistical inference. Ecology 90:3566-3574
- Descamp P, Holon F, Ballesta L, Guilbert A, Guillot M, Boissery P, Raimondino V, Deter J (2011)
   Fast and easy method for seagrass monitoring: Application of acoustic telemetry to precision
   mapping of Posidonia oceanica beds. Marine Pollution Bulletin 62:284-292
- Doherty PJ (1987) Light-traps: selective but useful devices for quantifying the distributions and
   abundances of larval fishes. Bulletin of Marine Science 41:423-431
- Doherty PP (1981) Coral reef fishes: recruitment-limited assemblages? The Reef and Man.
   Proceedings of the 4th International Coral Reef Symposium, Manila Philippines, 18-22 May
   1981-pages: 2: 465-470. Marine Sciences Center, University of the Philippines
- Doherty PP (1991) Spatial and temporal patterns in recruitment Ecology of coral reef fishes.
   Academic Press, p 261-293

- Duffy-Anderson JT, Manderson JP, Able KW (2003) A characterization of juvenile fish assemblages
   around man-made structures in the New York New Jersey Harbor Estuary, USA. Bulletin of
   Marine Science 72:877-889
- Dufour V, Cantou M, Lecomte F (2009) Identification of sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) nursery areas
   in the north-western Mediterranean Sea. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the
   United Kingdom 89:1367-1374
- 637 Dufrêne M, Legendre P (1997) Species assemblage and indicator species: the need for a flexible
   638 asymetrical approach. Ecological Monographs 67:345-366
- Falandysz J, Brzostowski A, Szpunar J, Rodriguez-Pereiro I (2002) Butyltins in sediments and threespined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculleatus) from the marinas of the Gulf of Gdansk, Baltic
  Sea. Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part a-Toxic/Hazardous Substances &
  Environmental Engineering 37:353-363
- Félix-Hackradt FC, Hackradt CW, Treviño-Otón J, Segovia-Viadero M, Pérez-Ruzafa A, García Charton JA (2013) Environmental determinants on fish post-larval distribution in coastal areas
   of south-western Mediterranean Sea. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 129:59-72
- Fletcher DJ, Underwood AJ (2002) How to cope with negative estimates of components of variance in
   ecological field studies. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 273:89-95
- 648 Froese R, Pauly D (2014) Fishbase. Available: <u>www.fishbase.org</u>
- 649 Garcia-Charton JA, Perez-Ruzafa A, Saanchez-Jerez P, Bayle-Sempere JT, Renones O, Moreno D
   650 (2004) Multi-scale spatial heterogeneity, habitat structure, and the effect of marine reserves on
   651 Western Mediterranean rocky reef fish assemblages. Marine Biology 144:161-182
- García-Rubies A, Macpherson E (1995) Substrate use and temporal pattern of recruitment in juvenile
   fishes of the Mediterranean littoral. Marine Biology 124:35-42
- Glasby T (1997) Analysing data from post-impact studies using asymmetrical analyses of variance: A
   case study of epibiota on marinas. Australian Journal of Ecology 22:448-459
- Gordoa A, Moli B (1997) Age and growth of the sparids *Diplodus vulgaris*, *D. sargus* and *D. annularis* in adult populations and the differences in their juvenile growth patterns in the north-western Mediterranean Sea. Fisheries Research 33:123-129
- Guidetti P (2004) Fish assemblages associated with coastal defence structures in south-western Italy
   (Mediterranean Sea). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom
   84:669-670
- Halpern BS, Walbridge S, Selkoe KA, Kappel CV, Micheli F, D'Agrosa C, Bruno JF, Casey KS, Ebert
  C, Fox HE, Fujita R, Heinemann D, Lenihan HS, Madin EMP, Perry MT, Selig ER, Spalding
  M, Steneck R, Watson R (2008) A global map of human impact on marine ecosystems.
  Science 319:948-952
- Harborne AR, Mumby PJ, Kennedy EV, Ferrari R (2011) Biotic and multi-scale abiotic controls of
   habitat quality: their effect on coral-reef fishes. Marine Ecology Progress Series 437:201-214
- Harmelin-Vivien ML, Harmelin JG, Leboulleux V (1995) Microhabitat requirements for settlement of
   juvenile sparid fishes on Mediterranean rocky shores. Hydrobiologia 300-301:309-320
- Henderson PA, Seaby RMH (1994) On the factors influencing juvenile flatfish abundance in the lower
   Severn Estuary, England. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 32:321-330
- Hixon MA (1991) Predation as a process structuring coral reef fish communities. In: Sale P (ed) The
   Ecology of Fishes on Coral Reefs. Academic press, p 475-508
- Hobbs RJ, Arico S, Aronson J, Baron JS, Bridgewater P, Cramer VA, Epstein PR, Ewel JJ, Klink CA,
  Lugo AE, Norton D, Ojima D, Richardson DM, Sanderson EW, Valladares F, Vilà M, Zamora
  R, Zobel M (2006) Novel ecosystems: theoretical and management aspects of the new
  ecological world order. Global Ecology and Biogeography 15:1-7
- Houde E, Hoyt R (1987) Fish early life dynamics and recruitment variability. American Fisheries
   Society Symosium Series 2:17-29
- 680 Ifremer (2012) Réseau de Suivi Lagunaire du Languedoc-Roussillon. Bilan des résultats 2011. .
   681 Report No. 12/2012
- Jennings S, Blanchard JL (2004) Fish abundance with no fishing: predictions based on
   macroecological theory. Journal of Animal Ecology 73:632-642

- Kerambrun E, Henry F, Courcot L, Gevaert F, Amara R (2012) Biological responses of caged juvenile
   sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and turbot (*Scophtalmus maximus*) in a polluted harbour.
   Ecological Indicators 19:161-171
- Koeck B, Alos J, Caro A, Neveu R, Crec'hriou R, Saragoni G, Lenfant P (2013) Contrasting fish
   behavior in artificial seascapes with implications for resources conservation. PLoS ONE 8
- La Mesa G, Molinari A, Gambaccini S, Tunesi L (2011) Spatial pattern of coastal fish assemblages in
   different habitats in North-western Mediterranean. Marine Ecology 32:104-114
- Laroche J, Quiniou L, Juhel G, Auffret M, Moraga D (2002) Genetic and physiological responses of
   flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) populations to chemical contamination in estuaries.
   Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 21:2705-2712
- Legendre P, Legendre L (1998) Numerical Ecology, Volume 24, Developments in Environmental
   Modelling, Vol. Elsevier Science
- Lloret J, Zaragoza N, Caballero D, Font T, Casadevall M, Riera V (2008) Spearfishing pressure on
   fish communities in rocky coastal habitats in a Mediterranean marine protected area. Fisheries
   Research 94:84-91
- Lotze HK, Lenihan HS, Bourque BJ, Bradbury RH, Cooke RG, Kay MC, Kidwell SM, Kirby MX,
   Peterson CH, Jackson JBC (2006) Depletion, degradation, and recovery potential of estuaries
   and coastal seas. Science 312:1806-1809
- MacPherson E (1998) Ontogenetic shifts in habitat use and aggregation in juvenile sparid fishes.
   Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 220:127-150
- Macpherson E, Biagi F, Francour P, Garcia-Rubies A, Harmelin J, Harmelin-Vivien M, Jouvenel JY,
   Planes S, Vigliola L, Tunesi L (1997) Mortality of juvenile fishes of the genus Diplodus in
   protected and unprotected areas in the western Mediterranean Sea. Marine Ecology Progress
   Series 160:135-147
- Marchand J, Tanguy A, Laroche J, Quiniou L, Moraga D (2003) Responses of European flounder
   *Platichthys flesus* populations to contamination in different estuaries along the Atlantic coast
   of France. Marine Ecology Progress series 260:273-284
- Martin D, Bertasi F, Colangelo MA, de Vries M, Frost M, Hawkins SJ, Macpherson E, Moschella PS,
   Satta MP, Thompson RC, Ceccherelli VU (2005) Ecological impact of coastal defence
   structures on sediment and mobile fauna: Evaluating and forecasting consequences of
   unavoidable modifications of native habitats. Coastal Engineering 52:1027-1051
- 715 MEDAM (2015) <u>www.medam.org</u> (assessed 04 April 2015)
- Meinesz A, Lefevre JR, Astier JM (1991) Impact of coastal development on the infralittoral zone
   along the southeastern Mediterranean shore of continental France. Marine Pollution Bulletin
   23:343-347
- Morales-Nin B, Moranta J, García C, Tugores MP, Grau AM, Riera F, Cerdà M (2005) The
   recreational fishery off Majorca Island (western Mediterranean): some implications for coastal
   resource management. ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil 62:727-739
- Neira C, Mendoza G, Levin LA, Zirino A, Delgadillo-Hinojosa F, Porrachia M, Deheyn DD (2011)
   Macrobenthic community response to copper in Shelter Island Yacht Basin, San Diego Bay,
   California. Marine Pollution Bulletin 62:701-717
- Pastor J, Koeck B, Astruch P, Lenfant P (2013) Coastal man-made habitats: Potential nurseries for an
   exploited fish species, *Diplodus sargus* (Linnaeus, 1758). Fisheries Research 148:74-80
- Perez-Ruzafa A, Grarcia-Charton JA, Barcala E, Marcos C (2006) Changes in benthic fish
   assemblages as a consequence of coastal works in a coastal lagoon: The Mar Menor (Spain,
   Western Mediterranean). Marine Pollution Bulletin 53:107-120
- Pinar Genc E, Guler N (2012) Assessment of marinas in the Mediterranean and the position of Turkey.
   PROMET-Traffic&Transportation 18:207-213
- Pizzolon M, Cenci E, Mazzoldi C (2008) The onset of fish colonization in a coastal defence structure
   (Chioggia, Northern Adriatic Sea). Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 78:166-178
- Planes S, Jouvenel JY, Lenfant P (1998) Density dependence in post-recruitment processes of juvenile
   sparids in the littoral of the Mediterranean Sea. Oikos 83:293-300
- Planes S, Macpherson E, Biagi F, Garcia-Rubies A, Harmelin J, Harmelin-Vivien M, Jouvenel JY,
   Tunesi L, Vigliola L, Galzin R (1999) Spatio-temporal variability in growth of juvenile sparid

738	fishes from the Mediterranean littoral zone. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of
739	the United Kingdom 79:137-143
740	R Core Team (2014) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for
741	Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
742	Roberts CM (1997) Connectivity and management of Caribbean coral reefs. Science 278:1454-1457
743	Ross ST (1986) Resource Partitioning in Fish Assemblages: A Review of Field Studies. Copeia
744	1986:352-388
745	Rowe CL (2003) Growth responses of an estuarine fish exposed to mixed trace elements in sediments
746	over a full life cycle. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 54:229-239
747	Ruitton S (1999) Les communautés benthiques et nectobenthiques associées aux aménagements
748	littoraux en Méditerranée nord-occidentale. Structure et fonctionnement., Université d'Aix-
749	Marseille II
750	Ruitton S, Francour P, Boudouresque CF (2000) Relationships between algae, benthic herbivorous
751	invertebrates and fishes in rocky sublittoral communities of a temperate sea (Mediterranean).
752	Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 50:217-230
753	Saborido-Rev F. Dominguez-Petit R. Tomas J. Morales-Nin B. Alonso-Fernandez A (2007) Growth of
754	iuvenile turbot in response to food pellets contaminated by fuel oil from the tanker 'Prestige'.
755	Marine Ecology Progress Series 345:271-279
756	Seaman W (2007) Artificial habitats and the restoration of degraded marine ecosystems and fisheries
757	Hydrobiologia 580:143-155
758	Seitz RD Wennhage H Bergström U Lincius RN Ysehaert T (2014) Ecological value of coastal
759	habitats for commercially and ecologically important species ICES Journal of Marine
760	Science: Journal du Conseil 71:648-665
761	Shapiro DY (1987) Inferring larval recruitment strategies from the distribution ecology of settled
762	individuals of coral-reef fish Bulletin of Marine Science 41.289-295
763	Sogard SM (1997) Size-selective mortality in the invenile stage of teleost fishes: A review Bulletin of
764	Marine Science 60:1129-1157
765	Stephens SM Frankling SC Stagg RM Brown IA (2000) Sub-lethal effects of exposure of juvenile
766	turbot to oil produced water Marine Pollution Bulletin 40.928-937
767	Sundblad G Bergstrom U Sandstrom A Eklov P (2014) Nursery habitat availability limits adult stock
768	sizes of predatory coastal fish ICFS Journal of Marine Science 71:672-680
769	Tortonese E (1965) Biologie comparée de trois espèces méditerranéennes de Diplodus (Pisces
770	Sparidae) Rapport Commission International pour l'Exploration Scientifique de la Mer
771	Méditérranée 189-197
772	Ventura D. Jona Lasinio G. Ardizzone G (2014) Temporal partitioning of microhabitat use among four
773	iuvenile fish species of the genus Diplodus (Pisces: Perciformes Sparidae) Marine
774	Fcology 1-20
775	Verdiell-Cubedo D. Oliva-Paterna FI. Ruiz-Navarro A. Torralva M (2013) Assessing the nursery role
776	for marine fish species in a hypersaline coastal lagoon (Mar Menor, Mediterranean Sea)
770	Marine Biology Research 9:739-748
778	Vigliola I (1998) Contrôle et régulation du recrutement des Sparidae (Poissons Téléostéens) en
770	Méditerranée : importance des processus pré- et post-installation benthique. Université Aiv-
780	Methoritatice : importance des processus pre- et post-instanation benunque. Oniversite Aix-
781	Vigliola I. Harmelin Vivian M (2001) Post settlement ontogenv in three Mediterranean reaf fish
782	species of the genus Diplodus. Bulletin of Marine Science 68:271-286
782	Vigliola I. Harmelin Vivion MI. Biogi E. Calzin P. Cargia Publics A. Harmelin IC. Jouwanal IV. La
787	Dirach Boursier L. Machberson F. Tunaci I. (1008) Spatial and temporal patterns of
785	sottlement among sparid fishes of the gapus Diplodus in the porthwestern Moditerraneen
786	Marina Ecology Programs Spring 168:45-56
787	Vinagra C. Cabral HN. Costa MI (2010) Polativa importance of actuaring nurseries for angeies of the
101 700	anus Diplodus (Sparidae) along the Dertuguese coast. Estuarine Coastal and Shalf Science
700 780	genus Diplotus (Spantae) along the Foltuguese coast. Estuarme Coastal and Shell Science
107 700	00.177-202
790	
171	

792 Table 1: Characteristics of the five marinas studied in this work. PV = Port-Vendres, BA = Port-

-	Marina	Construction date	Surface area (ha)	Number of rings	Average depth (m)	Connection(s)	Coast type
	PV	1853	33	253	8	Sea	Rocky
	BA	1963	81	950	2	Sea and Salses- Leucate lagoon	Sandy
	CA	1969	53	3 100	3	Sea	Rocky
	ME	6 <sup>th</sup> century	18	200	2	Thau lagoon	Sandy
	BR	1960	8	796	4	Sea	Rocky
794							
795							
796							
797							
798							
799							
800							
801							
802							

Barcarès, CA = Cap d'Agde, ME = Mèze, BR = Le Brusc (Sources MEDAM 2015).

808	Table 2: Results of the univariate three-way PERMANOVAs performed to compare juvenile fish
809	abundances (ind. count <sup>-1</sup> ) between months (April to August), marinas (Port-Vendres, Port-Barcarès,
810	Cap d'Agde, Mèze and Le Brusc) and artificial habitat type (DB, DC, PB and PC). Contrasts were
811	used to compare juvenile fish abundances between DB vs DC and PB vs PC. Significant p values (<
812	0.05) are indicated in bold. A star (*)in the 'Source' column to indicates the results of contrast tests.
813	
814	
815	
816	
817	
818	
819	
820	
821	
822	
823	
824	
825	
826	
827	
828	

Source	df	MS	Pseudo-F	P(perm)
Diplodus spp.		4 2225	1.0000	0.4740
Month (Mo)	4	1.3285	1.8282	0.1/18
Marina (Ma)	4	6.2927	23.643	0.0001
Artificial Habitat Type	3	3.4027	4.0546	0.0309
*DB-v-DC	1	2.6958	2.8197	0.1742
*PB-v-PC	1	7.3272	7.4131	0.0619
Mo x Ma	16	0.737	2.769	0.0005
Mo x AH	12	0.6153	1.7594	0.0881
*Mo x DB-v-DC	4	0.74754	6.2743	0.0036
*Mo x PB-v-PC	4	0.27934	0.88306	0.4933
Ma x AH	12	0.84719	3.183	0.0004
*Ma x DB-v-DC	4	0.96961	3.2608	0.0117
*Ma x PB-v-PC	4	0.99439	4.2266	0.0022
Mo x Ma x AH	48	0.3522	1.3233	0.0771
*Mo x Ma x DB-v-DC	16	0.11284	0.37948	0.9883
*Mo x Ma x PB-v-PC	16	0.31847	1.3536	0.1618
Residuals	796	0.26616		
Total	895			
D. annularis				
Month (Mo)	4	2.9147	5.4272	0.0052
Marina (Ma)	4	1.2478	20.884	0.0001
Artificial Habitat Type	3	1.0537	3.4146	0.0506
(AH)				
*DB-v-DC	1	1.5682	6.1272	0.0778
*PB-v-PC	1	1.5927	2.7135	0.1796
Mo x Ma	16	0.54779	9.1682	0.0001
Mo x AH	12	0.5233	3.3548	0.0011
*Mo x DB-v-DC	4	0.79985	5.4357	0.0053
*Mo x PB-v-PC	4	0.76441	3.4679	0.0286
Ma x AH	12	0.31205	5.2228	0.0001
*Ma x DB-v-DC	4	0.26008	4.7304	0.0011
*Ma x PB-v-PC	4	0.59113	9.1692	0.0001
Mo x Ma x AH	48	0.15884	2.6584	0.0002
*Mo x Ma x DB-v-DC	16	0.15041	2.7356	0.001
*Mo x Ma x PB-v-PC	16	0.22453	3.4827	0.0004
Residuals	796	5.9749E-2		
Total	895			

Source	df	MS	Pseudo-F	P(perm)
Davatarra				
D. puntazzo Month (Mo)	4	0 11020	1 7027	0.105
Morino (Mo)	4	0.11838	1.7037	0.185
Marina (Ma)	4	0.58042	17.379	0.1267
$(\Delta H)$	3	0.40819	2.1155	0.1367
*DB-v-DC	1	0.13055	5.1787	0.0947
*PB-v-PC	1	0.80851	1.9883	0.2246
Mo x Ma	16	7.0293E-2	2.1048	0.0084
Mo x AH	12	5.5525E-2	2.0167	0.0413
*Mo x DB-v-DC	4	2.2988E-2	2.6866	0.0726
*Mo x PB-v-PC	4	0.12138	2.6845	0.0708
Ma x AH	12	0.19517	5.8438	0.0001
*Ma x DB-v-DC	4	2.5248E-2	1.0828	0.3637
*Ma x PB-v-PC	4	0.40952	9.4409	0.0001
Mo x Ma x AH	48	2.7359E-2	0.8192	0.7967
*Mo x Ma x DB-v-DC	16	8.0345E-3	0.34458	0.99
*Mo x Ma x PB-v-PC	16	4.5265E-2	1.0435	0.4057
Residuals	796	3.3397E-2		
Total	895			
D. sargus				
Month (Mo)	4	1.3037	4.225	0.0156
Marina (Ma)	4	0.7363	9.4466	0.0001
Artificial Habitat Type (AH)	3	0.69136	1.5925	0.2432
*DB-v-DC	1	6.022E-2	4.8709	0.0998
*PB-v-PC	1	0.29581	0.60032	0.4789
Mo x Ma	16	0.31376	4.0256	0.0002
Mo x AH	12	0.17219	1.1333	0.354
*Mo x DB-v-DC	4	1.056E-2	0.33165	0.8579
*Mo x PB-v-PC	4	0.14321	1.0896	0.3924
Ma x AH	12	0.4391	5.6336	0.0001
*Ma x DB-v-DC	4	1.2007E-2	0.40495	0.8068
*Ma x PB-v-PC	4	0.49567	3.9417	0.0042
Mo x Ma x AH	48	0.15413	1.9775	0.0007
*Mo x Ma x DB-v-DC	16	3.1919E-2	1.0765	0.3708
*Mo x Ma x PB-v-PC	16	0.13158	1.0463	0.4124
Residuals	796	7.7943E-2		
Total	895			

	df	MS	Pseudo-F	P(perm)
D. vulgaris				
Month (Mo)	4	0.56464	1.9424	0.1555
Marina (Ma)	4	1.4537	12.35	0.0001
Artificial Habitat Type	3	1.9162	3.709	0.0433
*DB-v-DC	1	1.5172E-3	3.0143E-3	0.9144
*PB-v-PC	1	1.3173E-3	0.0069712	0.9741
Mo x Ma	16	0.29459	2.5029	0.0017
Mo x AH	12	0.26063	1.4489	0.1845
*Mo x DB-v-DC	4	8.1717E-2	0.78894	0.5441
*Mo x PB-v-PC	4	6.4966E-2	1.2575	0.3328
Ma x AH	12	0.5222	4.4367	0.0001
*Ma x DB-v-DC	4	0.50927	2.3585	0.0509
*Ma x PB-v-PC	4	0.1903	9.3036	0.0001
Mo x Ma x AH	48	0.18172	1.5439	0.0149
*Mo x Ma x DB-v-DC	16	9.9605E-2	0.46128	0.9627
*Mo x Ma x PB-v-PC	16	5.2481E-2	2.5657	0.0028
Residuals	796	0.1177		
Total	895			





Fig 2. (a) Dock Biohut<sup>®</sup> steel cage including (1) a part filled with oyster shells (0.5 x 0.8 m, 2.5 cm
mesh size) and (2) an empty part (0.5 x 0.8 m, 5 cm mesh size). (b) Pontoon Biohut<sup>®</sup> steel cage
including (1) a part filled with oyster shells (0.5 x 0.8 m, 2.5 cm of mesh size) and (2) two empty parts
(0.5 x 0.8 m, 5 cm of mesh size). (c) Dock Biohut sampling unit (DB) corresponding to eight adjacent
cages pegged on 5m of dock, (d) Pontoon Biohut (PB) cage fixed under a floating pontoon with
polyurethane ropes.



869 Fig. 3: Average monthly abundances of juveniles (expressed in ind. count<sup>-1</sup>) at the post-settlement,

870 intermediate and pre-dispersal stages observed for the four *Diplodus* species investigated. Results for

- 871 all locations, artificial structures and artificial habitats are pooled. Error-bars represent standard errors
- around the means.



Fig. 4: Indicator Value indexes (Dufrêne & Legendre 1997) per habitat type obtained for all three
development stages (post-settlement, intermediate and pre-dispersal) in the four *Diplodus* species
considered grouped or separately. For each development stage, artificial habitats presenting
differences in their IndVal indexes are given different letters.



895

896 Supplementary material

897



- 899 sargus (C) and D. vulgaris (D) observed per month, marina (Port-Vendres -PV-, Port-Barcarès -BA-,
- 900 Cap d'Agde -CA- and Le Brusc -BR-) and habitat type (DB, DC, PB and PC). Results for Mèze are
- 901 not represented since a total of only 3 individuals were observed in this marina. Colors correspond to
- 902 the three development stages chosen in this study: post-settlement in light grey, intermediate in dark
- 903 grey and pre-dispersal in black. Error-bars represent the standard error around the mean abundance
- 904 found when all development stages were combined.



907 (A): D. annularis





910 (B): *D. puntazzo* 



912 (C): *D. sargus* 



915 (D): *D. vulgaris* 

Fig. S2: Evolution of monthly average temperatures in the five marinas studied during the samplingperiod (April-August).



- Table S1: Definition of development stages for the four species studied. (a) Data from Ventura et al.
- 942 (2014). (b), (c) and (d) Data from Vigliola & Harmelin (2001). SL = standard length. TL = total
- 943 length. Length used for development stages in Vigliola & Harmelin (2001) are given in SL. We
- 944 estimated TL in our study. Relation between SL and TL are given in (Vigliola 1998): LT= a. LS with
- 945 a = 1.257 for *D. puntazzo*. a = 1.290 for *D. sargus*. a = 1.283 for *D. vulgaris*.
- 946

Development stage	SL (mm)	TL (mm)
a) D. annularis		
Post-settlement		Settlement - 20
Intermediate		20 - 45
Pre-dispersal		>45
b) D. puntazzo		
Post-settlement	Settlement - 21	Settlement - 26
Intermediate	21 - 43	26 - 54
Pre-dispersal	> 43	> 54
c) D. sargus		
Post-settlement	Settlement - 23	Settlement - 30
Intermediate	23 - 49	30 - 63
Pre-dispersal	> 49	> 63
d) D. vulgaris		
Post-settlement	Settlement - 24	Settlement - 30
Intermediate	24 - 40	30 - 51
Pre-dispersal	> 40	> 51