

# Observations of open-ocean deep convection in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea: Seasonal and interannual variability of mixing and deep water masses for the 2007-2013 Period

Loïc Houpert, Xavier Durrieu de Madron, Pierre Testor, Anthony Bosse, Fabrizio d'Ortenzio, Marie-Noëlle Bouin, Denis Dausse, Hervé Le Goff, Stéphane Kunesch, Matthieu Labaste, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Loïc Houpert, Xavier Durrieu de Madron, Pierre Testor, Anthony Bosse, Fabrizio d'Ortenzio, et al.. Observations of open-ocean deep convection in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea: Seasonal and interannual variability of mixing and deep water masses for the 2007-2013 Period. Journal of Geophysical Research. Oceans, 2016, 121 (11), pp.8139-8171. 10.1002/2016JC011857. hal-01433336

# HAL Id: hal-01433336 https://univ-perp.hal.science/hal-01433336

Submitted on 15 Feb 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1OBSERVATIONS OF OPEN-OCEAN DEEP CONVECTION IN THE NORTHWESTERN2MEDITERRANEAN SEA : SEASONAL AND INTERANNUAL VARIABILITY OF MIXING
- 3 AND DEEP WATER MASSES FOR THE 2007-2013 PERIOD
- L. Houpert<sup>(1)</sup>, X. Durrieu de Madron<sup>(2)</sup>, P. Testor<sup>(3)</sup>, A. Bosse<sup>(3)</sup>, F. D'Ortenzio<sup>(4)</sup>, M.N.
  Bouin <sup>(5)</sup>, D. Dausse<sup>(3)</sup>, H. Le Goff<sup>(3)</sup>, S. Kunesch<sup>(2)</sup>, M. Labaste<sup>(3)</sup>, L. Coppola<sup>(4)</sup>, L.
  Mortier<sup>(3)</sup>, P. Raimbault<sup>(6)</sup>
- 8 (1) SAMS, Scottish Marine Institute, Oban, Argyll, PA37 1QA, UK
- 9 (2) CEFREM, CNRS-UPVD, UMR 5110, 52 Avenue Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan,
- 10 France
- 11 (3) Sorbonne Universités (UPMC Univ. Paris 06)-CNRS-IRD-MNHN, UMR 7159,
- 12 Laboratoire d'Océanographie et de Climatologie, IPSL, Paris, France
- 13 (4) Sorbonne Universités, UPMC, Université Paris 06, CNRS, Laboratoire
- 14 d'Océanographie de Villefranche, 181 Chemin du Lazaret, 06230 Villefranche-sur-
- 15 Mer, France
- 16 (5) CNRM UMR 3589, Météo-France/CNRS, Toulouse and Univ. Brest, CNRS,
- 17 IRD, Ifremer, LOPS, IUEM, Brest, France.
- 18 (6) Aix Marseille Université, Université Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO UM 110,
- 19 Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography, Marseille, France

# 20 Key points

- 21 Continuous monitoring of 5 consecutive winters of deep convection that reached the
- 22 seabed, found at a depth of 2300m
- 23 Observation of the overlapping of the deep convection phases (vertical mixing /
- 24 restratification; spreading/preconditioning)
- 25 Formation of warmer and saltier new deep water mass "vintages" after each event
- 26 of deep convection
- 27
- 28 Abstract

We present here a unique oceanographic and meteorological dataset focus on the deep convection processes. Our results are essentially based on in situ data (mooring, research vessel, glider, and profiling float) collected from a multi-platform and integrated monitoring system (MOOSE: Mediterranean Ocean Observing System on Environment), which monitored continuously the northwestern Mediterranean Sea since 2007, and in particular high-frequency potential temperature, salinity and current measurements from the mooring LION located within the convection region.

From 2009 to 2013, the mixed layer depth reaches the seabed, at a depth of 2330m, in February. Then, the violent vertical mixing of the whole water column lasts between 9 and 12 days setting up the characteristics of the newly-formed deep water. Each deep convection winter formed a new warmer and saltier "vintage" of deep water. These sudden inputs of salt and heat in the deep ocean are responsible for trends in salinity  $(3.3+/-0.2 \times 10^{-3}/\text{yr})$  and potential temperature  $(3.2+/-0.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ °C/yr})$  observed from 2009 to 2013 for the 600-2300m layer.

For the first time, the overlapping of the 3 "phases" of deep convection can be observed with secondary vertical mixing events (2-4 days) after the beginning of the restratification phase, and the restratification/spreading phase still active at the beginning of the following deep convection event.

- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50

51 Index terms

- 52 4572 Upper ocean and mixed layer processes
- 53 4504 Air/sea interactions
- 54 4262 Ocean observing systems
- 55 4277 Time series experiments
- 56 4283 Water masses
- 57 4243 Marginal and semi-enclosed seas
- 58
- 59 Keywords
- 60 Physical Oceanography; Ocean Observations; Dense Water Formation; Open Ocean
- 61 Deep Convection; Mixed Layer; Gulf of Lions; Deep water; Mediterranean Sea
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

## 67 **1. Introduction**

68

69 Open-ocean deep convection is a key process that transfers the heat and salt 70 content of the surface layer to the deep ocean and only takes place in a few regions 71 of the world's oceans. In addition to polar regions such as the Labrador [Lazier, 72 1973; Clarke and Gascard, 1983], the Greenland [Schott et al., 1993], the Weddell 73 and Ross Seas [Killworth, 1983], open-ocean deep convection can occur in mid-74 latitude regions such as the East/Japan Sea [Kim et al., 2008] and the 75 Mediterranean Sea (Gulf of Lions, Adriatic Sea, Aegean Sea, [CIESM, 2009]). In the Gulf of Lions area (northwestern Mediterranean), a basin-scale cyclonic circulation 76 77 drives a doming of isopycnals, which helps of the deepening of the mixed layer 78 during intense surface buoyancy loss associated with intense and dry northerly 79 winds (Mistral, Tramontane). The doming of the isopycnals due to the cyclonic gyre 80 and the high surface buoyancy loss can lead to deep mixing and to the formation of 81 the Western Mediterranean Deep Waters (WMDW). The pioneer experiment 82 dedicated to the study of open-ocean deep convection in the Gulf of Lions identified 83 three phases of convection (the preconditioning phase, the intense vertical mixing 84 phase, and the restratification phase with the spreading of the newly formed deep 85 water) [MEDOC Group, 1970]. Recently, several studies illustrate the role of deep 86 convection on transfers of biogeochemical components to the deep water such as 87 oxygen and inorganic and organic matter, contributing to the ventilation and the 88 "feeding" of the deep pelagic and benthic ecosystems [Stabholz et al., 2013; 89 Tamburini et al., 2013]. The deep vertical mixing also impacts the upper-ocean 90 through the supply of nutrients from the deep ocean to the euphotic layer [Severin et 91 al., 2014], and is a control parameter of the phytoplankton phenology [Lavigne et al., 92 2013].

The significant interannual variability of the convective activity in the Gulf of Lions [Mertens and Schott, 1998; L'Hévéder et al., 2012] leads also to an interannual variability of thermohaline characteristics of the WMDW. The evolution of the WMDW thermohaline characteristics formed by deep convection could explain the long-term

66

97 warming and salting trends observed in the deep layers of the Western 98 Mediterranean (WMED) by several authors [Bethoux et al., 1990, 1998; Leaman and 99 Schott, 1991; Rohling and Bryden, 1992; Krahmann and Schott, 1998; Rixen et al., 100 2005; Vargas-Yanez et al., 2010a, 2010b]. The storage of heat, salt, and other 101 properties in the deep layers and their distribution over time and space are of crucial 102 importance for the evolution of this physical-biogeochemical coupled system over the 103 long term. It is crucial to understand and correctly model this phenomenon in the 104 context of climate change studies.

105 The deep convection phenomenon is very variable as illustrated by the 106 abrupt changes in WMDW characteristics and stratification, referred as the Western 107 Mediterranean Transition [CIESM, 2009], which occurred during winters 2004-2005 108 and 2005-2006 [López-Jurado et al., 2005; Schroeder et al., 2006, 2008a; Font et 109 al., 2007; Smith et al., 2008, Zunino et al., 2012]. These changes were related to 110 intense deep water formation events that occurred in the Gulf of Lions region and in 111 the Ligurian subbasin. Moreover, different studies highlight that dense shelf water 112 formation and cascading through the canyons of the Gulf of Lions and Catalan 113 margin can be sometimes important in changing the WMDW stratification and the 114 bottom water mass characteristics, and especially in 2005 [Salat et al., 2005; Canals 115 et al., 2006; Durrieu de Madron et al., 2013; Puig et al., 2013b].

116 Three causes for such intense deep convection events were identified. First, 117 winter 2004-2005 was one of the coldest and driest winters of the last 40 years 118 [López-Jurado et al., 2005]. Second, Gasparini et al. [2005] showed the appearance 119 of a  $\theta$ -S anomaly in the Eastern Mediterranean Deep Waters (EMDW) flowing 120 westward through the Sicily channel to the deep Tyrrhenian subbasin, linked to 121 remarkable injection of heat and salt due to the Eastern Mediterranean Transient 122 (EMT, [Klein et al., 1999; Lascaratos et al., 1999; Malanotte-Rizzoli et al., 1999]). The 123 propagation of this  $\theta$ -S increase from the Eastern Mediterranean could have induced 124 a warming and salting of the intermediate layers of the northwestern Mediterranean 125 [Schroeder et al., 2010]. Modeling studies [Herrmann et al., 2010] moderate the role 126 of the EMT: a high volume of newly-formed waters in 2005 but a number of weak deep convection events before winter 2005 could have been effective in inducing a 127 128 more pronounced Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW) layer, as well as enhanced heat and salt content at intermediate depths. Grignon et al., [2010] suggested that even a normal winter would have led to an intense deep convection in 2004/2005 due to a low pre-winter stratification. Moreover, the high interannual and decadal variability of the potential temperature ( $\theta$ ) and the salinity (S) of Atlantic surface water in the Gulf of Lions [Vargas-Yanez et al., 2010b] can also influence the intensity of deep convection in these years [Rixen et al., 2005].

135 The intense winter mixing of 2005 is just an example of the deep convection 136 variability. Different observational and modelling works on Open-Ocean Deep 137 Convection were conducted since the 70s (see [Marshall and Schott, 1999] for a 138 review), and the main drivers of the deep convection variability are not yet well 139 defined. Part of it can be explained by the absence of long-term observatory system, 140 and by the fact that we do not know enough the role of the processes involved, 141 particularly at the small scales, which need to be accurately represented in modeling 142 studies. In this article, we will address some of the open questions related to the 143 variability of the deep ocean convection: What are the spatio-temporal scales 144 associated with deep convection? How varies deep convection on an interannual 145 basis? Are the increasing trends in potential temperature and salinity of the WMDW 146 related to deep convection?

We present the recent results on deep convection observed from seven years (2007-2013) of hydrological and hydrodynamical measurements of the LION mooring line, the MF-LION meteorological buoy and Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) data collected from research cruises, gliders and profiling floats (Section 2). The methods used to estimate the mixed layer depth, and the heat, salt, and buoyancy contents are presented in Section 3. The results mainly derived from observations at the LION mooring line are described in Section 4:

Among the six years of data available, the Nov 2009-Dec 2010 period is described in detail in Section 4.1. During this period, observations provided an invaluable description of the three overlapping, but dominating successively, phases of this representative case of deep convection. In this section, we highlight the variability of the mixed layer which can go from 150m to 2300m in a couple of months, the intense vertical mixing events and the changes in the  $\theta$ -S characteristics of the water column. The temporal and spatial characteristics of the different winters from 2008 to 2013 are presented in Section 4.2. In addition, to present the temporal and spatial scales, we also discuss their similarities/differences and assess the role of atmospheric forcing and water column stratification on the triggering of deep convection.

166 The strong buoyancy losses and the mixing of the water column directly impact 167 the thermohaline characteristics of the newly-formed deep water. In Section 4.3, we 168 discuss the 6-year evolution of their  $\theta$ -S properties, together with the temporal scales 169 associated with the evolution of the heat, salt, and buoyancy contents during the 170 different phases of the deep convection are presented in Section 4.3. Mooring data 171 and CTD profiles from summer cruise clearly indicate how the successive events of 172 deep convection produce different "vintages" of WMDW, inducing a warming and a 173 salt increase of the deep (1000-2300m) northwestern Mediterranean.

174

#### 175 **2. Data**

#### 176 **2.1 LION mooring line**

177 A multi-platform and integrated monitoring system was setup in the framework of 178 the Mediterranean Ocean Observing System for the Environment (MOOSE, 179 http://www.moose-network.fr) to continuously monitor the deep water formation 180 processes in the Gulf of Lions since 2007. Due to the important role of deep 181 convection on climate and ecosystems, this observing system constitutes the multi-182 year observational system of the international and multidisciplinary programs HyMeX 183 (Hydrological cycle in the Mediterranean Experiment; [Drobinski et al., 2014]) and MERMeX (Marine Ecosystem Response in the Mediterranean Experiment; 184 185 [MERMEX Group, 2011]). MOOSE combines eulerian observatories and 186 autonomous mobile platforms (gliders, profiling floats): 1) to observe the long-term 187 physical and biological evolution of the NW Mediterranean Sea in the context of the 188 climate change and anthropogenic pollution (metals, oil, hormones, organic 189 compounds, plastics); 2) to detect and identify long-term environmental anomalies; 190 to build efficient indicators of the status of the pelagic planktonic ecosystem in the 191 Northwestern Mediterranean.

192 The LION mooring [Testor et al., 2016] is located in the center of the convection zone at 42.03°N, 4.68°E (figure 1) where the seabed is found at a depth of 2300m. The 193 194 mooring position is about where the center of the deep convection area is supposed 195 to be [MEDOC Group, 1970; Leaman and Schott, 1991; THETIS Group, 1994]. 196 There were seven deployments (we refer in the following as LIONX to indicate each 197 deployment, where X, from 1 to 7, indicates the different deployment) during which 198 the line was gradually equipped between September 2007 and July 2013 with initially 199 8 and finally up to 26 instruments. The evolution of the instrumented line over the 200 years is presented in table 1. Details about the instruments (sampling, data gap) and 201 the calibration are given in appendix A.

202

#### 203 2.2 Météo-France Meteorological Buoy data

204 The Météo-France meteorological buoy MF-LION, located at 42.06°N 4.65°E (i.e. 205 4-4.5 kilometers from the deep mooring location) provides atmospheric observations 206 of surface pressure, wind, air temperature, humidity, radiative fluxes, and sea 207 surface temperature and salinity. In addition, a 250m instrumented line was installed 208 below the surface buoy since November 2009 and is currently equipped with 20 209 temperature sensors between 5m and 250m depth below the sea surface. The 210 evolution of the sensors on the line is shown in table 2. These NKE sensors measure 211 ocean temperature with a precision of 0.1°C, actual depth with a precision of 0.5 m 212 and are calibrated after every recovery and before every release. Two additional 213 SeaBird SBE37 CTDs were installed at 2m depth since September 2011 and at 214 120m depth since January 2013 (table 2).

215

### 216 **2.3 CTD Profile Data**

From 2007 to 2013, CTD profiles from research vessels were collected during several oceanographic cruises (DOCONUG2007, DOCONUG2008, 42N5E, MOOSE-GE2010, CASCADE, MOOSE-GE2011, MOOSE-GE2012, DOWEX2012, DEWEX2013, MOOSE-GE2013, and DOWEX2013) that took place in the northwestern Mediterranean. In total, 385 CTD stations were carried out in the Gulf of Lions area (between 41°N/44°N and 3°E/7°E) and more particularly we use 69
CTD casts located at less than 30 km from the LION mooring (figure 1).

During all the cruises, pressure, temperature and conductivity were measured with a CTD Sea-Bird SBE 911plus. Water samples were collected and analyzed with a Guildline Autosal salinometer to calibrate the CTD conductivity sensor. The final accuracy is estimated to be  $\pm 0.004$  for salinity and  $\pm 0.001^{\circ}$ C for potential temperature.

229 For the period 2007-2013, gliders [Testor et al., 2010] were intensively used in 230 the framework of several European and national projects (see Everyone's Gliding 231 Observatories (EGO) http://www.ego-network.org.). Glider profiles were considered 232 as vertical and were checked with quality control procedures defined in the EU FP7 233 GROOM project. Repeated glider surveys over the Gulf of Lions were conducted 234 between 2007 and 2013, with 38 deployments between January 2007 and August 235 2013 corresponding to 11860 profiles (3410 profiles corresponding to 29 236 deployments were made at less than 30km around the LION mooring, figure 1). The 237 calibration of the gliders CTD relies on nearby CTD casts from research vessels and 238 the LION mooring line data (see more details in Bosse et al., [2015]).

In addition to the shipborne and the glider CTD casts, about 2500 Argo profiles were collected during the same period (2007-2013), 32 were in the vicinity of the mooring (figure 1). We used in this analysis only the data flagged as "good" by the Coriolis Data Center (http://www.coriolis.eu.org/).

243

# 244 2.4 Miscellaneous Data (ERA-Interim Atmospheric reanalysis,

# 245 Ocean Color images)

246 2.4.1 ERA-Interim reanalysis

In this study, we are interested to discuss the daily evolution of the mixed layer depth compared to the surface buoyancy and heat losses. In addition, we also want a flux-product to compare different winters with each other. We choose to use the ERA-Interim reanalysis essentially because of these two aspects: the chronology of the flux at a daily scale and the long-term temporal homogeneity [Herrmann et al., 252 2011]. Moreover, the four components of the net heat flux are calculated in a 253 consistent way, at the same time.

254 Based on the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) 255 numerical weather prediction model, the ERA-Interim reanalysis data set contains 256 consistent atmosphere and sea surface analyses for the period starting in 1979 [Dee 257 et al, 2011]. The reanalysis makes use of the ECMWF Integrated Forecast System at 258 T255 spectral resolution (80 km horizontal resolution) with 91 vertical levels. We 259 considered here the daily fields of the air-sea fluxes (downward and upward short-260 wave radiation, downward and upward long-wave radiation, latent heat flux, sensible 261 heat flux, total precipitation, and evaporation) in order to compute the daily net heat 262 flux  $(Q_{net})$  and the net freshwater flux out of the ocean.

263

#### 264 2.4.2 Ocean Color images

The 8-day Level 3 standard mapped images of MODIS Aqua surface chlorophyll concentration at 4 km resolution were obtained from the NASA web site (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/) for the 2007-2013 period.

268

# 269 **3. Methods**

## **3.1 Estimation of the Mixed Layer Depth**

271 Since the buoy oceanographic sensors and the deep mooring instruments are 272 not the same, the resolution and the accuracy of the different sensors are also 273 different (see tables 1 and 2). Initially, observations of the deep mooring line and the 274 buoy sensors are merged, and a linear interpolation in time and along the vertical 275 coordinate results in potential temperature profiles gridded on 1m bins, every 30min. 276 Because of the different accuracy of the sensors in the upper and in the deep parts 277 of the water column and the weak deep stratification (from a depth of 1000m to the 278 bottom), we adopt a double criterion to estimate the mixed layer depth.

The Mixed Layer Depth (MLD) is calculated for the first 300m of the upper water column using a potential temperature criterion  $\Delta \theta_1 = 0.1^{\circ}$ C and a reference level at 10m. If the MLD calculated with the  $\Delta \theta_1$  criterion is deeper than 300m, we used a second criterion  $\Delta \theta_2 = 0.01^{\circ}$ C and a reference level at 300m to define the MLD. This second criterion is required to define a more accurate MLD, as potential temperature gradients at the base of the ML can be smaller than  $d\theta_1=0.1^{\circ}$ C in winter when the mixing exceed 1000m depth (see appendix B for details).

There was no instrument below the MF-LION surface buoy before November 2009, only the sea surface temperature sensor at 1m depth could be used in the MLD calculation. Due to the low accuracy of this sensor we had to calculate the MLD in the first 300m using a criterion  $\Delta \theta_1 = 0.6$ °C and a reference level at 1m. If the MLD is deeper than 300m, we used as for the 2009-2013 period, a secondary criterion  $\Delta \theta_2 = 0.01$ °C and a reference level at 300m depth to calculate the MLD below 300m depth (table 3; appendix B for more details).

293

294

295

# **3.2 Estimation of the Heat and Salt Contents of the Water Column**

The vertical resolution of CTD measurements below the MF-LION buoy and along the LION mooring line enables heat or salt budget calculations for specific water masses. Merging data from both sources, there are 5 to 17 additional potential temperature levels in the first 150m since 2009 and 2 additional salinity levels (at 2m depth since 2011 and 120m depth since 2013), compared to the number of sensor levels on the LION mooring line alone.

303 Observation levels have been distributed throughout the water column to reflect 304 an optimized sampling along the vertical of climatological profiles. Since 2009 with 305 20 potential temperature levels and 10 salinity levels, distances between potential 306 temperature levels are about 50 m in the upper 700m of the water column and about 307 250m deeper down. Distances between salinity levels are about 250 m throughout 308 the water column. Before budget calculations, we performed a linear interpolation of 309 potential temperature and salinity versus depth to obtain 1m resolution profiles from 310 the surface (2m) to the seabed (2300m) every 30 minutes.

To quantify the error in heat or salt budget in a specific layer due to this linear interpolation, we use a reference database created from 1m-binned CTD profiles 313 (from research vessels, gliders and profiling floats) carried out in a 30km radius
314 (typical scale of the mesoscale processes in summer in the deep convection area)
315 centered on the mooring.

316 We estimate the error due to the vertical interpolation, for each different 317 configuration of the deep mooring and surface buoy arrays (shown in section 4.3.1). 318 By taking into account the data availability of the merged mooring-buoy line, we get 319 33 different configurations between September 2007 and July 2013 for the daily 320 data. For each layer and for each time-step (daily), a reference profile is chosen as 321 the most stratified profile in a specific time window (2 months for the 1-200m, 200-322 600m and the 600-1000m layers; 6 months for the deepest layers: 600-2300m and 323 1000-2300m). If there is no profile available during this time window, we choose the 324 most stratified profile from a climatology created from the 6 years of data, using the 325 same time window but on climatological month. We calculate the error in potential 326 temperature, salinity and potential density as the difference between the high resolution profile and the same profile subsampled at the mooring vertical resolution 327 328 and linearly interpolated.

329

# 330 3.3. Estimation of the Integrated Buoyancy Content of the Water 331 Column

332 The influence of the water column stratification on the deep convection 333 occurrence can be explored using a 1D approach, where only the surface buoyancy 334 flux drives overturning and deepens the mixed layer (i.e., when the surface buoyancy 335 flux is positive, buoyancy is removed from the ocean, the water gets denser, and 336 deepening of the mixed layer convection arises). Grignon et al. [2010] and L'Hévéder 337 et al. [2012] used this approach in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Lilly et al., 338 [1999] followed the same assumptions to study the mixed layer property evolution in 339 a  $\theta$ -S space in the Labrador Sea.

In our study, this 1D approach is used during the period going from the end of the preconditioning period (November) to the beginning of the restratification (corresponding in our case to end of February). At that time of the year, the mooring area is dominated by vertical mixing and away for the boundary circulation where the horizontal currents are known to be intense and mostly oriented along the 345 bathymetry (f/H contours). Thus, the main lateral contribution is due to eddies. 346 Following a method described in Lilly and Rhines [2002] and Lilly et al., [2003] to 347 detect eddies from mooring currents time-series, Houpert [2013] detected more than 348 30 eddies between October 2009 and July 2012. Over this whole time period, eddies 349 are present about 10% of the time at the mooring. Some of those eddies could have 350 been identified as Submesoscale Coherent Vortices (SCVs) described by 351 SCVs McWilliams [1985]. These induce the propagation of columnar buoyancy perturbations typically of 0.05-0.15 m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-2</sup> as discussed in Bosse et al. 352 353 [2016]. The eddy-induced buoyancy flux would then represent about 0.5-1.4% of the total integrated buoyancy flux over the winter (typically 1.15  $m^2$ .s<sup>-2</sup>). Therefore, eddy 354 355 lateral buoyancy fluxes can be considered, at the first order, as negligible effect on 356 the buoyancy content budget of the deep convection zone.

In this work, we will further examine into details IS(1000) the columnar buoyancy of the first 1000 m and compare it to the integrated surface buoyancy losses from November 1<sup>st</sup> to the end of February. The methodology used to calculate this stratification index is detailed in Appendix C.

361

# 362 4. Results and Discussion

# 4.1 Water Column Variability and Transients in the Deep Convection Area in 2009/2010

365 Among the six-year time series available, a specific focus on the Nov 2009-July 366 2010 period is made. For the first time, the MF-LION surface buoy was equipped 367 with subsurface temperature sensors. The LION mooring was fully instrumented and 368 for the first time monitored the preconditioning, the intense vertical mixing and the 369 spreading/restratification phases. As for the winters of deep convection (2009, 2011, 370 2012, 2013), intense deep vertical mixing is observed during winter 2010, as 371 revealed by the complete homogenization of the water column during the month of 372 February 2010 (figures 2a,b,c), and the strong vertical currents (figure 3c).

373

4.1.1 Evolution of the θ-S characteristics of the mixed layer and the
water column during a deep convection event

#### **The deepening of the mixed layer**

In September, the monthly net heat flux is close to 0 W.m<sup>-2</sup>. The strong heat loss 377 378 starts to increase later in October and November. The negative heat fluxes (figure 379 3d) result in gradually cooling surface layers (figure 2a), and in mid-December the 380 first 200m of the water column is fully mixed (figure 2a), indicating a mixed layer 381 reaching 200m. At the end of December and beginning of January the upper sensor 382 (at 170m, dark blue line in figures 2b and 2c) records a potential temperature 383 (salinity) dropping rapidly to 13.05°C (38.4). This different water mass can be seen 384 more clearly on a  $\theta$ -S diagram, where it has a very distinct signature, colder and 385 fresher (figure 4b1), compared to the "classical" Atlantic Water (AW) that can be 386 found usually in this area in the surface layer (figure 4a1). The arrival of this new 387 water mass corresponds to an increase in the horizontal velocities, with 388 intensification in the upper part of the water column (see current meter at 250m in 389 figure 3b). This could be the signature of mode waters, the Winter Intermediate 390 Water (WIW, Millot [1999]) formed around the mixed patch and being advected into 391 the deep convection area, where the surface waters are usually saltier.

392 After this first period of strong cooling (drop of  $\approx 0.3^{\circ}$ C at 170 m), the mixing goes deeper with strong vertical currents detected at 250m (≈15 cm.s<sup>-1</sup>) and 500m depth 393 ( $\approx$ 5 cm.s<sup>-1</sup>) from January 9<sup>th</sup> to January 12<sup>th</sup> (figure 3c), associated with strong daily 394 heat losses (~-800 W.m<sup>-2</sup>, figure 3d). The warm and salty LIW is therefore entrained 395 396 by the vertical mixing, resulting in a decrease in potential temperature and salinity at 397 300m and 500m depths (see blue and cyan lines in figures 2b,c). At the same time, 398 the heat and salt content of the LIW is vertically homogenized within the surface 399 layer and this induces an increase of potential temperature and salinity in the mixed 400 layer above (figures 2a,b, dark blue line).

From January 15<sup>th</sup> to January 23<sup>rd</sup>, the atmospheric forcing and the vertical mixing weaken with less intense vertical velocities (figure 3c) and potential density in the upper-ocean layer stops increasing (figure 3a). Between January 23<sup>rd</sup> and January 26<sup>th</sup>, a sharp increase in potential temperature (0.2°C) and salinity (0.1) at 170m depth indicates the entrainment of LIW in the mixed layer. At that time, the 406 MLD reaches 800m (figure 3e). The deepening of the mixed layer, not related to a 407 parallel increase of the vertical velocity, could indicate that this signal corresponds to 408 the advection of a homogeneous water column in the area rather than a local 409 formation in a 1D framework.

410 From January 27<sup>th</sup> to January 29<sup>th</sup>, the mixed layer deepens more than 500m. 411 The event is associated with an increase in surface heat loss and vertical velocities (more than 5 cm.s<sup>-1</sup> at 250, 500 and 1000m depth). The vertical mixing increases 412 until the MLD reaches 2000m on February 1<sup>st</sup> (figure 3e). Vertical mixing stops for a 413 couple of days on February 3rd, at the same time that atmospheric heat loss 414 decreases (figure 3c,d). However on February 5<sup>th</sup>, an important increase in potential 415 416 temperature  $(0.04^{\circ}C)$  and salinity (0.01) is recorded at 2300m depth (figures 2d,e). 417 which indicates that the mixed layer, saltier and warmer than the deep waters, 418 reaches the seabed. At that time, the potential density of the bottom water is still the 419 same 29.111 kg.m<sup>-3</sup> (figure 2f). When the mixed layer reaches the deep layer, the colder and fresher deep waters associated with old WMDW (figure 4a2, 4b2) are 420 421 transformed, through this heat and salt input coming from the mixed layer, into a new 422 water mass (figure 4c2). At that time, no sign of violent mixing at great depths can be 423 found, as shown by weak vertical velocities measured at 1000m depth (figure 3c).

#### 424 **Deep Convection down to the Bottom**

425 On February  $10^{\text{th}}$  very strong heat losses (daily values exceed -600 W.m<sup>-2</sup>) 426 induce violent vertical mixing, with the strongest vertical currents recorded during this 427 winter at 250m, 500m and 1000m depth (figure 3c,d). Vertical speeds sporadically 428 exceed +10 cm.s<sup>-1</sup> and -15 cm.s<sup>-1</sup>.

From February 10<sup>th</sup> to February 13<sup>th</sup>, the potential temperature of the whole 429 430 water column decreases by more than 0.02° (figures 2d, 4c2,d2), due to the strong 431 heat losses and to the fact that the deepening of the mixed layer is constrained by 432 the seafloor. During the same period, the salinity does not present any detectable 433 change. The winter buoyancy fluxes are largely dominated by the heat fluxes 434 [Grignon et al., 2010], so the salinity of the newly-formed deep water is mostly set by 435 the salt content of the water column before the deep convection, while its potential 436 temperature results from a combination of the initial heat content of the water column 437 and of the surface heat fluxes.

On February 16<sup>th</sup>, one day after the end of the violent vertical mixing phase, horizontal currents increase, reaching 30-38cm.s<sup>-1</sup> between 170m and 1000m deep and 20cm.s<sup>-1</sup> at 2300m (figure 3b). This increase of the horizontal velocities occurs after the water column reached its highest density level of the whole year (figure 3a), and can be seen as an adjustment of the ocean: once the atmospheric forcing stopped, the potential energy gained by the water column during the whole vertical mixing period is converted into kinetic energy.

#### 445 End of the Deep Convection and Horizontal Homogenization

After the last event of strong vertical mixing (March 12<sup>th</sup>), the water column 446 447 stratification progressively increases (figure 3a). Thanks to surface heat gain (figure 448 3d) and advection of light waters near the surface [Leaman and Schott, 1991; Schott 449 et al., 1996; Herrmann et al., 2008], vertical gradients of potential temperature, 450 salinity and density increase inside the water column. Potential temperature difference between the surface and 200m depth starts to be higher than 1°C on April 451 20<sup>th</sup> (figure 2a). May 1<sup>st</sup>, the potential temperature difference between the surface 452 453 and 200m depth reaches 3°C (figure 2a). From mid-April to the end of May, the potential temperature stratification in the upper 200m fluctuates between 0.005°C.m<sup>-</sup> 454 <sup>1</sup> and 0.015°C.m<sup>-1</sup> (figure 2a). The scale of variability associated with these changes 455 456 in the upper-ocean stratification (figure 2a) is typically of 5~10 days and are similar to 457 the scale of variability observed in the horizontal velocities at all levels (figure 3b). 458 This suggests an important role of submesoscale and mesoscale circulation features 459 such as eddies during the restratification phase [Houpert 2013; Bosse et al., 2016]. 460 Similar eddies were also observed in other places such as the Labrador Sea [Lilly 461 and Rhines 2002; Lilly et al., 2003; Hatun et al., 2007; De Jong et al., 2012] or the 462 Irminger Sea [Fan et al., 2014], where they play an important role in the 463 restratification of the deep convection area.

The Levantine Intermediate Water (between 200m and 500m deep) is easily distinguishable in the Gulf of Lions, because of its maximum in salinity. On a  $\theta$ -S diagram, this water mass can be found between a potential density anomaly of 29.05 kg.m<sup>-3</sup> and 29.10 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>, as a maximum salinity and a local maximum in potential temperature (figure 4a1). The LIW is completely mixed during deep convection events (figures 4d1, 2b, 2c), but, as soon as the atmospheric forcing stops (the net heat flux becomes positive), the strong horizontal mixing associated with mesoscale
features (figure 3b), brings back the LIW into the deep convection area, such as on
March 17<sup>th</sup> (figure 4g1, 2b, 2c).

473

474 **4.1.2 Vertical mixing and convective plumes** 

475 The LION mooring offers for the first time a complete year of observation of the deep convection process. The significant vertical velocities observed during the 476 intense vertical mixing phase exceed +10 cm.s<sup>-1</sup> and -15 cm.s<sup>-1</sup>, and are in 477 agreement with previous observations of convective plumes of horizontal scale of 478 479 1km characterized by downward vertical velocities up to 10cm.s<sup>-1</sup>. These small scale 480 features were first observed using isobaric floats [Voorhis and Webb, 1970], then 481 using moored ADCPs [Schott and Leaman, 1991; Schott et al., 1996]. More recently, downward vertical velocities up to 10cm.s<sup>-1</sup> were indirectly inferred using gliders 482 483 [Merckelbach et al., 2010; Frajka-Williams et al., 2011, Bosse et al., 2014]. Theses 484 plumes act at short temporal and spatial scales and result in the mixing of the 485 properties over the convection area.

486 If the spatial (single-point) and temporal (30 mins) sampling of the current 487 meters on the mooring is not enough to resolve the convective plumes (diameter of 488 800-1000m in the Gulf of Lions [Marshall and Schott 1999]), the  $\theta$ -S sensors of the 489 mooring seem to give us some clues about the presence of convective plumes which 490 may have decelerated and don't have vertical velocities anymore. This detection is 491 possible particularly at the beginning of the intense vertical mixing phase, when the 492 area is not completely mixed, and the old deep water can still be distinguished from the newly-formed deep waters. On February 7<sup>th</sup> and February 8<sup>th</sup>, 2 isolated peaks in 493 494 potential temperature and salinity can be distinguished at 2300m (figure 2d,e). The 495 length of these peaks is respectively 12h and 6h, while the mean horizontal 496 velocities associated with these events are respectively 6cm.s<sup>-1</sup>, and 14cm.s<sup>-1</sup>, 497 without associated vertical velocities. These high-frequency events seen in the 498 potential temperature and salinity bihourly time series (figure 2d,e) are certainly 499 related to the presence of small-scale plumes, already observed in Greenland Sea 500 [Schott et al., 1993] and in the Gulf of Lions [Schott and Leaman, 1991; Schott et al., 501 1996], which act as a mixing agent [Send and Marshall, 1995; Marshall and Schott 502 1999]. Since in these cases there is not vertical mixing synchronous with the 503 appearance of these  $\theta$ -S anomalies, the structures detected are not "active" in the 504 vertical mixing. In addition to their important role in diapycnal mixing, these small-505 scale structures play certainly an important role in the homogenization of the mixed 506 patch, through isopycnal mixing. Setting up specific experiments to study the scales 507 and the energy associated with these vertical and horizontal small-scale processes 508 could allow us to better understand how the vertical/diapycnal mixing and the 509 horizontal/isopycnal mixing occur in the deep convection area.

510

### 511 4.1.3 Secondary Event of Deep Vertical Mixing

From February 18<sup>th</sup> to March 8<sup>th</sup>, the net heat flux from the atmosphere to the 512 513 ocean is close to zero and even occasionally positive, and consequently the vertical 514 mixing stopped. High horizontal currents start to advect stratified waters into the 515 mooring area (figures 3a and 4e). The last strong winter cooling event occurs from March 9<sup>th</sup> to March 12<sup>th</sup>, associated with an increase in vertical currents down to, at 516 517 least 1000m depth, where the deepest current meter showing intense vertical motion 518 is located (figure 3c). Based on a  $\theta$ -S diagram, the water column was homogeneous 519 down to about 1750m depth (figure 4f).

Restratification processes seem to dominate as soon as the atmospheric forcing stops to trigger active mixing. Strong net surface buoyancy losses can trigger secondary events of deep vertical mixing if they are superior to the buoyancy content of the water column, highlighting the overlapping of the intense vertical mixing phase and the restratification phase.

525

#### 526 4.1.4 Role of the atmospheric forcing on setting up the $\theta$ /S

527 characteristics of the newly-formed deep water

In winters 2004-2005 and 2005-2006, abrupt changes, referred as the Western Mediterranean Transition [CIESM, 2009], were observed in the Western Mediterranean Deep Water characteristics and stratification [López-Jurado et al., 2005; Schroeder et al., 2006, 2008a; Font et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2008, Zunino et al., 2012]. In November 2009, the deep stratification of the water column in the Western Mediterranean still indicates the presence of 3 distinct deep water masses (figure 4a2). In this subsection, we will present the effect of the surface net heat flux on the characteristics of the newly-formed deep waters during the intense vertical mixing phase of a deep convection event. A detailed analysis of the evolution of the deep waters in the Gulf of Lions from 2007 to 2013 is made in subsection 4.3.3.

538 The post-2005 newly-formed deep waters (nWMDW), which are saltier and 539 denser than the "old" deep waters (pre-2005, oWMDW), are essentially found below 540 1300m. Lowermost potential temperature and salinity observed in the 750/1200m 541 layer (figures 4 a2, b2, g2, h2, i2), correspond to the "old" WMDW  $\theta$ /S characteristics that can be found in other part of the basin. On February 10<sup>th</sup> 2010, the potential 542 543 temperature at 2300m depth increased by 0.03°C once the mixed layer reaches the 544 seabed (see figures 2d, 3e, 4c2). The consecutive ten days of strong heat loss 545 (figure 3d) decrease the mixed layer potential temperature by 0.02°C (figures 2d, 546 4d2). During this 10-day period, there are no perceptible salinity changes (figures 2e, 547 4d2), and the potential density increases by 0.004 kg.m<sup>-3</sup> (figures 2f, 4d2). During 548 this period of 10 days of intense vertical mixing, the evaporation does not seem to 549 play a significant role in setting up the characteristics in salinity of the newly-formed 550 deep waters.

551 These observations are in agreement with previous studies which related the 552 characteristics of the newly formed water mass to the frequency and the intensity of 553 the surface forcing [Artale et al., 2002; Grignon, 2009] and to the heat and salt 554 content of the pre-winter oceanic state [Grignon et al., 2010; Herrmann et al., 2010; 555 Schroeder et al., 2010]. Furthermore, the surface heat losses during this 10-day 556 period are very important in setting the potential temperature and density of the 557 newly-formed deep water. The heat content of the water column, together with the 558 surface net heat flux, is responsible for the evolution of the potential temperature of 559 the mixed layer during the whole deepening phase. However, once the mixed layer 560 reaches the seabed, the surface net heat losses will be the main driver in the 561 decrease of the mixed layer potential temperature and in setting up the final potential 562 temperature and density of the newly-formed deep water.

563

# **4.2 Interannual Variability of the recent Deep Convections Events**

565 We derive and compare here the temporal and spatial characteristics of the 566 various winter convection events. We then further examine the role of atmospheric 567 forcing and water column stratification for six consecutive winters.

568

## 569 4.2.1 Mixed layer and multiple events of vertical mixing

570 Characteristics of five successive winters of open-ocean deep convection (2009 571 to 2013) are summarized in the table 4. The chronology is different according to the 572 events, mainly due to the interannual variability of the heat losses and the stability of 573 the water column (discussed in section 4.2.4). For example, in 2011, the vertical 574 mixing penetrates below the LIW one month earlier than in 2009, 2010, 2012 and 2013 (figure 5). On December 26<sup>th</sup>, 2010, the MLD reaches already 1200m (figure 575 576 5). The water column is homogenized (decrease in potential temperature and salinity 577 in figures 6a,b and increase in potential density in figure 7a on the 170m-, 300m- and 700m-Microcat), while significant vertical velocity superior at 15cm.s<sup>-1</sup> are recorded 578 579 at 150, 250 and 500m depth, (figure 7c).

580 Some common features can be pointed out from the analysis of the five events of 581 deep convection observed between 2009 and 2013. Due to the surface heat and 582 buoyancy losses, the mixed layer starts to deepen in September and reaches the 583 base of the AW (150/200m deep) generally in December. The time needed for the 584 mixed layer to deepen from the base of the AW down to the bottom is between 1 and 585 2 months (table 4). Compared to the other winters, heat losses in the beginning of February 2012 are very strong (the mean net heat flux from February 1<sup>st</sup> to February 586 15<sup>th</sup> is about -440 W.m<sup>-2</sup>) and the MLD deepens by 2000m (from the LIW to the 587 bottom), reaching the seabed in only one week (figure 5). This deepening of the MLD 588 is associated with important vertical velocities (15cm.s<sup>-1</sup>) that vary at high-frequency 589 590 and are consistent with past observations of vertical mixing in plumes of horizontal 591 scale of O(1km) (order of magnitude of 1km) carried out by Voorhis and Webb 592 [1970], Schott and Leaman [1991], and Schott et al. [1996]. A more detailed analysis 593 discussing the water column stratification and the surface buoyancy losses for this 594 specific event is made in section 4.2.4.

595 Once the mixed layer reaches the seabed, a jump in potential temperature 596 (figure 6d), salinity (figure 6e) and potential density (figure 6f) can be observed on 597 the near bottom Microcat data. The timing of the deep vertical mixing can be seen on 598 all the current meters except the deepest one, located 30m above the seabed in the 599 bottom boundary layer. All the deep convection winters present a common feature 600 with a violent vertical mixing phase of the whole water column lasting between 9 and 601 12 days, which lead to a potential temperature decrease of the whole water column 602 between 0.015°C and 0.03°C (figure 6d, table 4). After the MLD reached the bottom, 603 we don't see significant changes in salinity of the deep water during the 10/14-day 604 period of vertical mixing (figure 6e), which is in agreement with Grignon et al. [2010] 605 who show that the haline component of the buoyancy flux is negligible compared to 606 the thermal component. The salinity of the newly-formed deep water is therefore 607 mostly set by the salt content of the water column before convection, while the 608 potential temperature of the newly-formed deep water results from the combination 609 of the initial heat content and the surface heat fluxes, as discussed for the 2010 610 winter in section 3.1.4.

611 All winters from 2009 to 2013 present a secondary vertical mixing period that 612 generally occurs in March after the main convective event, when the restratification 613 of the water column has already begun. This is the first time that these secondary 614 vertical mixing events are observed, thanks to the high temporal resolution 615 monitoring from full water column mooring. These short events (2-4 days) happen 616 when the restratification has already begun. By that time, the water column is weakly 617 stratified and a 3-6 days period of strong heat losses (typical duration of a winter 618 storm) is enough to destabilize the upper water column leading to vertical mixing that 619 can easily reach great depths.

620

#### 621 **4.2.2 Winter Intermediate Water**

Another interesting feature that emerges from the analysis of the multi-year time series is the presence of WIW advected at the mooring location before the deep mixing period in winters 2008, 2009 and 2010, and for the whole year in 2011, 2012 and 2013. This modal water mass can be detected thanks to its lower salinity (below 36.45, figure 6c) and lower potential density (below 29.05 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>, figure 7a). Due to 627 its lower density, the WIW increases the stratification in the deep convection area. 628 Fresher WIW could definitely affect the depth of the deep convection, or even inhibit 629 it. This modal water mass is generally formed around the deep convection area from 630 the Balearic Sea to the Ligurian Sea [Millot, 1999]. Recently Juza et al. [2013] show 631 that the continental shelf of the Gulf of Lions can be an important source of WIW. 632 These results could change our vision of the links between the open-ocean and the 633 shelf, and particularly could highlight an important coupling of the two areas that has 634 to be taken into consideration particularly by modelling simulations on deep 635 convection and its interannual variability.

636

637 4.2.3 Horizontal extent of the Deep Convection Area

638 Satellites can provide estimates of the sea surface chlorophyll-a (chl-a) based on 639 ocean color images. These data provide essential information about the extension of 640 the deep water formation zone. During wintertime, the Gulf of Lions is often 641 characterized by low surface chl-a (figure 8). This low chl-a patch indicates that the 642 growth rate of the phytoplankton may be reduced in the euphotic layer, due to the 643 active vertical mixing (figure 7c), and/or that the phytoplankton is diluted in the whole 644 mixed layer, that can reach 2300m in February (figure 5, table 4). No clear 645 relationship exists between the low chl-a patch and the mixed layer depth. Here we 646 propose to use glider data to determine surface chl-a thresholds delimiting the area 647 of open-ocean deep convection in the Gulf of Lions.

648 In February 2012, a glider crossed the chl-a front at the date of the satellite 649 image shown in figure 8f. The figure 8h represents an enlargement of the satellite image and the glider trajectory within a 3-day interval centered on February 22<sup>nd</sup>. 650 651 The transition between the mixed conditions, characterized by low chl-a, and the stratified conditions occurs around the 1500m isobath (figure 8i). In this transition 652 653 area, the chl-a estimated by the satellite ranges between  $\sim 0.15$  mg.m<sup>-3</sup> and ~0.25mg.m<sup>-3</sup>, and the MLD, estimated by the glider, reaches at least 1000m. 654 655 Furthermore, the potential temperature measured by the glider is close to the newly 656 formed deep waters (~12.92°C). The glider cannot provide information below 657 1000m, so we cannot detect the point where the MLD start reaching the seabed.

However, the LION mooring was deployed for all these winters in the center of thedeep convection, offering us a detailed timing of the evolution of the MLD.

The area of intense dilution (<0.15mg.m<sup>-3</sup>) is not present in 2007 and 2008 660 661 (figures 8a,b). The weakness of the deep convection is also confirmed by glider data. 662 Indeed, 5 gliders deployed in the Gulf of Lions during the EGO2007 experiment from 663 January 2007 to May 2007 recorded a maximal mixed layer of ~400m depth [Testor 664 et al., 2007]. In winter 2008, another swarm experiment took place in the Gulf of 665 Lions (EGO2008) with 6 gliders deployed in the Gulf of Lions from January to April. 666 That year, a MLD of 1000m depth was sporadically recorded mid-March by gliders 667 ~50 km westward of the LION mooring location (near 42.1°N / 4.1°E), while the MLD 668 was not deeper than 700m depth at the LION mooring. These data seem in good agreement with the satellite image for the 8-day period going from the 2<sup>nd</sup> to the 9<sup>th</sup> 669 of February 2008 (figure 8b), where the low chl-a patch defined by a criterion 670 <0.25mg.m<sup>-3</sup> but >0.15mg.m<sup>-3</sup> extends westward of the mooring location. This might 671 suggest that deep open-ocean convection is not homogeneous everywhere in the 672 673 Gulf of Lions and could be triggered earlier, further west than the LION mooring, 674 close to 42.1°N / 4.1°E.

Between winters 2009 and 2013, the area of intense dilution (<0.15mg.m<sup>-3</sup>) varies between 13 000 km<sup>2</sup> in 2010 to a maximal value of 23 580 km<sup>2</sup> in 2013 (figure 8, table 4). A criterion of surface chl-a <0.15mg.m<sup>-3</sup> seems to be more relevant to estimate the maximal horizontal extension of the deep convection area when cloudfree satellite images are available at the same period as the mooring records significant vertical mixing of the water column.

681 For winter 2009, 2010 and 2012, deep convection produces new WMDW 682 significantly denser than the year before (figure 6e). We estimate the formation rate 683 of the new class of density for each of these 3 winters, by using a mean depth of the mixed patch of 2200m and an area of intense dilution defined by the contour 684 0.15mg.m<sup>-3</sup> (delimiting the deep convection area). The results are summarized in 685 table 4 and range from: 1.14 Sv in 2009 (for the density class 29.114-29.116 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>), 686 0.91 Sv in 2010 (for the density class 29.116-29.119 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>) and 1.25 Sv in 2012 (for 687 the density class 29.119-29.126 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>). The deep water formation rate in the 688 689 northwestern Mediterranean Sea has the same order of magnitude as the other 690 components of the circulation such as the volume transport associated with the 691 Northern Current [Millot, 1999]), and the inflow [Bryden 1994; Candela 2001] and 692 outflow [Tsimplis and Bryden 2000; Candela 2001; Soto-Navarro et al. 2010] at the 693 strait of Gibraltar. Our results agree well with other published estimates of the deep 694 water formation rate in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea based on observations 695 or models (0.3-2.4 Sv) [Tziperman and Speer 1994; Herrmann et al., 2008; 696 Schroeder et al., 2008a,L'Heveder et al., 2012; Durrieu de Madron et al., 2013; 697 Somot et al., 2016; Waldman et al., 2016]. In this study, our estimates are likely to underestimate the formation rate of the new deep water due to different factors: 698

1) The upper limit for the chl-a criterion which is subjective and may vary from
one year to another. Here we choose a minimal value of 0.15mg.m<sup>-3</sup>, a larger value
of 0.25mg.m<sup>-3</sup> would still be acceptable (compared to the MLD observed by glider)
and would double our deep water formation area and dense water formation rate
estimate;

2) They are only based on one instantaneous cloud-free image per year that could miss a part of the newly-formed deep water already exported outside of the deep convection area.

707

# 708 4.2.4 Atmospheric Forcing and Water Column Stratification

The index of stratification IS(1000) from 2008 to 2013 is shown in figure 9a (red 709 710 line) with the error represented by the dotted purple lines. The higher the IS(1000) 711 index value, the larger the integrated buoyancy losses must be to ensure convection 712 down to 1000m depth. Errors in our calculation of IS are non-negligible for the first years (September 2007 to April 2009), with an order of 0.5m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-2</sup>. The stratification 713 index IS(1000) varies between 0 m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-2</sup> in winter, when the water column is 714 completely mixed, to 2 m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-2</sup> at the end of the summer, when the stratification of the 715 water column is maximal. As the number of instruments on the mooring increase, 716 errors on IS decrease (from  $0.1 \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-2}$  in 2010 to  $0.02 \text{ m}^2.\text{s}^{-2}$  or less in 2013). 717

The different heat fluxes (latent, sensible, radiative) for winter 2008 to winter 2013 are presented together in figure 9b. Mean heat fluxes are calculated from November 1<sup>st</sup> of the previous year to the end of February of the year under consideration. The strongest winter in terms of heat and integrated buoyancy losses is 2012 (196 W.m<sup>-2</sup>, 1.35 m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-2</sup>), while the weakest is 2008 (135 W.m<sup>-2</sup>, 0.94 m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-2</sup>). The net heat flux during wintertime is mainly dominated by the latent heat flux, between 75% (2010) and 86% (2008) of the total net heat flux, while the sensible heat flux represents only 17% (2008) to 24% (2010) of the total heat flux. The radiative heat flux is close to zero (between 1% and 3%).

IS(1000) is calculated for November 1<sup>st</sup>. As mentioned in the methods section, 727 728 this index indicates how much the water column is stratified at the end of the 729 preconditioning period, before the beginning of the deep vertical mixing phase. For 730 all winters (2008 to 2013), we found that IS(1000) is inferior to the surface buoyancy losses integrated from November 1<sup>st</sup> to the end of February, indicating that 731 732 atmospheric forcing is strong enough to explain that deep convection went down to 733 1000m (figure 9c). Another point is that 2011 appears to be the winter during which 734 the water column is the least stratified at the beginning of November. This may 735 explain why deep convection occurs in 2011, while the mean winter heat losses are 736 comparable to 2004, a year without any evidence of newly-formed deep water on 737 historical CTD profiles [Puig et al., 2013b].

738 As discussed in section 4.2.1, the heat losses in the beginning of February 2012 are very strong (figure 5). The surface buoyancy losses integrated from February 1<sup>st</sup> 739 to February 15<sup>th</sup> are about 0.35 m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-2</sup>, corresponding to a net heat flux of -440 W.m<sup>-2</sup>. 740 On February 1<sup>st</sup>, the stratification index IS(1000) has a value of 0.45±0.10 m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-2</sup>. By 741 taking into account the 0.10 m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-2</sup> uncertainty on our calculation of IS(1000), we see 742 that the surface buoyancy losses integrated from February 1<sup>st</sup> to February 15<sup>th</sup> can 743 744 explain by themselves why the MLD deepened by 2000m at the beginning of 745 February 2012. For the other winters, the mixed layer went from the LIW layer to the 746 bottom in a period ranging between 2.5 weeks and 1 month, while in 2012 it took 747 only 5 days.

748

#### 749 **4.3 Modification of water masses characteristics**

We analyze in this section the evolution of the heat and salt content of the water column and the thermohaline characteristics of the deep water masses from 2007 to 2013.

#### **4.3.1 Evolution of the Heat and Salt Content of the Water Column**

754 Figures 10, 11 and 12 show the mean potential temperature, salinity and 755 potential density for the main water masses of the WMED: the Atlantic Water (AW, 0-756 200m), the Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW, 200-600m) and the deep waters 757 (WMDW, 600-2300m). A distinction is made for the deep waters between 600 and 758 1000m (oWMDW) and between 1000 and 2300m (nWMDW), as  $\theta$ -S diagrams show 759 since 2005 the appearance of newly-formed deep water masses below 1000m 760 ([López-Jurado et al., 2005; Salat et al., 2006; Schroeder et al., 2006, Puig et al., 761 2013b], figure 13).

#### 762 The surface layer 0-200m

763 The daily averaged data at the mooring (dark line) are represented together with 764 data from CTD casts from research vessels, gliders and profiling floats (red dots). 765 Errors due to the limited number of vertical levels for the mooring are also indicated 766 as cyan lines. We can clearly see a seasonal cycle in the mean potential 767 temperature of the surface layer (1-200m) varying from 13.0±0.2 °C in winter to 17 ± 768 2 °C in summer. The higher error during summertime (figure 10) is mainly due to the 769 low number of instruments in this layer, the strong surface gradient in potential 770 temperature associated with the summer thermocline is not captured correctly. In 771 winter the surface layer is homogeneous in potential temperature and thus the errors 772 are negligible. After the addition of temperature sensors under the LION buoy in 773 November 2010 (table 2), the errors, associated with the vertical interpolation of the 774 potential temperature records, become negligible in both winter and summer. Since 775 a conductivity sensor has been installed on the LION surface buoy only from 776 September 2011, mean salinity and density are not available for the layer 1-200m or 777 1-2300m for the 2007-2011 period. The decrease in the error on the mean salinity 778 and potential density seen in 2011 and 2012 is due to the winter mixing, which 779 homogenize the upper ocean.

#### 780 The intermediate layer (200-600m)

The heat and salt content variability of the intermediate layer (200-600m) at the LION mooring site is mainly driven by the deep convection events with a  $\sim 0.3^{\circ}$ C decrease in potential temperature (figure 10) and  $\sim 0.05$  decrease in salinity (figure 11) in winter, when the deep convection reaches the seabed. The small number of conductivity sensors (see table 2) explains why before April 2008, the error on the
mean salinity is larger than the error on the mean potential temperature.

No clear trend of warming of the 200-600m layer appears for the 2007-2013 period. After the intense vertical mixing period of the deep convection reaches the bottom, the restratification of the 200-600m layer to its pre-convection potential density level (29.09 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>) takes between 5 and 6 months (figure 12).

#### 791 The deep layer (600-2300m)

792 The spreading of the newly-formed deep waters can be seen in the 1000-2300m 793 layer, in figure 12. At the end of the intense vertical mixing period, the potential 794 density reaches a local maximum. Once the intense atmospheric forcing has 795 stopped, the potential density at the mooring location begins to decrease, indicating 796 the spreading of the newly-formed deep waters and their mixing with the older deep 797 waters found in the surrounding area. It takes between  $\sim$ 4 months (in 2012) and  $\sim$ 6 798 months (in 2010) for the deep layers to dissipate 50% of their potential density 799 increase due to bottom-reaching convection. The absence of deep convection in 800 2007 and 2008 explain why the important seasonal variability of the mean potential density of the 1000-2300m layer, O(0.01 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>), is seen only since 2009. This 801 802 seasonal variability in the mean potential density of the deep layer is due to new 803 deep (i.e. dense) water formation, the spreading of this new deep water and the 804 restratification of older deep water.

805 The warming and salting of the deep layers (600-2300m) cannot be clearly seen 806 for the 2007-2008 period due to a too large error on the heat and salt content. 807 However, in September 2008, the addition of instruments on the line considerably 808 reduces the error on the estimation of the heat and salt content of the deep layers 809 (figure 10,11). The formation of warmer and saltier deep waters after each deep 810 convection event can be seen as an injection of heat and salt in the deep ocean. 811 From October 2009 (after the 6-month observational gap) to July 2013, linear trends 812 are estimated fitting a straight line by means of least squares, for the potential 813 temperature, salinity and potential density of the deep water. The slope of the fit 814 represents a trend or a mean annual change. The 95% confidence intervals for the 815 trends are calculated using a T-student test. All the trends in this study are significant 816 (slope different from 0) as their P-values are lower than 0.001.

817 Between October 2009 and July 2013, the linear trends, calculated with a 95% 818 confidence interval, in the deep layer corresponding to the nWMDW (1000-2300m are: 3.0 +/- 0.3  $*10^{-3}$  °C/yr for the potential temperature (figure 10), 3.6 +/- 0.2  $*10^{-3}$ 819 /yr for the salinity (figure 11) and 2.2 +/- 0.2  $*10^{-4}$  kg.m<sup>-3</sup>/yr for the potential density 820 (figure 12). The increase in heat (salt) for the 600-2300m layer is about 3.2 +/- 0.5 821 \*10<sup>-3</sup> °C/yr (resp. 3.3 +/- 0.2 \*10<sup>-3</sup> /yr). Vargas-Yanez et al., found trends of 1.2 +/-822 1.0  $*10^{-3}$  /yr for the salinity and 0.28 +/- 0.23 W.m<sup>-2</sup> for the heat content, 823 corresponding to a trend in potential temperature of 1.1 +/- 0.9  $*10^{-3}$  °C/yr (using a 824 mean potential density of 1029 kg  $m^{-3}$ , a mean potential temperature of 12.8°C, and 825 826 a mean salinity of 38.4).

827 We found a warming and salting rate for the 2009-2013 period three times higher 828 than the one previously established by Vargas-Yanez et al. [2010b] in the Gulf of 829 Lions for the 1952-1995 period. A first explanation for these different trends lies in 830 the different periods and the different methods used: Vargas-Yanez used a 43-year 831 reconstructed time series from CTD casts, while in this study we used a 4-year time 832 series from mooring data. Observations of deep convection were sparse before the 833 2000s, therefore we cannot be sure that five consecutive years of deep convection, 834 such as the one presented in this work, did not happen in the past.

835 Every deep convection event induces an injection of heat and salt in the deep 836 layer due to the vertical mixing of the LIW with the fresher and colder deep water. 837 Different factors can explain the formation of warmer and saltier deep water 838 compared to the 43-year period studied by Vargas-Yanez, such as changes in the 839 stratification of the surface and intermediate layers. For the same atmospheric 840 forcing, a less stratified AW/LIW layer would allow the vertical mixing to reach the 841 bottom more quickly, and the continuous buoyancy losses would continue 842 decreasing the temperature of the whole water column, leading to colder deep water. 843 The reasons for these changes in the AW/LIW stratification are still not clearly 844 understood. The changes in the  $\theta$ -S characteristics of the AW and LIW can be due to 845 increasing temperature and salinity in the inflowing AW at Gibraltar Strait [Millot 846 2007], changes in large-scale atmospheric patterns [Josey et al., 2011], and an 847 accumulation of heat and salt in the intermediate layer due to the absence of deep convection during the 90s [Herrmann et al., 2010] and the propagation of the EMT to
Western Mediterranean Sea [Schroeder et al., 2010].

- 850
- 851
- 852

# **4.3.2 Variability and Transients in the Bottom Layer**

#### 854 Stepwise increases and long-term θ-S evolution of the nWMDW

The stepwise increase in bottom waters characteristics can be noticed for five consecutive years (2009-2013) as positive jumps in  $\theta$  and S (figures 6d,e table 4), resulting from deep convection events that reach the bottom in February. The formation of these warmer and saltier deep waters can be seen as an injection of heat and salt in the deep ocean, leading to the observed trends (detailed in the previous subsection) in the potential temperature, salinity and potential density of the deep water.

862 If the long-term increase in salinity and potential density of the deep layers 863 seems to be strongly related to the recurrent formation of salty deep waters, the 864 warming trend of the nWMDW can be reduced by very strong events of deep 865 convection when the mixed layer depth reaches the bottom and the strong buoyancy 866 loss continues to decrease the potential temperature of the mixed layer and 867 therefore of the newly-formed deep water by O(0.01) degree. During this period of 868 intense vertical mixing (9-12days, table 4) the salinity remains relatively constant, but 869 the potential temperature of the bottom waters undergoes a significant decrease 870 (figures 6d,e) ranging from 0.012°C in winter 2011 to 0.032°C in winter 2012 (table 871 4). This potential temperature decrease induces an increase in the potential density 872 of the newly formed deep waters between 0.003 kg.m<sup>-3</sup> in winter 2011 to 0.009 kg.m<sup>-1</sup> 873 <sup>3</sup> in winter 2012 (figure 6f).

Another deep mooring part of the HydroChange program monitors the evolution of the deep waters in the Gulf of Lions since autumn 2006 (located at 45°N/5°E, at 2400 m depth, about 30kms east of the LION mooring location). From data collected at this mooring, Schroeder et al., [2013] also noticed the abrupt positive jumps in  $\theta$ and S occurring in February 2009, 2010 and 2011, and the subsequent decrease in 879 potential temperature. The two previous winters (2007 and 2008) show rather stable 880 near-bottom  $\theta$  and S at 12.869 ± 0.005°C and 38.479 ± 0.002. We interpret this 881 decrease in potential temperature of the mixed layer, already seen by Schroeder et 882 al. [2013], as the effect of intense net heat losses after that the MLD has reached the 883 seabed. The absence of an increase in the salinity of the bottom waters at the same 884 time can be explained by the dominance of the heat fluxes on the wintertime 885 buoyancy fluxes [Grignon et al., 2010]. As already discussed in section 4.1., the 886 salinity of the newly-formed deep waters is mostly set by the salt content of the water 887 column before convection, while its potential temperature results from a combination 888 of the initial heat content of the water column and of the surface heat fluxes.

#### 889 Θ-S inhomogeneity of the new WMDW formed by deep convection

In March 25<sup>th</sup> 2010, the potential temperature of the bottom waters undergoes a 890 891 sharp decrease ( $\approx 0.02^{\circ}$ C, figure 2d), resulting in an increase in the potential density (0.002 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>, figure 2f). At that moment, the water column is already stratified and 892 893 the atmospheric forcing only cannot explain this potential temperature change (figure 894 3d). Then the potential temperature progressively increases until reaching an 895 equilibrium state in August 2010 (figure 2d). No similar signal is recorded by the 896 conductivity sensors. The high salinity values of the deep waters measured after 897 February 2010 have never been recorded since the first deployment of the mooring 898 in November 2007 (figure 6e). This secondary newly-formed deep water mass is 899 characterized by a colder potential temperature, a similar salinity, and a higher potential density. This new WMDW, observed from March 25<sup>th</sup> 2010, is therefore 900 distinct from the main one observed between February 18<sup>th</sup> and March 25<sup>th</sup> 2010 901 902 (figure 2d, e). The linear decrease in potential density between April and August 903 2010 observed on the near bottom Microcat (figure 2e) is associated with a period of intense horizontal currents (figure 3b). This is certainly the indication of the mixing 904 905 between these two different newly-formed deep waters, as the salinity remains 906 almost constant from April to August.

This colder newly-formed deep water is detected one month after the last vertical velocities are recorded at 1000m depth on February 18<sup>th</sup> (figure 3c). As both new water masses have the same salinity (set by the salt content of the water column before convection, as described in section 4.1), this new water mass should have 911 been formed within the deep convection zone. The only differences between the two 912 new water masses are their temperature, indicating that the two formation sites could 913 have experienced slightly different atmospheric conditions and/or the pre-convection 914 water column could have different  $\theta$ -S characteristics. Lacombe et al., [1985] already 915 suggested heterogeneity inside the mixed patch with different water-mass formed in 916 different parts of the cyclonic gyre.

917 Considering similar buoyancy fluxes acting in two locations characterized by 918 different stratifications, the deepening of the mixed layer will be faster where the 919 stratification is lower. Such local modification of the stratification could be induced by 920 the presence of (sub) mesoscale eddies that help the mixed layer deepening 921 [L'Herminier et al, 1999; Legg and McWilliams, 2001; Bosse et al, 2015]. 922 Furthermore, a shallower bottom depth could allow the MLD to reach the seabed 923 earlier. In this case, the entire homogeneous water column could be exposed to 924 severe heat losses for a longer period of time. This would finally lead to a stronger 925 potential temperature decrease and to the formation of colder and denser deep 926 waters.

#### 927 WMDW formed by dense shelf water cascading

928 Another remarkable signal can be noticed between March 2012 and May 2012. It 929 is characterized by a sharp decrease of the bottom potential temperature and salinity 930 and high-frequency fluctuations in potential temperature and salinity (figures 6d,e). 931 This high-frequency variability is not seen in the potential density time series (figure 932 6f), and indicates two distinct water masses characterized by different potential 933 temperature and salinity (discussed more in details in the next section, 4.3.3). The 934 origin of the bottom waters characterized by a lower potential temperature and 935 salinity has been identified as the result of dense shelf water cascading [Durrieu de 936 Madron et al., 2013]. The peculiar atmospheric conditions of winter 2012 indeed 937 triggered a massive formation of dense waters over the continental shelf that 938 overflowed the shelf edge and cascaded down to the bottom of the Gulf of Lions 939 basin.

940

#### 941 4.3.3 Evolution of the Western Mediterranean Deep Water(s)

942 Although the open-ocean deep convection is the main mechanism for the 943 renewal of the WMDW, dense shelf water cascading can have an influence on 944 WMDW, as it was first suggested by Béthoux et al. [2002], and sketched in Figure 1 945 of Puig et al [2013a]. Puig et al. [2013b] highlight the persistence in the deep waters 946 since winter 2005 of a  $\theta$ -S anomaly related to dense shelf water cascading. The 947 coexistence of deep waters formed by deep convection and by dense shelf water 948 cascading can be clearly seen on a  $\theta$ -S diagram with a V-shape linking the denser 949 deep waters formed by cascading and the deep waters formed by open-ocean 950 convection. Several authors reported this shift in deep waters characteristics since 951 2005 [Lopez-Jurado et al., 2005; Canals et al., 2006; Schroeder et al., 2006, 2008b; 952 Smith et al., 2008; CIESM, 2009].

953 In 2007, CTD stations made in the Gulf of Lions clearly show 3 different deep 954 water masses (figure 13a) and an inverse V-shape structure below the Levantine 955 Intermediate Waters (>600m). One can clearly see the stacking of three different 956 water masses (indicated by a  $\theta$ -S extremum) with the "old" WMDW (oWMDW, between 800 and 1400m), the "new" WMDW formed by deep convection in winters 957 2004/2005 and 2005/2006 (nWMDW  $^{(2007)}_{2005/06-\it{oc}}$ , between 1400 and 1900m) and the 958 "new" WMDW formed in winter 2004/2005 by dense shelf water cascading 959 (nWMDW $_{2005-CS}^{(2007)}$ , between 2000m and the bottom). We use superscript in order to 960 961 define the year when the deep waters are observed. Thermohaline characteristics of 962 the deep waters change from year to year due the permanent turbulent mixing, 963 which tends to homogenize the deep layers and progressively fade the signal of the 964 different deep water masses. For example, in 2005 the  $\theta$ -S characteristics of the 965 bottom waters (nWMDW<sub>2005-CS</sub>) recorded in the Gulf of Lions by Schroeder et al. 966 [2006] were close to  $\theta$ =12.76°C and S=38.46, while two years later the observed  $\theta$ -S 967 characteristics of this water mass are less pronounced ( $\theta = 12.87^{\circ}C$  and S = 38.48). 968 The year to year evolution of a specific deep water mass can be tracked on  $\theta$ -S 969 diagrams in particular during years without wintertime deep convection events.

970 In 2008 (figure 13b), CTD casts reveal the fading of the deep waters signal, 971 especially for the deep water mass found between 1400m and 1900m. The potential 972 temperature of the nWMDW<sup>(2008)</sup><sub>2005/06-0C</sub> decreases by 0.02°C in one year, while the salinity decreases about several thousandths (same order of magnitude as ourconfidence in the calibration of the CTD).

975 In July 2009, the deep waters are homogenized with a less pronounced V-shape 976 structure. This year a new thermohaline anomaly appears on the  $\theta$ -S diagram. On 977 the last 300m of the water column, a warmer water mass can be seen (figure 13c). 978 As presented in section 4.2, 2009 is a year of bottom-reaching deep convection 979 (figure 5) characterized by an increase in the potential temperature and the salinity of 980 the bottom waters after the deep convection event (figures 6d, e). The range of near-981 bottom salinity and potential temperature recorded at 2300m depth just at the end of 982 the vertical mixing is shown by the dark gray dots in figure 13c. These potential 983 temperature and salinity correspond to the characteristics of the newly-formed deep 984 water of 2009 (nWMDW<sub>2009</sub>) at the time of its formation, while CTD casts from July 985 reveal less marked bottom water characteristics, a consequence of their mixing with 986 "older" deep waters.

987 The detection of newly-formed deep water on a  $\theta$ -S diagram is relatively 988 straightforward in the months following the deep convection period (appearance of a 989 new extremum in the  $\theta$ -S diagram). But identification of the older "vintage" of deep 990 water masses is more difficult on CTD profiles carried out in summer when the deep 991 water masses have started to mix with each other. However, a local maximum in 992 potential temperature between 1000 and 1400m depth can be noticed in the  $\theta$ -S 993 diagram in 2009 (figure 13c), suggesting the presence of a water mass with  $\theta$ -S characteristics close to  $nWMDW_{2005/06-0C}^{(2008)}$  . 994

995 In June 2010, CTD casts still show the inverse V-shape structure between 800 996 and 1800m, but a second thermohaline structure appears below 1800m, also under 997 the shape of an inverse V. One can relate this second V-shape with the particular 998 characteristics of the newly-formed deep waters of 2010. As discussed in the 999 previous section (4.3.2), two different deep water masses  $nWMDW_{2010-I}$  and  $nWMDW_{2010-II}$  could have been formed in winter 2010 (indicated by the two dark 1000 1001 rectangles in figure 13d). These two water masses can be seen on the temporal 1002 evolution of the bottom potential temperature in March 2010 (figure 2d). The similar 1003 salinity and the different potential temperature explain why during the summer cruise 1004 a second inverse V-shape structure can be seen at the end of  $\theta$ -S diagram tail. A 1005 possible explanation for the decrease of the vertical gradient of  $\theta$  in the bottom layer 1006 in June compared to March can be found in the (isopycnal) mixing that constantly 1007 tends to homogenize the deep waters, during that time the horizontal currents are 1008 particularly important (figure 7b).

In winter 2011, deep convection reaches the seabed (figures 5 and 7c). Warmer
and saltier deep waters are produced (figure 13e). This new bottom water mass
(nWMDW<sub>2011</sub>) is also observed on CTD casts performed in June 2011.

1012 The first winter of concomitant open-ocean deep convection and dense shelf 1013 water cascading since 2006, is observed in winter 2012 [Durrieu de Madron et al., 1014 2013], In addition to the mooring data, where a significant drop of potential 1015 temperature and salinity can be noticed in April 2012, the presence of the dense 1016 waters of shelf origin can clearly be seen on CTD casts performed in July 2012 1017 (figure 13f). A new inverse V-shape structure appeared in the  $\theta$ -S diagram below 1018 1600m, similar to the deep stratification after winter 2005. This thermohaline 1019 anomaly extends over more than 600m on CTD casts made close to the mooring 1020 location. The shape of the anomaly can be related to the new deep waters formed in winter 2012 by open-ocean deep convection (nWMDW<sub>2012-0C</sub>) and by cascading 1021 1022 from the shelf (nWMDW<sub>2012-CS</sub>) as indicated by the gray dots in figure 13f. Extrema 1023 in  $\theta$  and S associated with the dense shelf waters are respectively  $\theta$ =12.80°C and 1024 S=38.479.

1025 In winter 2013, no deep water formed by dense shelf water cascading is 1026 detected. After the deep convection event of February 2013, there is no sudden 1027 freshening of the bottom layer (figure 6), as in March-April 2012. Winter 2013 is a 1028 winter of deep convection with a mixed layer reaching the seabed (figure 5) but the 1029 newly-formed deep water nWMDW<sub>2013</sub> cannot be distinguished from 1030 the nWMDWs<sub>2012</sub> by using only  $\theta$ -S diagrams of the 2013 summer CTD (figure 13g). 1031 The changes in potential density and in salinity of the bottom layer, after 2013 deep 1032 convection event, are the smallest since the first recording of deep convection in 1033 2009 (figure 6e, table4). For winter 2013 the salinity of the bottom water increases by 0.004, and the potential density by 0.003 kg.m<sup>-3</sup>. As for all the previous years, the 1034 1035 deep layer is not uniform. A "smooth" inverse V-shapes can be seen below 1600m

indicating that the nWMDW formed in 2012 still influence the deep stratification in theGulf of Lions.

1038

#### 1039 **5.** Conclusions

1040

1041 For the first time, an observing system monitored continuously the deep 1042 convection phenomena providing invaluable hydrological observations of six years of 1043 open-ocean deep convection (October 2007-July 2013) from surface moored buoy 1044 MF-LION and deep mooring LION located in the center of the open-ocean dense 1045 water formation zone of the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea. The first winter in 1046 2008 is characterized by deep convection reaching ~1000m depth, and the next five 1047 winters (from 2009 to 2013) are characterized by deep convection reaching the 1048 seabed, found at a depth of 2300m. Using glider, moored and satellite observations 1049 we propose to estimate the maximal extent of the area of deep convection in the Gulf 1050 of Lions. We delimit the deep convection area by a surface chlorophyll criterion of 0.15mg.m<sup>-3</sup>, ranging from about 13000 km<sup>2</sup> in winter 2010 to 24000 km<sup>2</sup> in winter 1051 1052 2013. Assuming a mean depth of 2200m, we use these surfaces to estimate a 1053 formation rate of the new denser class of deep water that appear in 2009, 2010 and 1054 2012. This formation rate, associated with the higher density class observed in 1055 winter, range between 0.9 Sv in 2010 and 1.25 Sv in 2012.

1056 The LION mooring is a unique observing platform to study the temporal evolution 1057 of the mixed layer in an area where the MLD can reach the seabed, found at a depth 1058 of 2300m. A secondary vertical mixing period generally occurs in March after the end 1059 of the main event of deep ocean convection. This short mixing phase (2-4days) 1060 happens right after the onset of the restratification. The water column is weakly 1061 stratified at that time and can be easily destabilized. A period between 3 to 6 days of 1062 buoyancy losses, due to intense and frequent wind bursts (Mistral/Tramontane), can 1063 lead to a fast deepening of the mixed layer (>1500m). The long restratification and 1064 spreading times, which can be observed after each winter of deep convection, 1065 suggest that the restratification/spreading phase is still active at the beginning of the 1066 next deep convection event. The overlapping of the three phases of the deep
1067 convection process suggests a "memory effect" of the water column. Further studies 1068 need to be conducted to investigate the role of (sub-) mesoscale eddies for the 1069 restratification of the area, the spreading of the newly formed deep waters, and also 1070 for the preconditioning of the area.

1071

1072 Observations from the LION mooring confirm that the salinity of the newly 1073 formed deep waters is essentially controlled by the salinity content of the mixed 1074 layer, as already suggested by [Grignon et al., 2010]. However the potential 1075 temperature of the newly-formed deep water is driven by the heat content of the 1076 mixed layer and by the surface buoyancy loss during the deep vertical mixing. Once 1077 the mixed layer reaches the seabed, the strong surface buoyancy losses act to 1078 decrease the potential temperature of the mixed layer, and therefore of the newly-1079 formed deep water by O(0.01) degree.

1080 These sudden inputs of salt and heat in the deep water, after each event of deep 1081 convection, are responsible for the salting and heating of the deep water. For the period 2009-2013, the mean annual change in salinity is  $3.3 + - 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$  /yr for the 1082 600-2300m layer, while the trend in potential temperature is  $3.2 + -0.5 \times 10^{-3} \circ C/yr$  for 1083 1084 the 600-2300m layer. These trends indicate warming and salting of the deep layer 1085 (600-2300m) for the 2009-2013 period three times higher than the one previously 1086 established by Vargas-Yanez et al. [2010b] in the Gulf of Lions for the 1952-1995 1087 period, which could be due to recent changes in the stratification of the surface and 1088 intermediate layers. These observations suggest an important role of recurrent 1089 events of deep convection in accelerating the warming and salting of the Western 1090 Mediterranean Deep Water.

1091 Newly-formed deep waters have been detected after every winter of deep 1092 convection from 2009 to 2013. In winter 2010, we observed for the first time two 1093 distinct new deep water formed by deep convection during the same winter. They 1094 present a different potential temperature but a similar salinity, suggesting that both 1095 might have been formed within the cyclonic gyre but in different locations. In 2012, 1096 two new deep waters have also been detected at the mooring location. One was 1097 identified as a result of open-ocean deep convection, while the other is the result of 1098 dense shelf water cascading that occurred in winter 2012 [Durrieu de Madron et al,1099 2013].

1100 Although the persistence of the different deep waters in the WMED could be 1101 partly studied with the large number of observations collected during the last decade, 1102 the LION mooring offers new insights into deep waters dynamics, a major element of 1103 the thermohaline circulation of the Mediterranean Sea. The seven-year of  $\theta$ -S 1104 diagrams clearly shows the successive appearance of new deep water masses after 1105 each deep waters formation event reached the seabed. However, after each winter 1106 of deep convection, the newly-formed deep waters spread into the whole basin and 1107 progressively mix with the former deep waters. This explains the "attenuated" 1108 characteristics of the deep waters recorded during the summertime CTD-surveys. 1109 compared to winter extrema recorded at the LION mooring. Several water masses 1110 composing the WMDW in the western Mediterranean have been found to persist for 1111 several years since 2005 and might be related to a mixing in the deepest layers less 1112 intense than previously thought, or to an increasing volume of newly formed deep 1113 waters during the last years.

1114

1115

## 1116 Acknowledgements

1117 This study acknowledges the support of the MOOSE observation network of the 1118 northwestern Mediterranean, the HERMIONE (FP7-ENV-2008-1-226354) and 1119 PERSEUS (FP7-OCEAN.2011-3- 287600) projects funded by the European Union 1120 under FP7, and the French "Equipement d'avenir" NAOS project (ANR J11R107-F), 1121 It is a contribution to the MerMex and HyMeX projects of the MISTRALS program. 1122 The authors would like to acknowledge the captains and crew members of R/V Le 1123 Tethys II, R/V L'Atlante and R/V Le Suroit; the staff of the DT-INSU national pool of 1124 gliders; and all the scientists and support scientists who participated in the different 1125 research cruises and in the maintenance of the moored instruments. The authors 1126 would like to thank Dr. Harry Bryden, Dr. Vassilis P. Papadopoulos and and two 1127 anonymous reviewers for their precious comments which greatly improve the quality

of the manuscript. We would like also to thank Matthew Toberman for helping us toimprove the language of this manuscript.

1130 The data used are listed in the data section. The MODIS Aqua surface 1131 chlorophyll concentration data can be obtained from the NASA web site 1132 (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/). Cruises, floats and gliders data can be accessed 1133 from the Coriolis Data Center (http://www.coriolis.eu.org/). The LION mooring data 1134 can be accessed through the SEANOE (SEA scieNtific Open data Edition) portal, 1135 operated by Sismer: http://doi.org/10.17882/44411. The MF-LION buoy data were 1136 obtained from the HyMeX program, sponsored by Grants MISTRALS/HyMeX and Météo-France; SST, SSS, and 0-250m ocean temperature data be downloaded from 1137 1138 http://data.datacite.org/10.6096/HyMeX.LionBuoy.Thermosalinograph.20100308; 1139 http://data.datacite.org/10.6096/MISTRALS-HyMeX-MOOSE.1025;

1140 <u>http://data.datacite.org/10.6096/MISTRALS-HyMeX-MOOSE.388</u>. We acknowledge

1141 the CMM (Météo-France) for installing and maintaining the MF-LION buoy and

1142 corresponding sensors.

1143

1144 Appendices

1145 Appendix A: The LION mooring line: instruments and calibration

1146 RBR sensors TR-1050/1 and TR-1060 (temperature recorders manufactured by 1147 RBR (https://rbr-global.com/) were used between 150m and 700m depth until June 1148 2011, and were replaced afterward by SeaBird Temperature Logger SBE56. SeaBird 1149 Microcat SBE37 (conductivity-temperature-pressure recorders) were located all 1150 along the line between 150m depth and 2300m. Nortek Aquadopp were deployed 1151 since LION3 (September 2008) at 5 levels measuring horizontal and vertical 1152 currents, while there were only 2 Aanderaa RCM 9 at 1000m and 2320m depth 1153 during LION1 (Sep. 2007 / Mar. 2008) and 1 Aanderaa RCM 9 at 1000m LION2 1154 (Sep. 2008). Hence, during these two first deployments, we solely get the horizontal 1155 currents from the current meters. The vertical resolution was improved during LION3 1156 and since then, with 20 potential temperature records, 10 salinity records and 5 1157 current records spanning depths from 150 m to 2320 m (30 m above the seabed). 1158 Here, the Aquadopp temperature data were not used because of the low resolution 1159 and accuracy of the sensor (0.1°) and much more accurate temperature data were 1160 available from other sensors at about the same depths (several meters).

1161 The severe environmental conditions imposed the use of a subsurface mooring, 1162 although the record of the upper 150m heat content was prevented. However, 1163 surface data could be obtained by glider profiles crossing nearby (<30km) and by 1164 temperature records of the MF-LION meteorological buoy situated at <4.5km from 1165 the deep mooring (see section 2.2). RBR 1050/1060 and SBE56 temperature 1166 recorders were set up with a 15 seconds sampling, while SBE37 CTD recorders and 1167 current meters had a sampling of 6 minutes and 30 minutes respectively. In order to 1168 have a consistent data set with 30-minutes sampling rate, we subsampled the time 1169 series recorded at a higher frequency.

The recovery percentage was satisfactory both for current meters (93%), temperature sensors (93%) and CTD sensors (96%). In particular, due to a breaking at the base of the line during the recovery (April 2009), we lost the bottom Aquadopp and no current data were obtained at 2300m for the period from September 2008 to March 2009. During LION5, there were some battery issues on five SBE37 CTDs (165m, 1100m, 1300m, 1780m and 200m) that stopped recording in February 2011. A delayed recovery of the mooring in July 2012 caused battery issues for most of the current meters: the 150m and the 2320m current meters stopped recording on 11 June 11<sup>th</sup> 2012, while the 250m and the 500m depth stopped on July 14<sup>th</sup> 2012.

1179 Since the recovery of LION4 (in June 2010), intercalibration of the moored 1180 instruments, after and before each deployment, is performed. Niskin bottles are 1181 removed from the shipboard Rosette, and then Microcat and RBR (or SBE56) are 1182 attached instead. We perform a hydrographic cast with a 20 minutes stop at 1000m 1183 depth, thus we can have a relative calibration of the moored instruments with the 1184 CTD probe SBE911plus. Post- and/or pre- cruise calibrations, together with in-lab 1185 analysis of salinity bottles with a Salinometer (Guildline Autosal) calibrated using 1186 standard water, provide an absolute accuracy of the measurements. Overall, for all 1187 deep mooring data used in this study, calibration error was lower than 0.005 for S, 1188 and 0.001°C for  $\theta$ . No intercalibration was done from September 2007 to April 2009. 1189 At that time, comparisons with CTD stations were the only solution to detect possible 1190 biases in the conductivity measurements. In this study the conductivity corrections 1191 applied to the SBE37 CTD correspond to equivalent salinity corrections ranging from 1192 0.000 to 0.005 (details in [Houpert 2014]).

1193

#### 1194 Appendix B: Mixed Layer Depth calculation

1195 We choose a criterion  $\Delta \theta_1$  large enough to overcome the lower accuracy of the 1196 sensors attached below the surface buoy. From November 2009 to July 2013 we 1197 choose  $\Delta \theta_1 = 0.1^{\circ}$ C and a reference level at 10m. Compared to the commonly used 1198  $\Delta \theta$ =0.2°C criterion [De Boyer Montegut et al, 2004; D'Ortenzio et al., 2005], this 1199 0.1°C criterion reduces the difference between a MLD calculated with a potential 1200 temperature criterion and a MLD calculated on potential temperature-salinity profiles 1201 with an equivalent density criterion [Houpert et al., 2015]. Using this first criterion, a 1202 Mixed Layer Depth (MLD) was calculated for the first 300m of the upper water 1203 column.

1204

1205 A second criterion was required to define a more accurate MLD from the deep 1206 mooring data, as potential temperature gradients at the base of the ML can be

1207 smaller than  $d\theta=0.1^{\circ}C$  in winter when the mixing exceed 1000m depth (figure A1a). 1208 A  $\Delta \theta_1 = 0.1^{\circ}$ C is better adapted for the surface layer where the vertical gradient is 1209 stronger. For the deep layers, the criterion has to be small enough to distinguish the 1210 homogenized mixed layer from the underlying deep waters. After performing 1211 sensibility tests for different potential temperature criteria and regarding the accuracy 1212 of the temperature sensors used on the LION mooring, we defined a  $\Delta \theta_2 = 0.01^{\circ}$ C 1213 criterion and a reference level at 300m, corresponding to the depth of the first SBE37 1214 CTD of the deep LION mooring located below the LION buoy oceanographic 1215 sensors. If the MLD calculated with the  $\Delta \theta_1$  criterion was deeper than 300m, then we used the second criterion  $\Delta \theta_2$  to define the MLD, otherwise the MLD is calculated 1216 1217 only with the  $\Delta \theta_1$  criterion.

1218 Since there was no instrument below the MF-LION surface buoy before 1219 November 2009, we could only use the sea surface temperature sensor at 1m depth 1220 for the winter 2007-2008 and 2008-2009. Due to the low accuracy of this sensor and 1221 the diurnal variability, we tested different criteria. We select the smallest  $\Delta \theta$  criterion 1222 that indicates the periods where the MLD reached 300m depth in agreement with the 1223 potential temperature time-series of the top of the LION mooring (150, 200, 230, 250, 1224 300m depth, more details in table 1). We choose a criterion of 0.6°C calculated 1225 between the potential temperature at 1m and the potential temperature at 300m: if 1226 the potential temperature difference between 1m and 300m is below 0.6°C, as for 1227 the 2009-2013 period, the MLD is defined by a second criterion  $\Delta \theta_2 = 0.01^{\circ}$ C and a 1228 reference level at 300m depth.

1229 The potential temperature profiles used for the calculation of the MLD were 1230 linearly interpolated between the different mooring levels. We estimate the errors 1231 associated with the linear interpolation of the potential temperature profiles by using 1232 all the available CTD profiles from 2007 to 2013 (ship CTD, gliders, profiling floats) 1233 and by calculating for each profile the difference between the MLD calculated on the 1234 high resolution CTD profile and the MLD calculated on the same profile subsampled 1235 at the mooring vertical resolution and linearly interpolated (figure A1b, c). The 1236 improvements in the estimation on the MLD after the installation of the thermistor 1237 chain below the MF-LION buoy can be seen in figure A1b. After 2009, the errors on

the MLD are about O(1m) in the first 100m, O(10m) from 100m to 400m depth and

1239 O(50m) below 400m depth.

# Appendix C: A 1D-model to study the role of winter buoyancy losses and hydrographic preconditioning

Following Mertens and Schott [1998], we can express the surface buoyancy flux B, which depends on the heat and freshwater fluxes at the sea surface, as:

1244 
$$B = \frac{g}{\rho_0} \left( \frac{\alpha_\theta}{c_w} Q_{\text{net}} + \rho_0 \beta_S S(E - P) \right) (\text{Eq. 1})$$

where g = 9.81 m.s<sup>-2</sup> is the acceleration due to gravity,  $\rho_0 = 1000 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$  is the density reference,  $\alpha_0 = 2x10^{-4}$ .K<sup>-1</sup> and  $\beta_S = 7.6x10^{-4}$  are the thermal expansion and haline contraction coefficients,  $c_w = 4000 \text{ J.K.g}^{-1}$ .K<sup>-1</sup> is the heat capacity of water, Qnet is the surface net heat loss, S is the sea surface salinity and E – P represents the net freshwater flux.

1250 The buoyancy content (BC) of the water column is defined as:

1251 
$$BC(t) = \frac{g}{\rho_0} \int \rho(z,t) dz (Eq. 2)$$

1252 The conservation of the BC undergoing a buoyancy flux B(t) between t and t +dt 1253 implies:

1254 
$$BC(t+dt) - BC(t) = \int B(t)dz$$
(Eq. 3)

Following Turner's formalism [Turner, 1973] and hypothesis (i.e. the vertical mixing is non-penetrative) proposed by [Lascaratos and Nittis, 1998], the buoyancy flux required to mix an initially stratified water column down to the depth h can be written as:

1259 
$$\frac{h\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{B(t)}{N^2(h)} (\text{Eq. 4})$$

where N is the buoyancy frequency  $(N^2 = -\frac{g}{\rho_0}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z})$ , and B(t) the surface buoyancy flux defined in Eq. 1.

1262 Integrating Eq. 4 gives:

1263 
$$IS(Z) = \int_0^Z hN^2(h)dh = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} B(t) dt(Eq. 5)$$

where IS(Z) represents an index of stratification of the water column [Herrmann et al., 2010].

1266

1267 In this work, we calculated IS(Z) using the interpolated mooring and buoy data 1268 (see section 3.2) and we evaluated the possible source of errors. Before November 1269 2011, the stratification due to the salinity in the first 200m is unknown because of the 1270 absence of a conductivity sensor at the sea surface. To tackle this problem, we used 1271 then a constant value corresponding to the shallowest salinity measurement (at 1272 170m depth). To evaluate the error due to this approximation, we calculate IS(Z)1273 using high resolution potential temperature and salinity profiles collected in a 30km 1274 radius from the mooring (from gliders, and research vessels). Then, we compared 1275 IS(Z) to  $IS_{S0}(Z)$ , calculated with a constant salinity in the upper ocean. We also 1276 estimated the error due to the low vertical resolution by computing IS<sub>s0</sub> using the 1277 same salinity and potential temperature profiles but subsampled at the depth of the 1278 sensors on the mooring (similar to the method presented in section 3.2).

1279 Another potential source of error can come from biases in vertical density 1280 gradients induced by the intercalibration of the different instruments. As we 1281 estimated an error in the calculation of the potential density less than 0.005 kg  $m^{-3}$ 1282 (due essentially to the calibration of the conductivity sensors), we propagated this 1283 error in the calculation of IS. For an integration to 1000m depth, the error due to the 1284 accuracy of the intercalibration of the instruments represents between 13% and 34% 1285 of the total error (shown in section 4.2.4). If we use a stratification index integrated 1286 down to 2300m, the errors due to the intercalibration of the instruments become too 1287 large (76% to 92% of the total error) to have a relevant use of a stratification index 1288 calculated using the mooring. The winter convection can be considered deep when it 1289 penetrates deeper than the LIW (the intermediate water mass, located around 400m 1290 depth) in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea, so a stratification index IS(1000) 1291 integrated down to 1000m depth is enough to identify deep convection event.

\Deployment Name		LION1		LION2		LION3	LION4	LION5	LION6	LION7
Date		9 Sep. 2 27 Mar.	.007 2008	28 Mar. 20 21 Sep. 2	800 008	24 Sep. 2008 5 Apr. 2009	27 Oct. 2009 23 Jun. 2010	23 Jun. 2010 12 Jun. 2011	13 Jun. 2011 25 Jul. 2012	25 Jun. 2012 17 Jun. 2013
Temperatur e Sensor	Туре	RBR TR-1060		RBR TR-1050/	1060	RBR TR-1050/1060	RBR TR-1050/1060	RBR TR-1050/1060	Seabird SBE56	Seabird SBE56
	Nominal depth (m)	250-350-500- 600		150-200-230- 250-350-400- 450-550-600-650		150-200-230- 250-350-400- 450-550-600- 650	150-200-230- 250-350-400- 450-550-600- 650	150-200-230- 250-350-400- 450-550-600- 650	150-200-230- 250-350-400- 450-550-600- 650	150-200-230- 250-350-400- 450-550-600- 650
	Samplin g	15 sec.		15 sec.		15 sec.				
Conductivity - Temperatur e- Depth sensor	Туре	Seabird SBE37SM		Seabird SBE37SM		Seabird SBE37SM	Seabird SBE37SM	Seabird SBE37SM	Seabird SBE37SM	Seabird SBE37SM
	Nominal depth (m)	170-700-1500- 2300		170-300-500- 700-1500-2300		170-300-500- 700-850-1100- 1300-1500- 1750- 2000-2300	170-300-500- 700-850-1100- 1300-1500- 1750- 2000-2300	170-300-500- 700-850-1100- 1300-1500- 1750- 2000-2300	170-300-500- 700-850-1100- 1300-1500- 1750- 2000-2300	170-300-500- 700-850-1100- 1300-1500- 1750- 2000-2300
	Samplin g	6 min.		6 min.		3 min. / 6 min.				
Current meter	Туре	Aandera a RCM9	RDI ADCP	Aanderaa RCM9	RDI ADCP	Nortek Aquadopp	Nortek Aquadopp	Nortek Aquadopp	Nortek Aquadopp	Nortek Aquadopp
	Nominal depth (m)	1000	2300	1000	2300	150-250-500- 1000	150-250-500- 1000-2300	150-250-500- 1000-2300	150-250-500- 1000-2300	150-250-500- 1000-2300
	Samplin g	30 min	30 min	30 min	30 min	30 min.				

## 1292 Table 1: Details of the instruments shackled to the LION mooring line from 2007 to 2013.

1293	Table 2: Details of the instrument mounted on the MF-LION surface buoy	y from 2009 to 2013
------	--	---------------------

		13 Nov 2009	2 Mar 2010	5 Nov 2010
Duic		2 Mar. 2010	5 Nov. 2010	17 Jun. 2013
NKE SP2T Temperature Sensor	Nominal depth (m)	10-20-50-100-200	10-200	5-10-15-20-25-30-35-40- 50-60-70-75-80-90-100- 120-150-175-200-250
	Sampling	5 min	5 min.	5 min.
SBE37 Seabird CTD	Nominal depth (m)	n.a.	n.a.	2 (since 28 Sep.2011), 120 (since 30 Jan. 2013)
	Sampling	n.a.	n.a.	10 sec.

1294 Table 3: Criterion used to calculate the mixed layer depth at the LION site..

	2007-2009 (no temperature data in the first 150m, except SST)	2009-2013 (temperature sensors between 1 and 200m)
MLD < 300m	$\Delta \theta_1$ =0.6°C, reference level: 1m	$\Delta \theta_1$ =0.1°C, reference level: 10m
MLD >= 300m.	$\Delta \theta_2$ =0.01°C reference le	, evel: 300m

1295 Table 4: Main scales associated with the different deep convection events from 20081296 to 2013.

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Mixed layer deepens below 150 m	17/12/07	15/12/08	17/12/09	15/12/10	08/01/12	08/12/12
WIW detected (S<38.42 at 150m)	21/12/07	30/12/08	09/01/10	16/12/10	03/02/12	All the year 2012
LIW mixed	02/04/08	08/01/09	23/01/10	19/12/10	07/02/12	25/01/13
Mixed Layer reaches the bottom	no (700m)	12/02/09	10/02/10	27/01/11	10/02/12	13/02/13
Bottom pot. temperature increase	х	0.040°	0.030°	0.022°	0.042°	0.032°
Bottom salinity increase	х	0.009	0.007	0.006	0.012	0.004
End of vertical mixing (until 1000m)	х	26/02/09	20/02/10	05/02/11	23/02/12	28/02/13
Pot. temperature decrease of the mixed layer once the bottom is reached	x	0.024°	0.019°	0.012°	0.032°	0.032°
Bottom pot. density increase at the end of the mixing (kg.m <sup>-3</sup> )	х	0.0044	0.0062	0.0030	0.0090	0.0030
Max. deepening of mooring head	0	350m	550m	550m	550m	550m
Second vertical mixing event						
Time period	x	06/03/09- 08/03/09	09/03/10- 12/03/10	01/03/11- 04/03/11	07/03/12- 11/03/12	13/03/13- 17/03/13
Maximal depth detected on current meters	х	> 1000m	> 1000m	>500m <1000m	> 1000m	> 1000m
Maximum of MLD	x	2000m	1500m	1700m	2300m	1800m
End of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> vertical mixing period	04/04/08	09/03/09	13/03/10	05/03/11	13/03/12	21/03/13
Area of low surface chl-a (<0.15mg.m <sup>-3</sup> ) in km <sup>2</sup>	0	16 350	13 006	15 790	17 863	23 583
Area of low surface chl-a (<0.25mg.m <sup>-3</sup> ) in km <sup>2</sup>	1 487	34 162	29 180	24 111	41 616	56 351
New density class of WMDW (kg.m <sup>-3</sup> )	none	29.114- 29.116	29.116- 29.119	none	29.119- 29.126	none
Formation rate associated with the new density class (in Sv)		1.14	0.91		1.25	

### 1299 Figures

1300 Figure 1: Mooring designs (top left panel) with the different water masses (AW: 1301 Atlantic Water, WIW: Winter Intermediate Water, LIW: Levantine Intermediate Water, 1302 (o/n)WMDW: (old/new) Western Mediterranean Deep Water). Map of the 1303 northwestern Mediterranean (top right panel). Map of all the CTD profiles position 1304 (research vessel, glider, profiling float) used in this study (bottom panel). On the top 1305 right panel, the general circulation patterns are shown in red with the Northern 1306 Current flowing along the shelf break from the Ligurian Sea to the Balearic Sea, and 1307 the North Balearic Front indicated as an arrow with dashed lines. Prevailing 1308 northwestern (Tramontane) and north (Mistral) winds are shown in blue. The dashed 1309 yellow line shows the area of Open-Ocean Deep Convection and the purple dashed 1310 line indicates the area of dense water on the shelf. The inset map indicates the 1311 different geographic regions described in the manuscript (TY: Tyrrhenian Sea, SC: 1312 Sicily channel which separates the Western Mediterranean Sea from the Eastern 1313 Mediterranean Sea, EMED).

Figure 2: (a) Bihourly potential temperature from the sensors mounted below the surface buoy LION (from 2m to 200m), potential temperature (b), and salinity (c) recorded by Seabird Microcats from 170m to 2330m between November 2009 and July 2010. The near-bottom potential temperature (d), salinity (e), and potential density anomaly (f) are also presented with a separate vertical scale.

Figure 3: Bihourly potential density (a) recorded by Seabird Microcats from 170m to 2330m between November 2009 and July 2010, with horizontal (b) and vertical (c) currents recorded at 250, 500, 1000, and 2330m, (vertical currents are offset by 20  $cm.s^{-1}$ ), (d) daily surface net heat flux estimated by ERA-Interim at the mooring location, and (e) mixed layer depth estimated from the mooring data (see section 3.1 in the manuscript for a description of the chosen criterion).

Figure 4:  $\theta$ -S diagrams from all the mooring Microcats (1) with an enlargement on the deep waters (2). The nominal depth of the different instruments is indicated by the color marks (from 170m to 2300m), while gray marks correspond to the data of the past month. The slanting black lines are  $\sigma_{\theta}$  contours. Particular stages of the evolution of the water column from December 2009 to November 2010 are described: the mixed layer deepening (a,b,c,d); the formation of WIW (b); the formation of the new WMDW (d); the overlapping of the restratification phase of the deep layer (e) with a second event of vertical mixing (f); restratification of the LIW and WMDW after the winter mixing associated with mesoscale features (g,h); and the new stratification of the deep waters in October 2010 (i) prior to the 2011 deep convection (note the significant changes compared to November 2009 (a), before the 2010 deep convection event).

1337 Figure 5: Mixed Layer Depth, potential temperature, and net atmospheric heat flux 1338 from ERA-Interim, from December to May for the 2007-2013 period. Horizontal gray 1339 dotted lines show the depth of the mooring instruments. The yellow thick line 1340 indicates the mixed layer depth (see section 3.1 in the manuscript for a description of 1341 criterion chosen). Note the absence of temperature sensors between 2 m and 150 m 1342 in 2007-2008 and 2008-2009, for these 2 periods only the SST sensor (1m depth) 1343 was used, which might explain the "too cold" sub surface layer during winter when 1344 the sea surface experiences strong cooling.

Figure 6: (a) Daily potential temperature from the sensors mounted below the surface buoy LION (from 2m to 200m), potential temperature (b), and salinity (c) recorded by Seabird Microcats from 170m to 2330m between November October 2007 and July 2013. The near-bottom potential temperature (d), salinity (e), and potential density anomaly (f) are also presented with a separate vertical scale.

Figure 7: Daily potential density (a) recorded by Seabird Microcats from 170m to 2330m between October 2007 and July 2013, with horizontal (b) and vertical (c) currents recorded at 250, 500, 1000, and 2330m,. Vertical currents are offset by 20 cm.s<sup>-1</sup>.

Figure 8: Weekly surface chlorophyll images from MODIS for winter 2007 to 2013 (a to g). MODIS surface chlorophyll (h) on February 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2012. The trajectory of a glider is shown for a 3-day interval centered on that date. Circles represent stratified profiles, whereas stars are for profiles having a MLD greater than 1000m. (i) Surface Chlorophyll-*a* with the potential temperature profiles collected by the glider. The MLD from each glider profile (computed using a criterion at 0.02°C on the potential temperature and a reference at 10m) is superimposed..

Figure 9: Time series of the integrated buoyancy content of the first 1000m IS(1000) in red (a), with the associated error (dotted purple line). The black dots correspond to IS(1000) calculated for vertical profiles carried out close to the mooring (<30km); (b): Surface heat fluxes at the air-sea interface for the winter 2007-2008 to winter 2012-2013 from ERA-Interim (the integration period goes from November 1<sup>st</sup> to March 1<sup>st</sup>); (c): Integrated surface buoyancy losses (blue) for the winter 2007-2008 to winter
2012-2013 from ERA-Interim (the integration period goes from November 1<sup>st</sup> to
March 1<sup>st</sup>), with vertically integrated buoyancy content of the first 1000m of the water
column estimated on the November 1<sup>st</sup> of each year using the mooring (red circle).
The purple vertical lines indicate the associated error (see section 3.3 for more
details).

Figure 10: Temporal evolution of the mean potential temperature (°C) of the water column and specific layers at the LION mooring. The error due to the vertical integration is represented by the blue line. The red dots correspond to the mean potential temperature calculated with vertical profiles close to the mooring (<30km). From October 2009 to July 2013, linear trends are estimated fitting a straight orange line by means of least squares. The slope of the fit represents the observed trend. 95% confidence intervals for the trends are calculated considering a T-student test.

1379 Figure 11: Equivalent to figure 10 but for the mean salinity.

1380 Figure 12: Equivalent to figure 10 but for the mean potential density (kg.m<sup>-3</sup>).

1381 Figure 13: 0-S diagrams of WMDW from CTD stations highlighting the interannual 1382 variability of the deep stratification and  $\theta$ -S characteristics of the WMDWs from 2007 1383 to 2013 in the deep convection area, with the formation of new WMDW in 2009, 1384 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013. The new WMDWs are labelled (see section 4.3.3 for 1385 explanation) and their wintertime  $\theta$ -S characteristics, observed by the mooring, are 1386 indicated by a black dashed box. For visual reference, each year the  $\theta$ -S 1387 characteristics of the WMDW of the previous winter are shown as a light gray box. 1388 The dark gray (resp. light gray) dots show the  $\theta$ -S properties recorded by the 2300m 1389 (resp. 1500m) Microcat at the end of the vertical mixing phase. The differences in  $\theta$ , 1390 S and  $\sigma_{\theta_1}$ , between the CTD stations carried out in summer (in color) and the 1391 wintertime observations (in February) from the mooring instruments (light and dark 1392 gray dots), illustrate the effects of the isopycnal mixing on the WMDW  $\theta$ -S 1393 characteristics. The isopycnal mixing of the WMDW is associated with the spreading 1394 of the newly-formed deep water and the restratification of older WMDW surrounding 1395 the deep convection zone. The colorbar shows the pressure on the different CTD 1396 stations carried out close (<30km) to the LION mooring: (a) DOCONUG2007; (b) 1397 DOCONUG2008; (c) 42N5E in 2009; (d) MOOSE GE 2010; (e) MOOSE GE 2011; 1398 (f) MOOSE GE 2012; (g) MOOSE GE 2013.

1399

Figure A1: (a) Potential temperature profiles from March 4<sup>th</sup> 2011 carried out during the CASCADE cruise. The black triangles show the MLD calculated using a 1402  $\Delta \theta$ =0.1°C with a reference level at 10m. The black circles show the MLD calculated 1403 using a double criterion: if the MLD, calculated using a  $\Delta \theta_1$ =0.1°C criterion with a 1404 reference level at 10m, is deeper than 300m, the MLD is defined by a second 1405 criterion:  $\Delta \theta_2$ =0.01°C with a reference level at 300m).

1406 The MLD errors due to the depth resolution of the mooring are estimated by 1407 using CTD profiles (from research vessels, gliders and profiling floats): for the depth 1408 resolution of the mooring after 2009 (b), and for the period before the deployment of 1409 the thermistor chain below the MF-LION buoy in 2009 (c). The MLD is calculated 1410 using the double potential temperature criterion defined in section 3.1. For each 1411 profile, the MLD error is calculated as the difference between the MLD calculated on 1412 a 1m-bin averaged profile and the MLD calculated on the same profile, but 1413 subsampled at the mooring levels and linearly interpolated. The distribution of errors 1414 is binned in different MLD classes and is plotted as box plots. The number of 1415 samples used for each MLD class is indicated by the italic number on top of each 1416 box. The left side and right side of each blue "box" are the 25th and 75th percentiles 1417 of the samples, respectively. The distances between the left and right of the box are 1418 the interquartile ranges. The red line in the middle of each box is the sample median. 1419 The black lines extending from the left and right of each box are drawn from the left 1420 or right of the box to the furthest observations within 1.5 times the interguartile range. 1421 Outliers are displayed as black crosses.

## 1422 **References**

1423

1424 Artale, V., D. Iudicone, R. Santoleri, et al. (2002), Role of surface fluxes in ocean 1425 general circulation models using satellite sea surface temperature: Validation of and 1426 sensitivity to the forcing frequency of the Mediterranean thermohaline 1427 circulation, Journal of Geophysical Research, 107(C8), 3120, 1428 doi:10.1029/2000JC000452.

1429 Bethoux, J. P., B. Gentili, J. Raunet, and D. Tailliez (1990), Warming trend in the 1430 western Mediterranean deep water, Nature, 347(6294), 660–662, 1431 doi:10.1038/347660a0.

Bethoux, J. P., X. Durieu de Madron, F. Nyffeler, and D. Tailliez (2002), Deep water in the western Mediterranean: peculiar 1999 and 2000 characteristics, shelf formation hypothesis, variability since 1970 and geochemical inferences, Journal of Marine Systems, 33-34, 117–131, doi:10.1016/S0924-7963(02)00055-6.

Bethoux, J.-P., B. Gentili, D. Tailliez, and J. Bethoux (1998), Warming and freshwater budget change in the Mediterranean since the 1940 s, their possible relation to the greenhouse effect, Geophysical Research Letters 25(7), 1023–1026, doi:10.1029/98GL00724.

Bosse, A, P. Testor, G. Legland, et al. (2014), Vertical velocities associated with open-ocean deep convection in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea as indirectly observed by gliders, EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, pp. EGU2014– 1443 16009.

Bosse, A., P. Testor, L. Mortier, et al. (2015), Spreading of Levantine Intermediate Waters by Submesoscale Coherent Vortices in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea as observed with gliders, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120 (3), pp.1599-1622. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010263

Bosse, A., P. Testor, L. Houpert, et al. (2016), Scales and dynamics of submesoscale coherent vortices formed by deep convection in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea, in preparation for Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, *in revision for this volume, doi: 2016JC012144* 

Bryden, H. L., J. Candela, and T. H. Kinder (1994), Exchange through the Strait of Gibraltar. Progress in Oceanography, 33(3):201–248. doi: 10.1016/0079-6611(94)90028-0.

Candela, J. (2001), Mediterranean water and global circulation. In J. C. Gerold
Siedler and J. Gould, editors, Ocean Circulation and Climate Observing and
Modelling the Global Ocean, volume 77 of International Geophysics, pages 419 –
429. Academic Press. doi: 10.1016/S0074-6142(01)80132-7.

1459 Canals, M., P. Puig, X. D. de Madron, S. Heussner, A. Palanques, and J. Fabres 1460 (2006), Flushing submarine canyons., Nature, 444(7117), 354–7, 1461 doi:10.1038/nature05271.

1462 CIESM (2009), Dynamics of Mediterranean deep waters, CIESM Work. Monogr.,1463 38, 132.

Clarke, R. A., and J.-C. Gascard (1983), The Formation of Labrador Sea Water.
Part I: Large-Scale Processes, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 13(10), 1764–
1778, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1983)013<1764:TFOLSW>2.0.CO;2

1467De Boyer Montegut, C., Madec, G., Fischer, et al., 2004. Mixed layer depth over1468the global ocean: an examination of profile data and a profilebased climatology.1469JournalofGeophysicalResearch,109,1470http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002378.

1471 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, et al. (2011), The ERA-Interim reanalysis:
1472 configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. Q.J.R. Meteorol.
1473 Soc., 137: 553–597. doi: 10.1002/qj.828

1474 De Jong, M. F., Bower, A. S., and Furey, H. H. (2014). Two years of observations of 1475 warm-core anticyclones in the Labrador Sea and their seasonal cycle in heat and 1476 salt stratification. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 44(2), 427-444, doi: 1477 10.1175/JPO-D-13-070.1

1478D'Ortenzio, F., ludicone, D., De Boyer Montegut, C., et al. (2005). Seasonal1479variability of the mixed layer depth in the Mediterranean Sea as derived from in situ1480profiles.GeophysicalResearchLetters32,1481http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022463.

1482Drobinski P., V. Ducrocq, P. Alpert, et al. (2014)HyMeX: A 10-Year1483MultidisciplinaryProgram on the MediterraneanWater Cycle. Bulletin of the1484American Meteorological.Society, 95, 1063–1082. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/

Durrieu de Madron, X. et al. (2013), Interaction of dense shelf water cascading
and open-sea convection in the northwestern Mediterranean during winter 2012,
Geophysical Research Letters, 40(7), 1379–1385, doi:10.1002/grl.50331.

Fan, X., Send, U., Testor, P., Karstensen, J., Lherminier, P. (2013), Observations of
Irminger Sea anticyclonic eddies. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 43(4), 805-823,
doi: 10.1175/JPO-D-11-0155.1

Font, J., P. Puig, J. Salat, A. Palanques, and M. Emelianov (2007), Sequence of hydrographic changes in NW Mediterranean deep water due to the exceptional winter of 2005, Scientia Marina, 71(2), 339–346, doi:10.3989/scimar.2007.71n2339.

Frajka-Williams, E., C. C. Eriksen, P. B. Rhines, and R. R. Harcourt (2011),
Determining Vertical Water Velocities from Seaglider, Journal of Atmospheric and
Oceanic Technology, 28(12), 1641–1656, doi:10.1175/2011JTECH0830.1.

Gasparini, G. P., a. Ortona, G. Budillon, et al. (2005), The effect of the Eastern Mediterranean Transient on the hydrographic characteristics in the Strait of Sicily and in the Tyrrhenian Sea, Deep Sea Research Part I, 52(6), 915–935, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2005.01.001.

1501 Grignon, L. (2009), Causes of the Interannual Variability of Deep Convection, 1502 University of Southampton.

Grignon, L., D. A. Smeed, H. L. Bryden, and K. Schroeder (2010), Importance of the variability of hydrographic preconditioning for deep convection in the Gulf of Lion, NW Mediterranean, Ocean Science, 6(2), 573–586, doi:10.5194/os-6-573-2010. 1506 Hátún, H., Eriksen, C. C., and Rhines, P. B. (2007), Buoyant eddies entering the

1507 Labrador Sea observed with gliders and altimetry. Journal of Physical

1508 Oceanography, 37(12), 2838-2854, doi: 10.1175/2007JPO3567.1

Herrmann, M., S. Somot, F. Sevault, C. Estournel, and M. Déqué (2008), Modeling the deep convection in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea using an eddy-permitting and an eddy-resolving model: Case study of winter 1986–1987, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113(C4), 1–25, doi:10.1029/2006JC003991.

Herrmann, M., F. Sevault, J. Beuvier, and S. Somot (2010), What induced the exceptional 2005 convection event in the northwestern Mediterranean basin? Answers from a modeling study, Journal of Geophysical Research, 115(C12), 1–19, doi:10.1029/2010JC006162.

Herrmann M., Somot S., Calmanti S., Dubois C., Sevault F. (2011), Representation of daily wind speed spatial and temporal variability and intense wind events over the Mediterranean Sea using dynamical downscaling : impact of the regional climate model configuration, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 11, 1983-2001, doi:10.5194/nhess-11-1983-2011

Houpert, L. (2013), Contribution to the Study of Transfer Processes from the
Surface to the Deep Ocean in the Mediterranean Sea Using in-situ Measurements.
PhD Thesis, Université de Perpignan via Domitia

Houpert L. (2014), Technical report on the intercalibration of the sensors on the LION mooring line, LOCEAN, Univ. Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, 19pp, http://lhoupert.fr/files/report/2014\_intercalib\_sensors\_LION.pdf

Houpert L., P. Testor, X. Durrieu de Madron, et al. (2015), Seasonal cycle of the mixed layer, the seasonal thermocline and the upper-ocean heat storage rate in the Mediterranean Sea derived from observations, Progress in Oceanography, 132, 333-352, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.11.004.

Josey, S. A., Somot, S. and Tsimplis, M. Impacts of atmospheric modes of variability on Mediterranean Sea surface heat exchange. Journal of Geophysical Research 116, C02032 (2011).

Juza, M., Renault, L., Ruiz, S., and Tintoré, J. (2013). Origin and pathways of
Winter Intermediate Water in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea using
observations and numerical simulation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,
118(12), 6621-6633.

1539 Killworth, P. D. (1983), Deep convection in the World Ocean, Reviews of 1540 Geophysics, 21(1), 1, doi:10.1029/RG021i001p00001.

1541 Kim, K., K.-I. Chang, D.-J. Kang, Y., et al. (2008), Review of recent findings on 1542 the water masses and circulation in the East Sea (Sea of Japan), Journal of 1543 Oceanography, 64(5), 721–735, doi:10.1007/s10872-008-0061-x.

1544 Klein, B., W. Roether, B. B. Manca, et al. (1999), The large deep water transient 1545 in the Eastern Mediterranean, Deep Sea Research Part I, 46(3), 371–414, 1546 doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00075-2.

1547 Krahmann, G., and F. Schott (1998), Longterm increases in western 1548 Mediterranean salinities and temperatures: Anthropogenic and climatic sources, 1549 Geophysical Research Letters, 25(22), 4209, doi:10.1029/1998GL900143. Lacombe, H., P. Tchernia, and L. Gamberoni (1985), Variable bottom water in the Western Mediterranean basin, Progress in Oceanography, 14(1971), 319–338, doi:10.1016/0079-6611(85)90015-1.

Lascaratos A, Nittis K (1998) A high-resolution three-dimensional numerical study of intermediate water formation in the Levan tine Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research 103(C9):18497–18512

Lascaratos, A., W. Roether, K. Nittis, and B. Klein (1999), Recent changes in deep water formation and spreading in the eastern Mediterranean Sea: a review, Progress in Oceanography, 44(1-3), 5–36, doi:10.1016/S0079-6611(99)00019-1.

Lavigne, H., F. D'Ortenzio, C. Migon, et al. (2013), Enhancing the comprehension of mixed layer depth control on the Mediterranean phytoplankton phenology, Journal of Geophysical Research, 118, 3416–3430, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20251.

Lazier, J. R. N. (1973), The renewal of Labrador sea water, Deep Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr., 20(4), 341–353, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(73)90058-2.

Leaman, K. D., and F. A. Schott (1991), Hydrographic Structure of the Convection Regime in the Gulf of Lions: Winter 1987, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 21(4), 575–598, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1991)021<0575:HSOTCR>2.0.CO;2.

Legg, S., and J. C. McWilliams (2001), Convective Modifications of a Geostrophic Eddy Field, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 31(4), 874–891, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(2001)031<0874:CMOAGE>2.0.CO;2.

Lherminier, P., J.-C. Gascard, and D. Quadfasel (1999), The Greenland Sea in Water 1993 and 1994: preconditioning for deep convection, Deep Sea Research Part II, 46(6-7), 1199–1235, doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(99)00020-X.

L'Hévéder, B., L. Li, F. Sevault, and S. Somot (2012), Interannual variability of deep convection in the Northwestern Mediterranean simulated with a coupled AORCM, Climate Dynamics, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1527-5.

Lilly, J. M., P. B. Rhines, M. Visbeck, et al. (1999), Observing Deep Convection in the Labrador Sea during Winter 1994/95. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 29(8):2065–2098. doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(1999)029<2065:ODCITL>2.0.CO;2.

Lilly, J. M. and P. B. Rhines (2002), Coherent Eddies in the Labrador Sea Observed from a Mooring. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 32(2):585–598. doi: 10.1175/1520-0485(2002)032<0585:CEITLS>2.0.CO;2

Lilly, J. M., P. B. Rhines, F. Schott, et al. (2003), Observations of the Labrador Sea eddy field. Progress in Oceanography, 59(1):75–176. doi: 1586 10.1016/j.pocean.2003.08.013.

López-Jurado, J.-L., C. González-Pola, and P. Vélez-Belchí (2005), Observation of an abrupt disruption of the long-term warming trend at the Balearic Sea, western Mediterranean Sea, in summer 2005, Geophysical Research Letters, 32(24), 2003– 2006, doi:10.1029/2005GL024430.

1591 Malanotte-Rizzoli, P., B. B. Manca, M. R. D'Alcala, et al. (1999), The Eastern 1592 Mediterranean in the 80s and in the 90s: the big transition in the intermediate and 1593 deep circulations, Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans, 29(2-4), 365–395, 1594 doi:10.1016/S0377-0265(99)00011-1.

1595 Marshall, J., and F. Schott (1999), Open-ocean convection: Observations, theory, 1596 and models, Reviews of Geophysics, 37(1), 1–64, doi:10.1029/98RG02739.

1597 McWilliams, J. C. (1985), Submesoscale, coherent vortices in the ocean, 1598 Reviews of Geophysics, 23(2), 165–182, doi:10.1029/RG023i002p00165.

1599 MEDOC Group (1970), Observation of Formation of Deep Water in the 1600 Mediterranean Sea, 1969, Nature, 227(5262), 1037–1040, doi:10.1038/2271037a0.

1601 Merckelbach, L., D. Smeed, and G. Griffiths (2010), Vertical Water Velocities 1602 from Underwater Gliders, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 27(3), 1603 547–563, doi:10.1175/2009JTECHO710.1.

1604 Mermex Group (2011), Marine Ecosystems Responses to climatic and 1605 anthropogenic forcings in the Mediterranean, Progress in Oceanography, 91(2), 97-1606 166, ISSN 0079-6611, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2011.02.003.

1607 Mertens, C., and F. Schott (1998), Interannual Variability of Deep-Water 1608 Formation in the Northwestern Mediterranean, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 1609 28(7), 1410–1424, doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1998)028<1410:IVODWF>2.0.CO;2.

1610 Millot, C. (1999), Circulation in the Western Mediterranean Sea, Journal of 1611 Marine Systems, 20(1-4), 423–442, doi:10.1016/S0924-7963(98)00078-5.

1612 Millot, C. Interannual salinification of the Mediterranean inflow. Geophysical 1613 Research Letters 34, L21609 (2007).

Puig, P., A. Palanques, and J. Martín, (2013a), Contemporary Sediment-Transport Processes in Submarine Canyons. Annual review of marine science, 1–25, doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135037

1617 Puig, P. et al. (2013b), Thick bottom nepheloid layers in the western 1618 Mediterranean generated by deep dense shelf water cascading, Progress in 1619 Oceanography, 111, 1–23, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2012.10.003.

1620 Rixen, M. et al. (2005), The Western Mediterranean Deep Water: A proxy for 1621 climate change, Geophysical Research Letters, 32(12), L12608, 1622 doi:10.1029/2005GL022702.

Rohling, E. J., and H. L. Bryden (1992), Man-Induced Salinity and Temperature
Increases in Western Mediterranean Deep Water, Journal of Geophysical Research,
97(C7), 11191–11198, doi:10.1029/92JC00767.

Salat, J., M. Emelianov, and J. L. López-Jurado (2006), Unusual extension of
Western Mediterranean deep water formation during winter 2005, Proc. 5th
Asamblea Hispano-Portuguesa de Geodesia y Geof'isica, Univ. Sevilla, Sevilla,
Spain.

1630Schott, F., and K. D. Leaman (1991), Observations with Moored Acoustic Doppler1631Current Profilers in the Convection Regime in the Golfe du Lion, Journal of Physical1632Oceanography,21(4),16330485(1991)021<0558:OWMADC>2.0.CO;2.

1634 Schott, F., M. Visbeck, and J. Fischer (1993), Observations of vertical currents 1635 and convection in the central Greenland Sea during the winter of 1988–1989, 1636 Journal of Geophysical Research, 98(C8), 14401, doi:10.1029/93JC00658.

1637 Schott, F., M. Visbeck, U. Send et al., (1996), Observations of Deep Convection 1638 in the Gulf of Lions, Northern Mediterranean, during the Winter of 1991/92, Journal 1639 of Physical Oceanography, 26(4), 505–524, doi:10.1175/1520-1640 0485(1996)026<0505:OODCIT>2.0.CO;2.

Schroeder, K., G. P. Gasparini, M. Tangherlini, and M. Astraldi (2006), Deep and intermediate water in the western Mediterranean under the influence of the Eastern Mediterranean Transient, Geophysical Research Letters, 33(21), L21607, doi:10.1029/2006GL027121.

1645 Schroeder, K., A. Ribotti, M. Borghini, et al. (2008a), An extensive western 1646 Mediterranean deep water renewal between 2004 and 2006, Geophysical Research 1647 Letters, 35(18), L18605, doi:10.1029/2008GL035146.

1648 Schroeder, K., V. Taillandier, A. Vetrano, and G. P. Gasparini (2008b), The 1649 circulation of the western Mediterranean Sea in spring 2005 as inferred from 1650 observations and from model outputs, Deep Sea Research Part I, 55(8), 947–965, 1651 doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2008.04.003.

Schroeder, K., S. A. Josey, M. Herrmann, et al. (2010), Abrupt warming and salting of the Western Mediterranean Deep Water after 2005: Atmospheric forcings and lateral advection, Journal of Geophysical Research, 115(C8), 1–18, doi:10.1029/2009JC005749.

1656 Schroeder, K. et al. (2013), Long-term monitoring programme of the hydrological 1657 variability in the Mediterranean Sea: a first overview of the HYDROCHANGES 1658 network, Ocean Science, 9(2), 301–324, doi:10.5194/os-9-301-2013.

1659 Send, U., and Marshall, J. (1995). Integral effects of deep convection, Journal of 1660 Physical Oceanography, 25(5), 855-872.

1661 Severin, T., Conan, P., Durrieu de Madron, X., et al. (2014). Impact of open-1662 ocean convection on nutrients, phytoplankton biomass and activity. Deep Sea 1663 Research Part I 194, 62-71.

1664 Smith, R. O., H. L. Bryden, and K. Stansfield (2008), Observations of new 1665 western Mediterranean deep water formation using Argo floats 2004–2006, Ocean 1666 Science, 4(2), 133–149, doi:10.5194/os-4-133-2008.

1667 Somot, S., L. Houpert, F. Sevault, et al. (2016), Characterizing, modelling and 1668 understanding the climate variability of the deep water formation in the North-1669 Western Mediterranean Sea, Climate Dynamics, doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3295-0

1670 Soto-Navarro, J., F. Criado-Aldeanueva, J. García-Lafuente, and A. Sánchez-1671 Román (2010), Estimation of the Atlantic inflow through the Strait of Gibraltar from 1672 climatological and in situ data. Journal of Geophysical Research, 115(C10):C10023. 1673 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006302

1674 Stabholz M., X. Durrieu de Madron, A. Khripounoff, et al. (2013) Impact of open-1675 sea convection on particulate fluxes and sediment dynamics in the deep basin of the 1676 Gulf of Lions. Biogeosciences, 10, 1097-1116. 1677 Tamburini C, M. Canals M, X. Durrieu de Madron, et al. (2013) Deep-Sea 1678 bioluminescence blooms after dense water formation at the ocean surface. PLoS 1679 ONE 8(7): e67523. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067523

1680 Testor, P. et al. (2007), European Gliding Observatories (EGO), Coriolis Newsl., 1681 4, 11–12.

1682 Testor, P. et al. (2010), Gliders as a Component of Future Observing Systems, in 1683 Proceedings of the "OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information 1684 for Society," vol. 2, edited by J. Hall, D. E. Harrison, and D. Stammer, OceanObs'09, 1685 Venice, Italy.

1686 Testor P., Durrieu De Madron X., Mortier L., et al. (2016). LION observatory data. 1687 SEANOE. http://doi.org/10.17882/44411

1688 THETIS Group (1994), Open-ocean deep convection explored in the 1689 Mediterranean, Eos Transactions American Geophysical Union, 75(19), 217, 1690 doi:10.1029/94EO00893.

1691Tsimplis, M. and H. Bryden (2000), Estimation of the transports through the Strait1692ofGibraltar.DeepSeaResearchPartI,47(12):2219–2242.doi:1693http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(00)00024-8.

1694 Turner, J. (1973), Buoyancy effects in fluids, Cambridge University Press.

Tziperman, E. and K. Speer (1994), A study of water mass transformation in the
 Mediterranean Sea: analysis of climatological data and a simple three-box model.
 Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans21:53–82

Vargas-Yanez, M., F. Moya, M. C. García-Martínez, et al. (2010a), Climate
change in the Western Mediterranean Sea 1900–2008, Journal of Marine Systems,
82(3), 171–176, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2010.04.013.

Vargas-Yanez, M., P. Zunino, A. Benali, et al. (2010b), How much is the western
Mediterranean really warming and salting?, Journal of Geophysical Research,
115(C4), C04001, doi:10.1029/2009JC005816.

1704 Voorhis, A. D., and D. C. Webb (1970), Large Vertical Currents Observed in a 1705 Winter Sinking Region of the Northwestern Mediterranean., Cahiers 1706 Oceanographiques, XXII(6), 571–580.

Waldman, R., S. Somot, M. Herrmann, et al. (2016), Estimating dense water
volume and its evolution for the year 2012-2013 in the North-western Mediterranean
Sea: An observing system simulation experiment approach, Journal of Geophysical
Research, this issue, 10.1002/2016JC011694

Zunino, P., K. Schroeder, M. Vargas-Yáñez, et al. (2012) Effects of the Western
 Mediterranean Transition on the resident water masses: Pure warming, pure
 freshening and pure heaving, Journal of Marine Systems, 96–97, 15-23.

1714

Figure 1. Figure



Figure 2. Figure



Figure 3. Figure



Figure 4. Figure



Figure 5. Figure



Figure 6. Figure



Figure 7. Figure


11/07 03/08 07/08 11/08 03/09 07/09 11/09 03/10 07/10 11/10 03/11 07/11 11/11 03/12 07/12 11/12 03/13 07/13

Figure 8. Figure



Figure 9. Figure



Feb 08 Aug 08 Feb 09 Aug 09 Feb 10 Aug 10 Feb 11 Aug 11 Feb 12 Aug 12 Feb 13



Figure 10. Figure



Figure 11. Figure



Figure 12. Figure



Figure 13. Figure



Figure A1. Figure

