

Open-ocean convection process: A driver of the winter nutrient supply and the spring phytoplankton distribution in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea

Tatiana Severin, Fayçal Kessouri, Mathieu Rembauville, Elvia DenisseSánchez-Pérez, Louise Oriol, Jocelyne Caparros, Mireille Pujo-Pay,Jean-François Ghiglione, Fabrizio d'Ortenzio, Vincent Taillandier, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Tatiana Severin, Fayçal Kessouri, Mathieu Rembauville, Elvia Denisse Sánchez-Pérez, Louise Oriol, et al.. Open-ocean convection process: A driver of the winter nutrient supply and the spring phytoplankton distribution in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research. Oceans, 2017, 122 (6), pp.4587 - 4601. 10.1002/2016JC012664 . hal-01757587

HAL Id: hal-01757587 https://univ-perp.hal.science/hal-01757587

Submitted on 17 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Open-ocean convection process: a driver of the winter nutrient supply and the spring						
2	phytoplankton distribution in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea						
3							
4	Severin, Tatiana ^{1,5*} , Kessouri, Faycal ^{2,6*} , Rembauville, Mathieu ¹ , Sánchez-Pérez, Elvia Denisse ¹ ,						
5	Oriol, Louise ¹ , Caparros, Jocelyne ¹ , Pujo-Pay, Mireille ¹ , Ghiglione, Jean-François ¹ , D'Ortenzio,						
6	Fabrizio ³ , Taillandier, Vincent ³ , Mayot, Nicolas ³ , Durrieu De Madron, Xavier ⁴ , Ulses, Caroline ² ,						
7	Estournel, Claude ² , Conan, Pascal ¹ .						
8	¹ Laboratoire d'Océanographie Microbienne (LOMIC), Observatoire Océanologique, Sorbonne						
9	Universités, CNRS, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, 66650 Banyuls/Mer, France						
10	² Laboratoire d'Aérologie, CNRS, Université de Toulouse, 14 avenue Edouard Belin, 31400						
11	Toulouse, France						
12	³ Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, INSU-CNRS, Laboratoire d'Océanographie de						
13	Villefranche (LOV), 181 Chemin du Lazaret, 06230 Villefranche-sur-mer, France						
14	⁴ CEFREM, CNRS-Université de Perpignan, 52 avenue Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan, France						
15	⁵ Current address: Marine Science Institute, The University of Texas at Austin, 750 Channel						
16	View Drive, Port Aransas, TX 78373-5015, United States						
17	⁶ Current address: Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California						

- 18 Los Angeles. 520 Portola Plaza, 7127 Math Sciences, Los Angeles, CA 90095, United States
- 19 * Authorship equally shared
- 20
- 21 *Corresponding authors:

22	T. Severin, Marine Science Institute, The University of Texas at Austin, 750 Channel View					
23	Drive, Port Aransas, TX 78373-5015, United States. Tel.: +1 361 749 6817. E-mail address:					
24	tatiana.severin@austin.utexas.edu					
25	F. Kessouri, Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California Los					
26	Angeles, 520 Portola Plaza, 7127 Math Sciences, Los Angeles, CA 90095, United States. Tel.:					
27	+1 714 755 3236. E-mail address: kesf@ucla.edu					
28						
29	Key points					
30	• NW Mediterranean zonation based on nutrients during convection event, and based on					
31	fluorescence profiles during bloom					
32	• Convection spatial scale drives the nutrients distribution and mixing depth drives the					
33	nutrient stoichiometry					
34	• Winter nutrient supply drives spring phytoplankton distribution while stoichiometry					
35	drives community structure					

37 Abstract

38 This study was a part of the DeWEX project (Deep Water formation EXperiment), designed to 39 better understand the impact of dense water formation on the marine biogeochemical cycles. 40 Here, nutrient and phytoplankton vertical and horizontal distributions were investigated during a 41 deep open-ocean convection event and during the following spring bloom in the Northwestern 42 Mediterranean Sea (NWM). In February 2013, the deep convection event established a surface 43 nutrient gradient from the center of the deep convection patch to the surrounding mixed and stratified areas. In the center of the convection area, a slight but significant difference of nitrate, 44 45 phosphate and silicate concentrations was observed possibly due to the different volume of deep 46 waters included in the mixing or to the sediment resuspension occurring where the mixing 47 reached the bottom. One of this process, or a combination of both, enriched the water column in silicate and phosphate, and altered significantly the stoichiometry in the center of the deep 48 49 convection area. This alteration favored the local development of microphytoplankton in spring, 50 whereas nanophytoplankton dominated neighboring locations where the convection reached the 51 deep layer but not the bottom. This study shows that the convection process influences both 52 winter nutrients distribution and spring phytoplankton distribution and community structure. 53 Modifications of the convection spatial scale and intensity (i.e. convective mixing depth) is 54 likely to have strong consequences on phytoplankton community structure and distribution in the 55 NWM, and thus on the marine food web.

56

57 Index terms: 0460 Marine systems, 0470 Nutrients and nutrient cycling, 4273 Physical and
58 biogeochemical interactions, 4835 Marine inorganic chemistry, 4855 Phytoplankton

- 60 Keywords: open-ocean convection, nutrient, stoichiometry, phytoplankton size class,
- 61 Northwestern Mediterranean Sea

63 1 Introduction

64 The Mediterranean Sea is one of the rare regions in the world where deep convection 65 events occur [Killworth, 1983]. This process is the primary engine of the thermohaline 66 circulation and is particularly intense in the Gulf of Lions (Northwestern Mediterranean Sea; 67 NWM). Despite a high interannual variability [Mermex group, 2011; Herrmann et al., 2013; 68 Somot et al., 2016], a general pattern is observed with two events of convection in mid- and late 69 winter (see Houpert et al. 2016 for details), given rise to a confined but nonetheless very intense 70 spring bloom [D'Ortenzio et al., 2009]. The productivity of this spring bloom is controlled by the 71 nutrient availability, which in turn depends on the meteorological and the hydrological variabilities [Gačić et al., 2002; Gogou et al., 2014]. Moreover, some studies showed that some 72 73 deep convection events, with a mixing reaching the seabed, induced a resuspension of the 74 sediment [Martin et al., 2010; Stabholz et al., 2013]. The strong vertical mixing associated with 75 cyclonic submesoscale coherent vortices (SCVs) formed by the deep convection, induce an 76 upward diffusion of the resuspended particles that produces a turbidity anomaly that can goes up 77 from the bottom to the surface in about a day [Durrieu de Madron et al., 2017]. These cyclonic 78 SCVs, with an averaged time life of a year, preserve the newly formed deep waters in their core, 79 as well as a thick nepheloid layer of 1000-2000 m, and spread them possibly throughout the 80 whole NWM basin [Boss et al., 2016; Damien et al., this issue]. A stimulation of the deep-sea 81 biological activity was observed, including bioluminescence, thanks to the organic matter supply 82 coming from the erosion of the deep sediment, and also from the surface export during the 83 convective mixing, which is then trapped in the new deep waters [Tamburini et al., 2013; 84 Martini et al., 2014; Severin et al., 2016; Durrieu de Madron et al., 2017]. Some impacts on the 85 deep biogeochemical budgets should then be expected.

86 Several studies showed that the deep convection process is responsible for the 87 introduction of a large amount of nutrients in the surface layer [Marty and Chiavériny, 2010; 88 Estrada et al., 2014; Severin et al., 2014; Ulses et al., 2016], which directly influences the 89 intensity of the spring bloom [Lévy et al., 1998; 1999; Taylor and Ferrari, 2011; Backhaus et al., 90 2003; Heimbürger et al., 2013; Ulses et al., 2016]. A monitoring of the phytoplankton pigments 91 in March 2005 and from mid-March to September 2009 in the NWM revealed the heterogeneity 92 of the spring bloom related to the mesoscale processes, and the phytoplankton populations 93 succession from spring (diatoms and haptophyte) to late summer (dinoflagellate and 94 coccolithophores) [Estrada et al., 2014]. Another monitoring of the biogeochemistry parameters 95 at DyFAMed allowed the understanding of the seasonal cycles of nutrient and phytoplanktonic 96 groups in the Ligurian Sea [Marty et al., 2002]. Nevertheless, the convection area does not 97 always reach the Ligurian Sea. And in most of the studies, the absence of observations during 98 both the deep convection mixing and the following spring bloom periods prevents the 99 establishment of clear correlations between these physical and biological processes.

100 The sampling difficulties in open-ocean encourage the use of satellite ocean color remote 101 sensing to first identify chlorophyll patterns and then explain them by known physical and 102 ecological forces [Longhurst, 2006]. However, the detailed processes responsible for 103 phytoplankton distribution remain generally partially identified because of the lack of *in situ* 104 observations. D'Ortenzio and Ribera d'Alcalà [2009] determined 7 bioregions in the entire 105 Mediterranean Sea with one specific region covering the NW Mediterranean basin, characterized 106 by an intense bloom in February-March. This bioregion has recently been divided into two 107 trophic regimes different in bloom timing and intensity: the "High Bloom" bioregion centered in 108 the deep convection area, and the surrounded "Bloom" bioregion [Mayot et al., 2016]. But the

heterogeneity of the hydrological structures of the Mediterranean Sea [*Millot*, 1999] and the different light and mixing regimes should produce different subsurface phytoplankton distributions. These subsurface biological patterns are not observable by remote sensing [*Lavigne et al.*, 2013; *Mignot et al.*, 2014; *Cullen*, 2015], although they contribute significantly to the chlorophyll distribution [*Lavigne et al.*, 2015].

114 Contrary to the well-known general circulation of the NWM [Béthoux et al., 1998a; Send 115 et al., 1999; Millot and Taupier-Letage, 2005], mesoscale hydrological structures locations, 116 frequencies and dynamic remain misunderstood. These last years, an intensification of the 117 studies of these hydrological structures was done thanks to the development of integrated multi-118 platforms approaches. The DeWEX project (Deep Water EXperiment) is a multidisciplinary 119 study composed of two main oceanographic cruises conducted during the deep convection event 120 in February 2013 and during the following intense spring bloom in April 2013. Supported by 121 remote sensing and modeling, the DeWEX project aimed to study the hydrological, 122 biogeochemical and biological processes occurring in the entire NWM basin from the deep 123 convection event in winter, to the spring phytoplankton bloom.

124 In this study, we assessed the impact of the deep convection on the winter nutrients 125 supply, and determined the relative contribution of the resulting nutrient distribution on the 126 phytoplankton distribution and community composition during the spring bloom. Because several stations have similar physicochemical characteristics, we (i) statistically grouped the 127 128 winter stations based on their nitrate, phosphate and silicate concentrations along the water 129 column during the intense convection event of February 2013. Hydrological structures and others 130 physical mechanisms were investigated to understand the distribution of the resulted winter 131 groups. We then (ii) realized a second stations grouping during the spring bloom in April 2013

based on their fluorescence profiles to determine the vertical and horizontal phytoplankton distribution over the NWM. In this section, we also discussed the influence of the winter nutrient supply and intrinsic spring factors on the resulted phytoplankton distribution. Finally, (iii) the resulting winter and spring groups, their nutrients and fluorescence characteristics, and the mechanisms at their origins were used to determine and discuss the spring phytoplankton size class distribution. The occurrence of some phytoplankton groups in specific area was also discussed.

139

140 **2** Materials and methods

141 2.1 Study area and sampling

142 The DeWEX cruises took place in the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea from the 01 to 22 143 February (Leg 1) and from the 04 to 26 April (Leg 2) 2013 aboard the R/V Le Suroît. A network 144 of 76 and 100 stations were prospected during Legs 1 and 2 respectively with a Seabird 911Plus 145 conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) probe equipped with fluorescence Chelsea Aquatracka 146 III, and an Underwater Vision Profiler [UVP5; Picheral et al., 2010] providing concentration of large particles (particles L⁻¹) in 27 log-based size classes between 52 µm and 27 mm. At each 147 148 "biogeochemical" stations (45 during Leg 1, 59 during Leg 2), water samples were collected at 149 12 levels along the water column with 12 L Niskin bottles mounted on a SBE 32 Carousel water 150 sampler.

151

152 2.2 Fluorescence processing and calibration

Fluorescence profiles were corrected from the non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) effect, corrected and adjusted to a zero value at depth and calibrated by leg with the *in situ* chlorophyll a concentrations measured by HPLC (High Performance Liquid Chromatography)
according to *Mayot et al.* (2017). See section 2.3 for pigments analyses.

157

158 2.3 Nutrients

Samples for silicate (Si(OH)₄ \pm 0.05µM), nitrate (NO₃ \pm 0.02µM) and phosphate (PO₄ \pm 0.01µM) were immediately stored in 20 ml polyethylene vials at -20°C until analysis. At the laboratory, samples were analyzed by colorimetry on a Seal-Bran-Luebbe autoanalyzer AA3 HR [*Aminot and Kérouel*, 2007].

163

164 2.4 Pigments

Pigments samples were collected in 3 L dark bottles, immediately filtered on board through a glass fiber filter (Whatman GF/F 25 mm) sheltered from light and stored in liquid nitrogen until analysis. At the laboratory, pigments were extracted from filters in 100% methanol, disrupted by sonication and clarified by filtration through a glass fiber filter (Whatman GF/F 25 mm). The same day, pigments concentrations were measured by HPLC according to the method proposed by *Ras et al.* [2008]. Pigments analyses were performed at the SAPIGH analytical platform of the Laboratory of Oceanography of Villefranche-sur-mer (CNRS-France).

172

173 2.5 Phytoplanktonic groups

174 The fraction of chlorophyll *a* (Chl*a*) associated to the 3 phytoplanktonic groups micro-, 175 nano-, and pico-phytoplankton were determined from the combination of the concentration of 7 176 key photosynthetic pigments (in $\mu g L^{-1}$): fucoxanthin (Fuco), peridinin (Perid), 19'-177 hexanoyloxyfucaxanthin (Hex), 19'-butanoyloxyfucaxanthin (But), alloxanthin (Allo), 178 chlorophyll b + divinyl chlocophyll b (TChlb), and zeaxanthin (Zea) according to the equations

179 proposed by *Uitz et al.* [2006]:

$$\begin{split} f_{micro} &= \frac{1.41 [Fuco] + 1.41 [Perid]}{SDP_W} \\ f_{nano} &= \frac{1.27 [Hex - Fuco] + 0.35 [But - Fuco] + 0.60 [Allo]}{SDP_W} \\ f_{pico} &= \frac{1.01 [TChlb] + 0.86 [Zea]}{SDP_W} \end{split}$$

180 Where:

 $SDP_W = 1.41[Fuco] + 1.41[Perid] + 1.27[Hex - Fuco] + 0.35[But - Fuco] + 0.60[Allo] + 1.01[TChlb] + 0.86[Zea]$

181

182 2.6 Statistical zonation of the NWM

183 To understand the impact of the open-ocean convection process on the winter nutrient 184 regime and the spring phytoplankton distribution, we statistically categorized the sampling 185 stations based on their nutrients characteristics in February 2013, and then based on their 186 fluorescence profiles (Chla proxy) in April 2013. Because the deep convection process impacts 187 the entire water column, we chose to take into account both surface and deep biogeochemical 188 properties in February and April to identify the winter nutrients patterns and the variability of the 189 vertical phytoplankton distribution over the NWM. Moreover, the interannual variability cannot 190 be assessed by sampling only one month of each key season (February for the winter convection 191 and April for the spring bloom). Therefore, we chose to name the resulting categories "classes" 192 and "sub-classes" rather than "bioregions" and "sub-bioregions", the latter terms being more 193 relevant for a biogeographical study based on several months of observations.

194 For the winter period, nitrate, phosphate and silicate surface concentrations, as well as 195 their difference of concentrations between the deep (>700 m) and surface (< 10 m) layers were 196 selected for the winter NWM zonation in order to take into account the convection effects on the 197 entire water column. For instance, a concentration difference close to zero means that the mixing 198 reached at least the nutricline and enriched the above water column with the deep nutrients 199 stocks. For the spring period, we chose the surface fluorescence, the 0-100 m integrated 200 fluorescence, and the depth of the fluorescence maximum as parameters for our statistical 201 analysis. Moreover, the depth of the fluorescence maximum and the 0-100 m integrated 202 fluorescence allowed us to also take into account the phytoplankton distribution along the water 203 column depth that can vary according to the hydrology and light regime. For this study, we 204 decided to use the fluorescence profiles rather than HPLC data because pigments were analyzed 205 on only 35 stations of the 100 stations with CTD and fluorescence acquisitions.

Euclidian distances were calculated between the nutrients parameters of the 45 "biogeochemical" stations for the winter period (Leg 1), and then between the fluorescence parameters of the 100 stations for the spring period (Leg 2) using the MATLAB R2015 software. For each period, the resulted Euclidian distances were used to build a hierarchical clustering of the sampling stations using the agglomeration method of Ward. The resulting clusters were named "classes" and "sub-classes", as indicated before, and were used to study the NWM zonation during the winter and spring 2013.

214 **3 Results**

215 3.1 Winter NWM zonation and the associated hydrology

Three winter classes were distinguished in the NWM from the stations clustering (Leg1 DeWEX, February 2013; Fig. 1A; Fig. S1) based on their nutrients characteristics (Fig. 2; Table 1): "*Stratified*", "*Mixed*", and "*Deep convection*" classes.

219 The first open-sea class, named "Stratified" (14 green stations, Fig. 1A), regrouped 220 stations located on the periphery of the northwestern Mediterranean basin. These stations were 221 marked by a surface layer depleted in nutrient (Fig. 2) and a nutricline around 150 m (Table 1). 222 Chla distributions showed inversed patterns compared to nutrients with maximum concentrations 223 in surface layer and generally low concentrations below 150 m. According to the stratified status 224 of these stations, the three NWM water masses were clearly identified along the water column 225 (Fig. 3A): AW (Atlantic Waters), LIW (Levantine Intermediate Waters) and WMDW (Western 226 Mediterranean Deep Waters). Two sub-classes were identified with the hierarchical clustering: 227 "Stratified 1" and "Stratified 2". The differences were mainly based on the 0-100 m integrated 228 nitrate, phosphate and silicate concentrations significantly lower (student test, p-value < 0.01; 229 Table 1) in the Stratified 2 sub-class (6 stations labeled by green circles; Fig. 1A) than in the 230 Stratified 1 sub-class (8 stations labeled by green squares; Fig 1A). The sub-classes differences 231 were also characterized by surface NO₃:PO₄ and Si(OH)₄:NO₃ ratios significantly higher (student 232 tests, p-values < 0.001 and < 0.01 respectively) in the *Stratified 2* sub-class than in the *Stratified 1* 233 sub-class (43.66 \pm 27.07 and 29.73 \pm 3.67 respectively for NO₃:PO₄ and 1.30 \pm 0.32, 0.75 \pm 0.08 234 respectively for Si(OH)₄:NO₃; Table 2).

The second winter class was constituted of stations surrounded the Northern Current (NC) as well as in the Balearic Front (BF) and was named "*Mixed*" according to its hydrological 237 properties described hereafter (15 blue stations, Fig. 1A). In general, similar Chla and nutrients 238 profiles were observed in this class compared to the Stratified class (Fig. 2) with some variations 239 of the nutrients concentrations and stoichiometry (Tables 1 and 2). Stations of this Mixed class 240 were characterized by a mixing of the AW with the upper LIW (Fig. 3B), raising the surface 241 layer salinity to 38.11 - 38.35 (Table 1) compared to the *Stratified* class with a surface salinity 242 range of 38.05 – 38.25. Nitrate, phosphate and silicate surface concentrations of the Mixed class 243 were significantly higher than in the *Recently Stratified 1* sub-class (student tests, p-values < 244 0.01). The hierarchical clustering also resulted in two sub-classes distinct by different locations. 245 The first sub-class named "Open-sea Mixed" was composed of stations situated offshore (10 246 stations labeled by blue circles, Fig. 1A), in opposition to the second sub-class "Shelf Mixed" (5 247 stations labeled by blue squares, Fig. 1A) composed of shallower stations situated on the 248 continental slope marked by the absence of WMDW. These sub-classes were characterized by 249 surface nutrients concentrations and 0-100 m integrated quantities significantly higher (student 250 tests, p-values < 0.01; Table 1) in the Open-sea Mixed sub-class than in the Shelf Mixed. Surface 251 Si(OH)₄:NO₃ and NO₃:PO₄ ratios were also significantly different (student tests, p-values < 252 0.001 for both) with lower ratios in the Open-sea Mixed sub-class than in the Shelf Mixed $(Si(OH)_4:NO_3 = 0.70 \pm 0.04 \text{ and } 0.82 \pm 0.08 \text{ in Open-sea Mixed and Shelf Mixed respectively,})$ 253 254 NO₃:PO₄ = 26.44 \pm 2.93 and 32.99 \pm 7.55 in *Open-sea Mixed* and *Shelf Mixed* respectively; 255 Table 2).

The third class named "*Deep Convection*" was constituted of stations situated in the center of the northern gyre of the Gulf of Lions, delimited by the NC and the BF (16 red stations, Fig. 1A). This class was characterized by homogeneous nutrients distribution over the water column (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Consequently, nutrient concentrations in the 0-100 m surface layer

260 were significantly higher in the Deep Convection class than in the Stratified and Mixed classes 261 (student tests, p-values < 0.01). Chla concentrations were lower in the surface layer in the Deep 262 Convection class compared to the other classes (Fig. 2). In contrast with the Stratified and Mixed 263 classes, Chla was also present below the euphotic zone (~ 100 m in winter) with an average concentration of $\sim 0.04 \text{ ug L}^{-1}$ between 500 m and the bottom, while its concentration was null at 264 265 these depths in the 2 others Stratified and Mixed classes (Fig. 2). Only one homogeneous water 266 mass was observed on the Θ /S diagram (Fig. 3C; Table 1), a characteristic of the convective 267 water mass. Two sub-classes were also identified in the Deep Convection class. In the first sub-268 class named "WMDW Deep Convection" (9 stations labeled by red circles; Fig. 1A), nutrients 269 concentrations were slightly but significantly lower (student tests, p-values < 0.05) than in the 270 second sub-class named "Bottom Deep Convection" (7 stations labeled by red squares; Fig. 1A). 271 Surface $Si(OH)_4$:NO₃ were slightly but significantly higher (student test, p-value < 0.001) in the 272 Bottom Deep Convection sub-class $(0.93 \pm 0.01;$ Table 2) than in the WMDW Deep Convection 273 $(0.80 \pm 0.06; \text{ Table 2})$, while it was the contrary for the NO₃:PO₄ ratios, which was significantly 274 higher (student test, p-value < 0.001) in the WMDW Deep Convection sub-class (22.34 \pm 0.95) 275 than in the *Bottom Deep Convection* sub-class (21.22 ± 0.71) . Moreover, salinity and temperature 276 of the WMDW Deep Convection were slightly higher, and significantly for the temperature 277 (student test, p-value < 0.05), than those of Bottom Deep Convection (38.50 and 38.49) 278 respectively for the salinity, 13.09 and 12.05°C respectively for the temperature; Fig 3C; Table 279 1). This was due to the smaller volume of WMDW involved in the mixing at the WMDW Deep 280 convection sub-class, which led to a noticeable higher temperature because of the larger LIW 281 contribution compared to the Bottom Deep Convection sub-class.

283 3.2 Spring NWM zonation based on vertical fluorescence profiles

Three spring classes were distinguished in the NWM from the stations clustering (Leg2 DeWEX, April 2013; Fig. 1B; Fig. S2) based on their fluorescence profiles (Fig. 4): "*Surface Bloom*", "*Deep Chlorophyll Maximum*" (*DCM*), and "*Intermediate*" classes. Phytoplankton size class distribution was then determined in each of the spring bloom class (Fig. 5).

288 The first spring class (25 red stations, Fig. 1B) was constituted of stations situated in the 289 center of the northern gyre of the Gulf of Lions, where both winter Deep Convection and Mixed 290 classes were located in February 2013. This centered spring class was named "Surface Bloom" 291 according to the shape of the vertical Chla distribution characterized by the absence of a DCM 292 (Fig. 4), or more specifically by a shallow maximum of fluorescence $(20.36 \pm 11.16 \text{ m}; \text{ Table 3})$. 293 The 0-100 m integrated fluorescence and the maximum of fluorescence (113.21 ± 16.08 mgChl m^{-2} and 2.33 ± 1.25 mgChl m⁻³ respectively; Table 3) were significantly higher in the Surface 294 295 *Bloom* class than in the *DCM* class (student tests, p-value < 0.001 for both). Microphytoplankton 296 and nanophytoplankton were co-dominant in the Surface Bloom class (Fig. 5) with slight 297 differences according to the locations. Microphytoplankton was more abundant (60%) than 298 nanophytoplankton (40%) in the center of the Gulf of Lions, where the winter Bottom Deep 299 Convection sub-class was situated, while in the Ligurian Sea, where both the WMDW Deep 300 Convection and the Open Sea Mixed sub-classes were present, nanophytoplankton proportions 301 were more important than microphytoplankton (50% and 40% respectively).

The second spring class (28 blue stations, Fig. 1B) named "*Deep Chlorophyll Maximum*" grouped the stations located at the periphery of the *Surface Bloom* class and was characterized by a clear peak of fluorescence deeper than 20 m (Fig. 4) and significantly deeper than the *Surface Bloom* class (student test, p-value < 0.001). Two sub-classes, named *50-DCM* and *30-DCM*,

306 were identified. Their MLD was not significantly different (17.63 \pm 10.57 m and 25.94 \pm 14.02 307 m for 50-DCM and 30-DCM respectively; Table 3). The sub-class 50-DCM was marked by a 308 significantly deeper DCM (54.00 \pm 8.03 m) than in the second sub-class (student test, p-value < 0.001), and a significantly lower 0-100 m integrated fluorescence $(37.49 \pm 9.35 \text{ mgChl m}^{-2})$ 309 310 compared to the second sub-class (student test, p-value < 0.001) and the *Intermediate* and 311 Surface Bloom classes (student tests, p-values < 0.001). Stations from 50-DCM were situated in 312 the southern part of the Gulf of Lions (11 stations labeled by blue circles, Fig. B1). The 30-DCM 313 sub-class had a DCM shallower than 35 m (33.64 \pm 11.59 m), with a 0-100 m integrated fluorescence (66.75 \pm 13.26 mgChl m⁻²) also significantly lower than both *Intermediate* and 314 315 Surface Bloom classes (student tests, p-values < 0.001 for both). Stations of 30-DCM sub-class 316 (17stations labeled by blue squares, Fig. 1B) were situated in the whole periphery of the northern 317 gyre, but mostly north to the 50-DCM stations. Both sub-classes were dominated by 318 nanophytoplankton (~55 %; Fig. 5), with the co-presence of picophytoplankton (~20 %) and 319 microphytoplankton (~ 15 %). Some stations situated in the south of the sampling area were 320 characterized by greater proportions of picophytoplankton (~35%) and also a particularly deep 321 DCM (>80 m).

A third spring class (6 green stations, Fig. 1B) was characterized by a maximum of fluorescence spread over several meters from 20 to 60 m (Fig. 4). This last spring class, named "*Intermediate*" was only constituted of 6 stations with high 0-100 m integrated fluorescence (165.74 \pm 25.56 mgChl m⁻²; Table 3), significantly higher than in the *DCM* and *Surface bloom* classes (student tests, p-values < 0.001 for both) and a dominance of nanophytoplankton (~60%; Fig. 5).

329

4 Discussion

Compared to the previous years, the open-ocean deep convection event of February 2013 was particularly intense in terms of duration, spatial extent [*Houpert et al.*, 2016] and of dense water formation [*Waldman et al.*, 2016]. This event was then an interesting case to study the influence of the convection process on nutrients dynamics and distribution over the NWM, and the consequences in spring on the phytoplankton distribution and community structure.

335

336 4.1 Winter nutrient distribution influenced by the deep convection event

337 During the winter, the nutrient-based clustering resulted in three main classes that 338 distinguish the NWM by a surface nitrate (NO_3) , phosphate (PO_4) and silicate $(Si(OH)_4)$ 339 concentration gradients from the center of the Deep Convection toward the Mixed and Stratified 340 surrounding classes (Fig. 1 and Table 1). This gradient followed the water volume invested in the 341 winter mixing (Fig. 3) confirming the strong link between spatial nutrient distribution and the 342 deep convection process. The surface gradient was also discernable through each sub-class, even 343 inside the *Deep convection* class where nutrients concentrations were significantly higher in the 344 Bottom Deep convection sub-class than in the WMDW Deep convection sub-class (Table 1). This 345 difference could be due to the higher volume of WMDW mixed in the Bottom Deep convection 346 sub-class than in the WMDW Deep Convection sub-class, which could allow to introduce more 347 nutrients into the water column from the deep stocks. Nevertheless, previous studies in the NWM 348 observed homogeneous nitrate, phosphate and silicate concentrations in the deep layer, *i.e.* from 349 800 m to the bottom [Béthoux et al., 1998b; Pujo-Pay et al., 2011; Pasqueron de Fommervault et 350 al., 2015]. In our study, the mixed layer depth (MLD) reached at least 1000 m in both WMDW and *Bottom Deep Convection* sub-classes, similar nutrients stoichiometry should then be
 observed along the water column.

353 The significantly different $Si(OH)_4:NO_3$ and $NO_3:PO_4$ in the two *Deep Convection* sub-354 classes (Table 2) might be associated to the sediment resuspension induced by the deep 355 convection event, a process yearly observed in the NWM from 2010 to 2013 [Durrieu de 356 Madron et al, 2017]. During this particular event of February 2013, UVP profiles of large 357 particles abundance showed that deep sediment resuspension was triggered only in the Bottom Deep convection sub-class, producing a bottom nepheloid layer with a concentration up to 500 358 particles L⁻¹ between 1000 m and the bottom (Fig. 6A-B). On the contrary, particles 359 360 concentration in the WMDW Deep convection sub-class was significantly lower and homogenous (~100 particles L^{-1}) between 500 m and the bottom (Fig. 6C-D). These observations suggest a 361 362 water column enrichment of the Bottom Deep convection sub-class by pore water release loaded 363 in nutrients, especially in silicate [Durrieu de Madron et al., 2005]. This process, never observed 364 in open-ocean, is regularly detected in shallow lakes [Søndergaard et al., 1992; Dzialowski et al., 365 2008; Niemistö et al., 2008] and marine coastal waters [Mermex group, 2011] where sediment 366 resuspension is induced by environmental events such as tidal currents, wind-induced storms 367 [Fanning et al., 1982; Tengberg et al., 2003; Garcia-Robledo et al., 2016] or anthropogenic 368 activities [Durrieu de Madron et al., 2005]. Most of these marine studies observed higher nitrate, 369 ammonium and silicate injections than phosphate. But here, the sediment resuspension seemed to 370 preferentially enrich the water column in silicate and phosphate rather than nitrate, as shown by 371 the significantly higher Si(OH)₄:NO₃ and lower NO₃:PO₄ ratios in the *Bottom Deep convection* 372 sub-class (Table 2). Nutrients measurements in sediment pore waters during a previous cruise in 373 March 2011 [CASCADE; Severin et al., 2014] showed high concentrations of silicate (47.03 \pm

8.68 μ M) and phosphate (0.70 \pm 0.18 μ M) compared to nitrate (12.76 \pm 0.81 μ M) in the first 2 374 375 cm of the sediment cores sampled in the convection area, which resulted in high Si(OH)₄:NO₃ 376 (3.71 ± 0.80) and low NO₃:PO₄ (18.76 ± 3.13) ratios. These measurements reinforce our 377 hypothesis of a preferential enrichment in silicate and phosphate by sediment resuspension. 378 Moreover, previous studies showed that in oxidized conditions, iron (III) presents in the 379 sediment adsorbs phosphorus and favors its sequestration [Jensen et al., 1992; Søndergaard et 380 al., 2003]. In our study, the strong convective mixing oxidized the whole water column and most 381 probably the surface layer of the sediment, favoring phosphorus adsorption on iron (III). Thus, to 382 observe a phosphate release like in our study, the resuspended sediment should have low iron 383 concentration. To confirm this hypothesis, measurements of phosphate and iron concentrations in 384 the pore water would be required to trace the influence of the sediment resuspension on the water 385 column. Nutrients measurements along the water column prior to a convection event would help 386 to confirm their homogeneity in the deep layer and the inability of different MLD to significantly 387 change the nutrients ratios along the water column.

388 A previous study on a secondary convection event in the NWM showed that the nutrient 389 supplies by a single event was equivalent to the annual supply by the Gulf of Lions rivers, even for an event limited in space (1000 km²) and time (8 days) for which the MLD only reached the 390 391 WMDW [Severin et al., 2014]. The convection event of March 2011 was preceded by a first 392 deep convection event in February 2011 that reached the bottom. This induced the formation of a 393 bottom nepheloid layer by sediment resuspension that can last almost a year [Puig et al., 2013] 394 and was then in theory still observable during the secondary convection event sampled in March. 395 This previous bottom reaching mixing can explain the similar nutrients concentrations and 396 stoichiometry observed in March 2011 and in February 2013, because of either the dilution effect

397 of a higher volume of the WMDW or the pore water release as explain above. Nevertheless, the 398 convection episode of February 2013 was more extended than the event of March 2011 with an 399 area estimated to 23 600 km² [Houpert et al., 2016]. Using the 0-100 m averaged integrated 400 nutrients quantities of the Deep Convection class, NO₃, PO₄ and Si(OH)₄ supplies were then evaluated to $1.87 \pm 0.11 \ 10^{10}$, $8.60 \pm 0.78 \ 10^8$ and $1.63 \pm 0.26 \ 10^{10}$ mol respectively, so 23 times 401 402 more nutrients than in March 2011 and only 1.5 times more than in February 2011 [Severin et 403 al., 2014]. Using physical/biogeochemical coupled modeling, Ulses et al. [2016] estimated 404 supplies of nutrients at 100 m depth in the NWM. They obtained 5 times more than our estimates 405 for the strongly convective winter 2004-2005, and 2.5 and 1.7 more than our estimates for the 406 less convective winters, respectively 2005-2006 and 2003-2004 winters. Unfortunately, these 407 studies used different criteria to delimit the convection area, which lead to significant variations 408 in the nutrients supplies estimates [Houpert et al., 2016]. An over or underestimation of the 409 nutrients budgets can then result from it, highlighting the necessity to choose a unique criterion 410 to determine the convection area.

411

412 4.2 Spring phytoplankton abundance and horizontal distribution influenced by winter413 nutrients supply

In spring, the superposition of the fluorescence-based classes with the winter nutrientbased classes (Fig. 1) confirmed the previous observations that the winter nutrients supply by the convection process is one the main factors influencing the spring phytoplankton bloom [*Lévy et al.*, 1998; *Gačić et al.*, 2002; *Heimbürger et al.*, 2013]. Indeed, the fluorescence characteristics (Table 3) indicated that the phytoplankton bloom was centered in the northern cyclonic gyre of the NWM, *i.e.* in the *Surface Bloom* class which corresponded to the winter *Open Sea Mixed* and *Deep Convection* classes (Fig. 1). Consequently, the convection process controls the winter nutrients supply (Table 1), which in turn influences the phytoplankton surface abundance and horizontal distribution in spring. The predicted decrease in intensity and coverage of the convection process with the climate change [*Giorgi*, 2006, *Somot et al.*, 2006] could then have consequences on the phytoplankton ecosystem, as already observed in some predictive models [*Herrmann et al.*, 2014; *Macias et al.*, 2015].

426 But while the large winter nutrients supply induced a bloom with a surface fluorescence 427 maximum (Fig. 4; Table 3), the phytoplankton vertical distribution in the surrounding DCM and 428 Intermediate classes cannot be explain by the deep convection process. Because the DCM class 429 was located where the winter *Stratified* class was, the nutrient depleted surface layer certainly 430 favored a deep phytoplankton development closer to the nutricline (Table 3), and thus the 431 formation of a DCM. Moreover, the significant correlation between the MLD and the depth of 432 fluorescence maximum (Spearman test, r = -0.322, p value < 0.05; Table S1) indicated that MLD 433 variations could be responsible for the different DCM observed (50-DCM vs. 30-DCM sub-434 classes), as well as some stations mismatches between the winter and spring classes (Fig. 1). For 435 instance, spring stations 23, 25 and the southern stations 83 and 85 did not benefit from the 436 winter nutrients supply, but a short MLD deepening prior the sampling enable a surface 437 phytoplankton development characteristic of the Surface Bloom class (Fig. 4; Table 3). Inversely, 438 the spring station 78 was in the winter *Deep Convection* class, but an early MLD shallowing in 439 spring resulted in a low and deep fluorescence maximum, a DCM class characteristic (Fig. 4; 440 Table 3). Thus, in nutrient depleted waters, the shallower is the MLD, the deeper is the DCM and 441 reciprocally. In our study the phytoplankton distribution was evaluated via fluorescence 442 measurements, the observed DCMs could then be a consequence of photoacclimation processes

443 and not an actual deep phytoplankton biomass maximum. In this case, the maximum of 444 fluorescence should increase with the deepening of the DCM. Here, the maximum of 445 fluorescence was significantly lower in the *50-DCM* than in the *30-DCM* sub-classes (Table 3), 446 which give insight that the DCM was associated to a biomass maximum. Phytoplankton cells 447 counting along the water column would be necessary to confirm this hypothesis.

448 Several studies showed the influence of the MLD on the phytoplankton vertical 449 distribution, in association with others biotic and abiotic mechanisms such as the light regime, 450 predations or phytoplankton growth and sinking [Morel and Berthon, 1989; Estrada et al., 1993; 451 Mignot et al., 2014; Lavigne et al., 2015; Cullen, 2015 and references therein]. Unfortunately, 452 the sampling grid of our twice one-month study (February vs. April) prevents to identify these 453 other mechanisms, as shown by the absence of correlation between the fluorescence maximum depth and the euphotic depth or the nutriclines (Table S1). Nevertheless, a study showed that the 454 455 duration and depth of the convective mixing directly shape both the phenology and the 456 magnitude of the spring bloom in the NWM [Lavigne et al., 2013]. Moreover, a one-year study 457 covering the 2013 deep convection event and spring bloom [Mayot et al., 2017] confirmed this 458 hypothesis, which strengthens our study which uses data from the convection event in February 459 to explain the phytoplankton distribution in April. In this study, they observed two bioregions 460 similar to our Surface Bloom and DCM classes with a significant higher phytoplankton 461 accumulation in the former class like in our study. Similarly, they explained this difference by 462 higher silicate availability and a reduced zooplankton grazing pressure because of a greater 463 dilution by the convective mixing [Behrenfeld et al., 2010].

465 4.3 Winter nutrient supply induced the spring phytoplankton size class distribution

466 Several studies showed clear correlations between phytoplankton size classes and nutrient 467 stocks and stoichiometry [Staehr et al., 2002; Elser et al., 2003; Conan et al., 2007; Mever et al., 468 2016]. The Surface Bloom class, characterized by the highest winter nutrients replenishment in 469 our study, was co-dominated by microphytoplankton and nanophytoplankton as expected (i.e. 470 larger cells), while nanophytoplankton and picophytoplankton dominated the DCM class (Fig. 5). 471 In this classical general scheme, another pattern was observable when considering the spring proportion of micro- and nano- phytoplankton in the winter classes. Within the Surface 472 473 Bloom class, microphytoplankton was dominant where the winter Bottom Deep Convection sub-474 class was located, while nanophytoplankton dominated the WMDW Deep Convection and the 475 Open-Sea Mixed sub-classes. To explain such a difference, it is necessary to consider the winter 476 nutrients stoichiometry (Table 2). Microphytoplankton was clearly related with elevated winter 477 concentrations of NO₃, PO₄ and Si(OH)₄, but also with relatively low NO₃:PO₄ and high 478 Si(OH)₄:NO₃ ratios. In our study, microphytoplankton group was defined using fucoxanthin and 479 peridinin, characteristic pigments of diatoms and dinoflagellates respectively [*Uitz et al.*, 2006]. 480 Diatoms are known to be opportunist and to grow in enriched environment with relatively low 481 Si(OH)₄:NO₃:PO₄ ratios [Conan et al. 2007]. The large silicate supply in the Bottom Deep 482 Convection sub-class, evidenced by the high Si(OH)₄:NO₃, seemed to favor diatoms rather than 483 dinoflagellates. This was confirmed by the 0-100 m integrated fucoxanthin to peridinin 484 proportion index (Fucoxanthin/[Fucoxanthin + Peridinin]) higher in the Surface Bloom stations 485 previously located in the *Bottom Deep Convection* sub-class (99.81 \pm 2.74) than in the *WMDW* 486 Deep Convection and Open-Sea Mixed sub-classes (86.16 ± 7.60). The only exceptions were the 487 previously mentioned spring stations 23 and 25 (Fig. 1B) dominated by microphytoplankton and

488 nanophytoplankton respectively (Fig. 5) and the southern stations 83 and 85 also (Fig. 1B) 489 dominated by nanophytoplankton (Fig. 5), while they were located in the nutrient-depleted 490 winter Stratified class. The short MLD deepening enriched enough these stations to have a 491 similar phytoplankton development than the nutrient-enriched Deep Convection and Mixed 492 classes. Nevertheless the large size range of the diatoms, from nano- to micro-sized classes, is 493 not taken into account with the method used in our study to determine the phytoplankton 494 community structure [*Uitz et al.*, 2006]. While previous studies in the NWM observed diatoms 495 bloom of the microphytoplankton size class [Percopo et al., 2011; Rigual-Hernandez et al., 496 2013], it is possible that smaller diatoms taxa become dominant like in the North Atlantic spring 497 bloom because of modifications of the environmental conditions [Daniels et al., 2015].

498 Concerning the nano- and pico- phytoplankton that dominated the DCM class, the nutrient-depleted surface layer and the high NO3:PO4 and low Si(OH)4:NO3 ratios (Tables 1 and 499 500 2) combined to favor smaller cells development [Pujo-Pay et al., 2011]. Moreover, 501 picophytoplankton was more abundant in the southern stations of the 50-DCM sub-class, where 502 was the winter Stratified 2 sub-class (Fig. 1B) characterized by the lower surface nutrients 503 concentrations and the highest surface NO₃:PO₄ and Si(OH)₄:NO₃ ratios (Table 2). These 504 nutrients stocks, in association with the significantly deeper euphotic depth in the 50-DCM than 505 in the 30-DCM sub-classes (Table 3; student test, p-value = 0.041) created the ideal conditions to 506 promote the picophytoplankton development more adapted to oligotrophic waters [Clark et al., 507 2013]. Finally, the presence of some microphytoplankton in the northern stations from the 30-508 DCM sub-class ($\sim 30\%$; Fig. 1B and 5) could be due to a nutrient enrichment by the rivers 509 discharge. Even if the annual nutrient supply by the rivers is significantly lower than the supply 510 by a single convection event [Severin et al., 2014], this input in coastal waters was enough to511 favor a microphytoplankton development.

512

513 **5** Conclusion

In this study we showed that the spatial extent of the deep convection process directly determines silicate, nitrate and phosphate concentrations distribution over the NWM, while the convective mixing depth conditions the nutrients stoichiometry by dilution effect of the WMDW or because of the sediment resuspension triggered by bottom reaching mixing. In turn, the winter nutrients supply influences the spring phytoplankton abundance and horizontal distribution, while the winter nutrients stoichiometry impacts the spring phytoplankton community structure, favoring diatoms in the center of the deep convection area enriched in silicate

The expecting modifications of the convection process with the climate change will have consequences on the phytoplankton abundance and community structure in spring. Reduced convection events in time, space and in mixing depth, like in 2008, will diminish the nutrient supplies, especially in silicate. This can lead to an ecosystem shift by favoring dinoflagellates, or picophytoplankton if the deep convection process completely disappears, with consequences on the biogeochemical cycles and on the entire marine food web.

527

528 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the crew and officials of R/V *Le Suroit* and to all the scientific and technical staff involved in the DeWEX cruise for their support during sea operations. This study was supported by the programs MOOSE, MerMex and HyMeX projects under the MISTRALS framework. Data used in this study are referenced by SISMER (http://dx.doi.org/10.17600/13020010; http://dx.doi.org/10.17600/13020030)

534 References

- Aminot, A. and Kérouel, R. (2007), Dosage automatique des nutriments dans les eaux marines :
 méthodes en flux continu.
- Backhaus, J.O., Hegseth, E.N., Irigoien, X., Hatten, K. and Logemann, K. (2003), Convection
 and primary production in winter. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 251, 1–14.
- Behrenfeld, M. J. (2010) Abandoning Sverdrup's Critical Depth Hypothesis on phytoplankton
 blooms. *Ecology*, 91(4), 977–989, doi:10.1890/09-1207.1.
- 542 Béthoux, J.P., Gentili, B. and Tailliez, D. (1998a), Warming and freshwater budget change in the
 543 Mediterranean since the 1940s, their possible relation to the greenhouse effect. *Geophys.*544 *Res. Lett.*, 25, 1023–1026.
- Béthoux, J. P., Morin, P., Chaumery, C., Connan, O., Gentili, B. and Ruiz-Pino, D. (1998b),
 Nutrients in the Mediterranean Sea, mass balance and statistical analysis of concentrations
 with respect to environmental change. *Mar. Chem.*, 63, 155–169.
- Clark, J.R., Lenton, T.M., Williams, H.T.P. and Daine, S.J. (2013), Environmental selection
 resource allocation determine spatial patterns in picophytoplankton cell size. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 58(3), 2013, 1008–1022. doi:10.4319/lo.2013.58.3.1008.
- Conan, P., Søndergaard, M., Kragh, T., Thingstad, F., Pujo-Pay, M., Williams, P. J. L. B.,
 Markager, S., Cauwet, G., Borch, N. H. and Evans, D. (2007), Partitioning of organic
 production in marine plankton communities: The effects of inorganic nutrient ratios and
 community composition on new dissolved organic matter. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 52(2), 753–
 765. doi:10.4319/lo.2007.52.2.0753.
- Cullen, J. J. (2015), Subsurface chlorophyll maximum layers: enduring enigma or mystery
 solved? Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 7, 207–39. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135111.
- 558 Daniels, C. J., Poulton, A. J., Esposito, M., Paulsen, M. L., Bellerby, R., St John, M. and Martin,
- A. P. (2015), Phytoplankton dynamics in contrasting early stage North Atlantic spring
 blooms: Composition, succession, and potential drivers. *Biogeosciences*, 12(8), 2395–
 2409. doi:10.5194/bg-12-2395-2015.
- 562 D'Ortenzio, F. and Ribera d'Alcalà, M. (2009), On the trophic regimes of the Mediterranean
 563 Sea: a satellite analysis. *Biogeosciences*, 6, 1–10.
- 564 Durrieu de Madron, X, Ramondenc, S., Berline, L., Houpert, L., Bosse, A., Martini, S., Guidi,

- 565 L., Conan, P., Curtil, C., Delsaut, N., Kunesch, S., Ghiglione, J.F., Marsaleix, P., Pujo-Pay,
- M., Séverin, T., Testor, P., Tamburini, C., and the ANTARES collaboration (2017), Deep
 sediment resuspension and thick nepheloid layer generation by open-ocean convection. *J. Geophys. Res.* doi: 10.1002/2016JC012062
- 569 Durrieu de Madron, X., Ferré, B., Le Corre, G., .Grenz, C., Conan, P., Pujo-Pay, M., Buscail, R.
 570 and Bodiot, O. (2005), Trawling-induced resuspension and dispersal of muddy sediments
 571 and dissolved elements in the Gulf of Lion (NW Mediterranean). *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 25(19–

572 20), 2387–2409. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2005.08.002.

- Dzialowski, A. R., Wang, S., Lim, N., Beury, J. H. and Huggins, D. G. (2008), Effects of
 sediment resuspension on nutrient concentrations and algal biomass in reservoirs of the
 Central Plains. *Lake Reserv. Manag.*, 24(4), 313–320. doi:10.1080/07438140809354841.
- Elser, J. J., Kyle, M., Makino, W., Yoshida, T. and Urabe, J. (2003), Ecological stoichiometry in
 the microbial food web: A test of the light:nutrient hypothesis. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, 31(1),
 49–65. doi:10.3354/ame031049.
- Estrada, M., Latasa, M., Emelianov, M., Gutiérrez-Rodríguez, A., Fernández-Castro, B., IsernFontanet, J., Mouriño-Carballido, B., Salat, J. and Vidal, M. (2014), Seasonal and
 mesoscale variability of primary production in the deep winter-mixing region of the NW
 Mediterranean. *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 94, 45–61.
 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2014.08.003
- Estrada, M., Marrasé, C., Latasa, M., Berdalet, E., Delgado, M. and Riera, T. (1993), Variability
 of deep chlorophyll maximum charcateristics in the Northwestern Mediterranean. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 92, 289–300.
- Fanning, K. A., Carder, K. L. and Betzer, P. R. (1982), Sediment resuspension by coastal waters:
 a potential mechanism for nutrient re-cycling on the ocean's margins. *Deep Sea Res. Part A. Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 29(8), 953–965. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/01980149(82)90020-6.
- Gačić, M., Civitarese, G., Miserocchi, S., Cardin, V., Crise, A. and Mauri, E. (2002), The openocean convection in the Southern Adriatic: a controlling mechanism of the spring
 phytoplankton bloom. *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 22, 1897–1908. doi:10.1016/S02784343(02)00050-X
- 595 Garcia-Robledo, E., Bohorquez, J., Corzo, A., Jimenez-Arias, J. L. and Papaspyrou, S. (2016),

- 596 Dynamics of inorganic nutrients in intertidal sediments: Porewater, exchangeable, and 597 intracellular pools. *Front. Microbiol.*, 7. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00761.
- 598 Giorgi, F. (2006), Climate change hot-spots. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33(8), L08707,
 599 doi:10.1029/2006GL025734.
- 600 Gogou, A., Sanchez-Vidal, A., Durrieu de Madron, X., Stavrakakis, S., Calafat, A.M., Stabholz,
- M., Psarra, S., Canals, M., Heussner, S., Stavrakaki, I., and Papathanassiou, E. (2014),
 Carbon flux to the deep in three open sites of the Southern European Seas (SES). *J. Mar. Syst.*, 129, 224–233. doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.05.013
- Heimbürger, L.-E., Lavigne, H., Migon, C., D'Ortenzio, F., Estournel, C., Coppola, L. and
 Miquel, J.-C. (2013), Temporal variability of vertical export flux at the DYFAMED timeseries station (Northwestern Mediterranean Sea). *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 119, 59–67.
 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.08.005.
- Herrmann, M., Diaz, F., Estournel, C., Marsaleix, P. and Ulses, C. (2013), Impact of atmospheric
 and oceanic interannual variability on the Northwestern Mediterranean Sea pelagic
 planktonic ecosystem and associated carbon cycle. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, 118, 5792–
 5813. doi:10.1002/jgrc.20405
- Herrmann, M., Estournel, C., Adloff, F. and Diaz, F. (2014), Impact of climate change on the
 northwestern Mediterranean Sea pelagic planktonic ecosystem and associated carbon
 cycle. J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 119, 1–22, doi:10.1002/2014JC010016.
- Houpert, L., Durrieu de Madron, X., Testor, P., Bosse, A., D'Ortenzio, F., Bouin, M.N., Dausse,
 D., Le Goff, H., Kunesch, S., Labaste, M., Coppola, L., Mortier, L. and Raimbault, P.
 (2016), Observation of open-ocean deep convection in the northwestern Mediterranean
 Sea: seasonal and interannual variability of mixing and deep water masses for the 20072013 period. J. Geophys. Res., 121, doi:10.1002/2016JC011857.
- Jensen, H. S., Kristensen, P., Jeppesen, E. and Skytthe, A. (1992), Iron-phosphorus ratio in
 surface sediment as an indicator of phosphate release from aerobic sediments in shallow
 lakes. *Hydrobiologia*, 235/236, 731–743.
- Killworth, P.D. (1983), Deep convection in the World Ocean. *Rev. Geophys.*, 21, 1–26.
 doi:10.1029/RG021i001p00001.
- Lavigne, H., D'Ortenzio, F., Migon, C., Claustre, H., Testor, P., D'Alcalà, M. R., Lavezza, R.,
 Houpert, L. and Prieur, L. (2013), Enhancing the comprehension of mixed layer depth

- 627 control on the Mediterranean phytoplankton phenology. J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 118(7),
 628 3416–3430. doi:10.1002/jgrc.20251.
- Lavigne, H., D'Ortenzio, F., Ribera D'Alcalà, M., Claustre, H., Sauzède, R. and Gacic, M.
 (2015), On the vertical distribution of the chlorophyll a concentration in the Mediterranean
 Sea: a basin scale and seasonal approach. *Biogeosciences*, 12, 5021–5039. doi:10.5194/bg12-5021-2015.
- Lévy, M., Memery, L. and Madec, G. (1998), The onset of a bloom after deep winter convection
 in the northwestern Mediterranean sea: mesoscale process study with a primitive equation
 model. J. Mar. Syst., 16, 7–21.
- Lévy, M., Mémery, L. and Madec, G. (1999), The onset of the spring bloom in the MEDOC
 area: mesoscale spatial variability. *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 46, 1137–
 1160.
- 639 Longhurst, A. R. (2006) Ecological geography of the sea, 2nd ed., edited by Academic Press.
- Macias, D. M., Garcia-Gorriz, E. and Stips, A. (2015), Productivity changes in the
 Mediterranean Sea for the twenty-first century in response to changes in the regional
 atmospheric forcing. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 2(79), 1–13, doi:10.3389/fmars.2015.00079.
- Martín, J., Miquel, J.-C. and Khripounoff, A. (2010), Impact of open sea deep convection on
 sediment remobilization in the western Mediterranean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37(13),
 L13604. doi:10.1029/2010GL043704.
- Martini S., Nerini D. and Tamburini C. (2014), Relation between deep bioluminescence
 and oceanographic variables: A statistical analysis using time–frequency decompositions. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 127, 117–128.
- Marty, J., Chiavérini, J., Pizay, M. and Avril, B. (2002), Seasonal and interannual dynamics of
 nutrients and phytoplankton pigments in the western Mediterranean Sea at the DYFAMED
 time-series station (1991–1999). *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 49, 1965–
 1985.
- Mayot, N., D'Ortenzio, F., Ribera d'Alcalà, M., Lavigne, H. and Claustre. H. (2016), Interannual
 variability of the Mediterranean trophic regimes from ocean color satellites. *Biogeosciences.*, 13, 1901–1917. doi:10.5194/bg-13-1901-2016.
- Mayot, N., D'Ortenzio, F., Taillandier, V., Prieur, L., Pasqueron de Fommervault, O., Claustre,
 H., Bosse, A., Testor, P. and Conan, P. (2017), Physical and biogeochemical controls of

- the phytoplankton blooms in North Western Mediterranean Sea: a multiplatform approach
 over a complete annual cycle (2012-2013 DEWEX experiment). J. Geophys. Res., this *issue*.
- Mermex group (2011) Marine ecosystems' responses to climatic and anthropogenic forcings in
 the Mediterranean. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 91(2), 97–166. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2011.02.003.
- Meyer, J., Löscher, C. R., Neulinger, S. C., Reichel, A. F., Loginova, A., Borchard, C., Schmitz,
 R. A., Hauss, H., Kiko, R. and Riebesell, U. (2016), Changing nutrient stoichiometry
 affects phytoplankton production, DOP accumulation and dinitrogen fixation A
 mesocosm experiment in the eastern tropical North Atlantic. *Biogeosciences*, 13(3), 781–
 794. doi:10.5194/bg-13-781-2016.
- Mignot, A., Claustre, H., Uitz, J., Poteau, A., D'Ortenzio, F. and Xing, X. (2014) Understanding
 the seasonal dynamics of phytoplankton biomass and the deep chlorophyll maximum in
 oligotrophic environments: A Bio-Argo float investigation. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*,
 28. doi:10.1002/2013GB004781.
- Millot, C. and Taupier-Letage, I. (2005), Circulation in the Mediterranean Sea, in: Saliot, A.
 (Ed.), The Mediterranean Sea. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 29–66.
- Millot, C. (1999) Circulation in the Western Mediterranean Sea. J. Mar. Syst., 20(1-4), 423–442.
 doi:10.1016/S0924-7963(98)00078-5.
- Morel, A. and Berthon, J.-F. (1989), Surface pigments, algal biomass profiles, and potential
 production of the euphotic layer: Relationships reinvestigated in view of remote-sensing
 applications. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 34(8), 1545–1562. doi:10.4319/lo.1989.34.8.1545.
- Niemistö, J., Holmroos, H., Pekcan-Hekim, Z. and Horppila, J. (2008), Interactions between
 sediment resuspension and sediment quality decrease the TN: TP ratio in a shallow lake. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 53(6), 2407–2415. doi:10.2307/40058331.
- 682 Pasqueron de Fommervault, O., Migon, C., D'Ortenzio, F., Ribera d'Alcalà, M. and Coppola, L.
- 683 (2015), Temporal variability of nutrient concentrations in the northwestern Mediterranean
- sea (DYFAMED time-series station). *Deep. Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 100, 1–12.
 doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2015.02.006.
- Percopo, I., Siano, R., Cerino, F., Sarno, D. and Zingone, A. (2011), Phytoplankton diversity
 during the spring bloom in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *Bot. Mar.*, 54(3), 243–
 267. doi:10.1515/BOT.2011.033.

- Picheral M., L. Guidi, L. Stemmann, D.M. Karl, G. Iddaoud, and Gorsky, G. (2010), The
 Underwater Vision Profiler 5: an advanced instrument for high spatial resolution studies of
 particle size spectra and zooplankton. Limnology and Oceanography: Methods 8, 462–473.
- 692 Pujo-Pay, M., Conan, P., Oriol, L., Cornet-Barthaux, V., Falco, C., Ghiglione, J.-F., Goyet, C.,
- Moutin, T. and Prieur, L. (2011), Integrated survey of elemental stoichiometry (C, N, P)
 from the western to eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Biogeosciences*, 8(4), 883–899.
 doi:10.5194/bg-8-883-2011.
- Ras, J., Uitz, J. and Claustre, H. (2008), Spatial variability of phytoplankton pigment
 distributions in the Subtropical South Pacific Ocean: comparison between in situ and
 modelled data. *Biogeosciences*, 5, 353–369.
- Rigual-Hernández, A. S., Bárcena, M. A., Jordan, R. W., Sierro, F. J., Flores, J. A., Meier, K. J.
 S., Beaufort, L. and Heussner, S. (2013), Diatom fluxes in the NW Mediterranean:
 Evidence from a 12-year sediment trap record and surficial sediments. *J. Plankton Res.*,
 35(5), 1109–1125. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbt055.
- Send, U., Font, J., Krahmann, G., Millot, C., Rhein, M. and Tintoré, J. (1999), Recent advances
 in observing the physical oceanography of the western Mediterranean Sea. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 44, 37–64. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6611(99)00020-8.
- Severin, T., Conan, P., Durrieu de Madron, X., Houpert, L., Oliver, M. J., Oriol, L., Caparros, J.,
 Ghiglione, J. F. and Pujo-Pay, M. (2014), Impact of open-ocean convection on nutrients,
 phytoplankton biomass and activity. *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 94, 62–71,
 doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2014.07.015.
- Severin, T., Sauret, C., Boutrif, M., Duhaut, T., Kessouri, F., Oriol, L., Caparros, J., Pujo-Pay,
 M., Durrieu de Madron, X., Garel, M., Tamburini, C., Conan, P. and Ghiglione, J.F.
 (2016), Impact of an intense water column mixing (0-1500m) on prokaryotic diversity and
 activities during an open-ocean convection event in the NW Mediterranean Sea. *Environ. Microbiol.* doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13324.
- Somot, S., Sevault, F. and Michel, D. (2006), Transient climate change scenario simulation of
 the Mediterranean Sea for the 21st century using a high-resolution ocean circulation model.
 Clim. Dyn., 27(7-8), 851–879.
- Somot, S., Houpert L., Sevault F., Testor P., Bosse A., Taupier-Letage I., Bouin M.N., Waldman
 R., Cassou C., Sanchez-Gomez E., Durrieu de Madron X., Adloff F., Nabat P. and

- Herrmann, M. (2016), Characterizing, modelling and understanding the climate variability
 of the deep water formation in the North-Western Mediterranean Sea. Clim. Dyn. doi:
- 722 10.1007/s00382-016-3295-0
- Søndergaard, M., Jensen, J. P. and Jeppesen, E. (2003), Role of sediment and internal loading of
 phosphorus in shallow lakes. *Hydrobiologia*, 506-509, 135–145.
- Søndergaard, M., Kristensen, P. and Jeppesen, E. (1992), Phosphorus release from resuspended
 sediment in the shallow and wind-exposed Lake Arresø, Denmark. *Hydrobiologia*, 228(1),
 91–99. doi:10.1007/BF00006480.
- Stabholz, M., Durrieu de Madron, X., Canals, M., Khripounoff, A., Taupier-Letage, I., Testor,
 P., Heussner, S., Kerhervé, P., Delsaut, N., Houpert, L., Lastras, G. and Dennielou, B.

730 (2013), Impact of open-ocean convection on particle fluxes and sediment dynamics in the

- deep margin of the Gulf of Lions. *Biogeosciences*, 10(2), 1097–1116. doi:10.5194/bg-101097-2013.
- Staehr, P. A., Henriksen, P. and Markager, S. (2002), Photoacclimation of four marine
 phytoplankton species to irradiance and nutrient availability. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 238,
 47–59. doi:10.3354/meps238047.
- 736 Tamburini C, Canals M, Durrieu de Madron X., Houpert L., Lefèvre D. and the 737 ANTARES collaboration (2013), Deep-sea bioluminescence blooms after dense water 738 formation at the surface. **PLoS** ONE. 8(7): ocean e67523. 739 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067523.
- Taylor, J. and Ferrari, R. (2011), Shutdown of turbulent convection as a new criterion for the
 onset of spring phytoplankton blooms. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 56, 2293–2307.
 doi:10.4319/lo.2011.56.6.2293
- Tengberg, A., Almroth, E. and Hall, P. (2003), Resuspension and its effects on organic carbon
 recycling and nutrient exchange in coastal sediments: In situ measurements using new
 experimental technology. *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.*, 285-286, 119–142. doi:10.1016/S00220981(02)00523-3.
- Uitz, J., Claustre, H., Morel, A. and Hooker, S.B. (2006), Vertical distribution of phytoplankton
 communities in open ocean: An assessment based on surface chlorophyll. *J. Geophys. Res.*,
 111. doi:10.1029/2005JC003207

- Ulses, C., P.-A. Auger, K. Soetaert, P. Marsaleix, F. Diaz, L. Coppola, M.Herrmann, F.
 Kessouri, and C. Estournel (2016), Budget of organic carbon in the North-Western
 Mediterranean Open Sea over the period 2004-2008 using 3D coupled physical
 biogeochemical modeling, *J. Geophys. Res.* doi:10.1002/2016JC011818.
- 754 Waldman, R., Somot, S., Herrmann, M., Testor, P., Estournel, C., Sevault, F., Prieur, L., Mortier,
- 755 L., Coppola, L., Taillandier, V., Conan, P. and Dausse, D. (2016), Estimating dense water
- volume and its evolution for the year 2012-2013 in the North-western Mediterranean Sea :
- an Observing System Simulation Experiment approach. J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.,
 doi:10.1002/2016JC011694.

759 Tables

Table 1: Phosphate (PO₄), nitrate (NO₃), silicate (Si(OH)₄) and chlorophyll a (Chl*a*) mean concentrations at 10 m and more than 2000 m (in μ M for nutrient and in μ g.L⁻¹ for Chl*a*) and mean integrated (0-100 m) quantities (in mmol.m⁻² for nutrient and in mg.m⁻² for Chl*a*), as well as mean temperature (T in °C), salinity (S) and density anomaly (d in kg.m⁻³) of each winter class and sub-class of Leg 1. Standard deviations are indicated after ±. NA for not available data.

		Stratified		Mixed		Deep convection	
		1	2	1-Open sea	2-Shelf	1-WMDW	2-Bottom
Surface	NO ₃	2.95±0.69	$0.82{\pm}0.28$	5.06 ± 0.45	2.61±0.62	7.64±0.22	8.42±0.34
concentrations	PO_4	0.11 ± 0.03	$0.03{\pm}0.01$	$0.20{\pm}0.03$	$0.09{\pm}0.01$	0.35 ± 0.01	$0.39{\pm}0.01$
(10 m)	Si(OH) ₄	2.33 ± 0.34	1.34 ± 0.21	3.63 ± 0.49	2.24 ± 0.38	6.32 ± 0.55	7.87 ± 0.30
	Chla	0.45 ± 0.08	$0.53{\pm}0.08$	0.43 ± 0.16	$0.53{\pm}0.08$	0.16 ± 0.07	0.05 ± 0.01
Deep	NO ₃	8.83±0.25	8.78±0.17	8.70±0.2	NA	8.69±0.10	8.51±0.51
concentrations	PO_4	0.41 ± 0.03	$0.39{\pm}0.00$	$0.39{\pm}0.01$	NA	$0.40{\pm}0.00$	$0.40{\pm}0.01$
(>2000m)	Si(OH) ₄	$8.84{\pm}0.09$	8.79 ± 0.22	8.8 ± 0.17	NA	8.75±0.12	8.32 ± 0.45
Integrated	NO ₃	326±69	127±45	567±97	262±57	758±34	825±64
quantities	PO_4	11.74 ± 2.88	3.97 ± 1.35	22.53 ± 5.77	$8.29{\pm}0.8$	34.1±2.05	38.81±3.25
(0-100 m)	Si(OH) ₄	244±35	151±27	408 ± 90	224±34	611±42	769±64
	Chla	34.23±17.9	15.64 ± 22.1	16.1±20.6	27.7±25.5	7.28 ± 9.56	$2.9{\pm}2.85$
Hydrology	Т	13.09±0.07	13.51±0.23	13.09±0.12	12.88±0.54	13.09±0.09	12.95 ± 0.02
(10 m)	S	38.25 ± 0.04	$38.05{\pm}0.09$	$38.35 {\pm} 0.09$	38.11±0.16	38.50 ± 0.02	$38.49 {\pm} 0.005$
	d	28.89 ± 0.04	28.65 ± 0.12	$28.97{\pm}0.08$	$28.83{\pm}0.06$	29.09 ± 0.005	29.11 ± 0.004

Table 2: Mean nitrate to phosphate (N:P) and silicate to nitrate (Si:N) ratios between 0 and 100
m and deeper than 700 m of each winter class and sub-class of Leg 1 (Fig. 2). NA for not
available data.

		Stratified		Mixed		Deep convection	
		1	2	1-Open sea	2-Shelf	1-WMDW	2-Bottom
Mean	N:P	29.73±3.67	43.66±27.07	26.44 ± 2.93	32.99 ± 7.55	22.34 ± 0.95	21.22±0.71
surface	Si:N						
ratio (0-		0.75 ± 0.08	1.30 ± 0.32	0.70 ± 0.04	0.82 ± 0.08	0.80 ± 0.06	0.93 ± 0.01
100 m)							
Mean	N:P	21.41±0.67	21.53±1.15	21.42 ± 1.30	NA	21.61±0.47	21.29±0.83
deep ratio (>700 m)	Si:N	1.00±0.03	0.99±0.02	1.00±0.03	NA	0.99±0.04	0.96±0.03
769							

772	Table 3: Averages of 0-100 m integrated fluorescence (Integrated fluo. in mgChla m ⁻²),
773	maximum of fluorescence (Fluo. max. in mgChl m ⁻³), depth of the fluorescence maximum (z_{fluo-}
774	max in m), nitracline (in m), silicline (in m), mixed layer depth (MLD in m) calculated with a
775	potential density anomaly difference of 0.003 kg m ⁻³ , and euphotic depth (z_e in m) calculated as
776	the depth with 1% of the photosynthetic active radiation for each spring class and sub-class of

	DO	CM	Intermediate	Surface Bloom
	50-DCM	30-DCM	-	
Integrated fluo.	37.49±9.35	66.75±13.26	165.74±25.56	113.21±16.08
Fluo. max.	1.09 ± 0.33	1.26 ± 0.76	2.38 ± 1.44	2.33±1.25
Z _{fluo-max}	54±8.03	33.64±11.59	9.83±8.2	20.36±11.16
Nitracline	51.36±19.5	70.29±44.1	50±16.73	55.6±40.7
Silicline	$94.54{\pm}50.27$	87.64±58.15	83.33±38.81	74±39.89
MLD	17.63 ± 10.57	$25.94{\pm}14.02$	30±22.03	22±15.57
Ze	51.78±37.20	25.88±21.74	17.50 ± 15.02	30.29±20.85

777 Leg 2. Standard deviations are indicated after \pm .

779 Figures legends:

780



presented in Fig. A1 (red: *Deep convection*, blue: *Mixed*, green: *Stratified*), circles are the first
sub-classes and squares the second sub-classes.

793

Figure 3: Temperature-Salinity diagrams of each stations of the winter classes (Leg 1 DeWEX,

795 February 2013): (A) *Stratified* (in green), (B) *Mixed* (in blue) and (C) *Deep Convection* (in red).

796 Circles are the first sub-classes and squares the seconds sub-classes presented in Fig. 1A.

797

Figure 4: Averaged fluorescence profiles (colored lines) with their standard deviation (grey lines)

for each spring class (from left to right): 50-DCM (blue), 30-DCM (blue), Intermediate (green)

and *Surface Bloom* (red) (Leg2 DeWEX, April 2013).

Figure 5: Distribution of the column-integrated fraction of microphytoplankton (left),
nanophytoplankton (middle) and picophytoplankton (right) with respect to the Chla quantities in
spring (leg2 DeWEX, April 2013). Shapes represent the spring classes and sub-classes presented
in Fig. B1: diamonds: *Surface Bloom*, triangles: *Intermediate*, solid circles: *50-DCM*, empty
circles: *30-DCM*.

807

808 Figure 6: Sampling maps of the winter stations of (A) the WMDW Deep Convection and (B) the

809 Bottom Deep Convection sub-classes, and (C and D) their associated particles concentrations

810 profiles (in particles L⁻¹) during the winter deep convection event (Leg 1 DeWEX cruise,

811 February 2013).

Figure 1.





Figure 2.



Figure 3.



Figure 4.



Figure 5.



Figure 6.







