

Simultaneous production of two kinds of sounds in relation with sonic mechanism in the boxfish Ostracion meleagris and O. cubicus

Eric Parmentier, Laura Solagna, Frédéric Bertucci, Michael L. Fine, Masanori Nakae, Philippe Compère, Sarah Smeets, Xavier Raick, David Lecchini

To cite this version:

Eric Parmentier, Laura Solagna, Frédéric Bertucci, Michael L. Fine, Masanori Nakae, et al.. Simultaneous production of two kinds of sounds in relation with sonic mechanism in the boxfish Ostracion meleagris and O. cubicus. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9 (1), 10.1038/s41598-019-41198-x. hal-02133038

HAL Id: hal-02133038 <https://univ-perp.hal.science/hal-02133038v1>

Submitted on 17 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 17 July 2018 Accepted: 5 February 2019 Published online: 21 March 2019

Simultaneous production of two OPENkinds of sounds in relation with sonic mechanism in the boxfsh *Ostracion meleagris* **and** *O. cubicus*

Eric Parmentier¹, Laura Solagna¹, Frédéric Bertucci 1,2, Michael L. Fine³, Masanori Nakae⁴, PhilippeCompère¹, Sarah Smeets¹, Xavier Raick¹ & David Lecchini2,5

In fshes, sonic abilities for communication purpose usually involve a single mechanism. We describe here the sonic mechanism and sounds in two species of boxfsh, the spotted trunkfsh *Ostracion meleagris* **and the yellow boxfsh** *Ostracion cubicus***. The sonic mechanism utilizes a T-shaped swimbladder with a swimbladder fenestra and two separate sonic muscle pairs. Extrinsic vertical muscles attach to the vertebral column and the swimbladder. Perpendicularly and below these muscles, longitudinal intrinsic muscles cover the swimbladder fenestra. Sounds are exceptional since they are made of two distinct types produced in a sequence. In both species, humming sounds consist of long series (up to 45s) of hundreds of regular low-amplitude pulses. Hums are often interspersed with irregular click sounds with an amplitude that is ten times greater in** *O. meleagris* **and forty times greater in** *O. cubicus***. There is no relationship between fsh size and many acoustic characteristics because muscle contraction rate dictates the fundamental frequency. We suggest that hums and clicks are produced by either separate muscles or by a combination of the two. The mechanism complexity supports an investment of boxfsh in this communication channel and underline sounds as having important functions in their way of life.**

Recent years have been marked by progress in the feld of aquatic communication, notably increasing work on diversity of the acoustic communication at sea^{1,2} and the impact of anthropogenic sounds on fish populations³⁻⁵. Fish acoustic behavior is a prominent feature of coral-reef environments, and a wide variety of fshes produces specific courtship, spawning and agonistic sounds^{6,7}. Coral reefs house approximately 50 fish families and about 30% of all fsh species are able to produce sounds. On this basis, Lobel and colleagues nicely propose this biotope could be called "choral reef"7,8.

Boxfshes of the family Ostraciidae (Tetraodontiformes) occur in shallow tropical and warm seas of the world. The name stems from the body being almost completely encased in a bony shell or carapace formed of enlarged, thickened scale plates, usually hexagonal in shape, that are firmly sutured to one another⁹. The spotted trunkfish *Ostracion meleagris* Shaw, 1796 is a protogynous hermaphrodite species that is sexually dichromatic. Juveniles and females are uniformly dark brown with small white spots. The dorsal surface of males is black with white spots, but the fanks are blue with dark-edged orange-yellow spots on the side, and the belly is grey. Tis species is found in Indo-Pacific coral reefs, between the surface and 30 m depth¹⁰. Boxfishes are generally territorial with males defending groups of females. They tend to spawn after sunset¹¹. The male approaches a female, and they both ascend with his snout against her back. Gamete release takes place when the pair lines up side by side, and both curl their caudal fins in opposite directions¹². In the yellow boxfish *Ostracion cubicus* Linnaeus, 1758, males show brilliant yellow sides and a blue dorsal surface. Females are basically yellowish-brown10,13,14. *Ostracion*

1 Université de Liege, Laboratoire de Morphologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive, AFFISH-RC, Institut de Chimie - B6C, Sart Tilman, Liège 4000, Belgium. ²PSL Research University: EPHE-UPVD-CNRS, USR3278 CRIOBE, BP 1013, 98729, Papetoai, Moorea, French Polynesia. 3Department of Biology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23284-2012, USA. 4Department of Zoology, National Museum of Nature and Science, 4-1-1 Amakubo, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0005, Japan. ⁵Laboratoire d'Excellence «CORAIL», BP 1013, 98729, Papetoai, Moorea, French Polynesia. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.P. (email: E.Parmentier@uliege.be)

Figure 1. Dorsal views showing the sound producing apparatus in *Ostracion meleagris*. Muscles were progressively removed from (**A**–**C**). Drawings were made by E.P.

cubicus are also protogynous hermaphrodites and form harems of 2–4 females for each male. Mating behavior appears similar to *O. meleagris*, but further studies are required¹⁵.

Sounds have been recorded from this family. In the thornback cowfish *Lactoria fornasini*, high-pitched hums, although physically undescribed, are thought to help synchronizing spawning (Moyer 1979). In *Ostracion meleagris*, Lobel¹⁶ identified three different sounds. Spawning sounds have long durations (6s) with peak energy between 215 and 270Hz and are produced when a pair is in mating position. During competition for mates, males also produce "bump" sounds with a duration ranging from 9.9 to 10.6ms and peak energy between 140 and

Figure 2. Lef lateral views showing the sound producing apparatus in *Ostracion meleagris*. Transverse extrinsic sonic muscle is removed in (**B**). Drawings were made by E.P.

790 Hz. The third sound, the "buzz"¹⁶ is probably related to agonistic behavior¹⁷. It has peak energy between 198 and 535Hz and durations of 130 to 209ms.

Recent reviews have underlined the growing diversity of sound-producing mechanism in fishes^{2,18-20}. Most mechanisms, resulting from evolutionary convergences, employ high-speed muscles (contraction rate between 50 and 300Hz) that insert in part or totally on the swimbladder. In these species, the contraction rate dictates the fundamental frequency of the sounds^{21,22}. The sound-producing mechanism of the Ostraciidae is not known. As in triggerfshes (Balistidae, Tetraodontiformes), the swimbladder is a voluminous elongated thick-walled dorsal sac with two anterior evaginated lateral lobes²³. Fish and Mowbray proposed the use of sound- producing muscles related to the swimbladder and jaw teeth stridulation for the genus *Lactrophrys*, but they provide no evidence and did not describe the muscles. There is no indication for other genera of Ostraciidae such as *Ostracion*²⁴. This study aims to examine for the frst time the fsh inner anatomy and sound features to infer the sonic mechanism in two boxfsh species, *Ostracion meleagris* and *Ostracion cubicus*.

Material and Methods

Experimental procedures followed a protocol approved by the local ethics committee of the University of Liege. *Ostracion meleagris* and *O. cubicus* are not endangered or protected species, and specimens were not caught in protected areas at Moorea Island. All procedures were approved by the ethical commission of the University of Liège (ethics case 1759).

Biological material. A feld campaign was conducted at the CRIOBE research station (www.criobe.pf) in Moorea Island (French Polynesia) between February and April 2016. Twenty-seven *Ostracion meleagris* from 3 to 9 cm in standard length (twelve males, eight females and seven juveniles) and fve *Ostracion cubicus* adults (7 to 12 cm) were captured in the North Lagoon of Moorea. They were caught inside rocky crevices in the reef in water from 1 to 3.5 m depth using a gillnet (25 m long and mesh of 2.5 cm) and hand nets. Fish were stocked in two tanks (137 cm \times 68 cm \times 60 cm) with running seawater (28–29 °C) on a natural light cycle (12h light:12h dark). The tanks were equipped with aerators for oxygenation and stones and coral fragments as shelters. Fish were fed shrimp three times a week, but they also fed on algae on the stones. Barriers separated *Ostracion meleagris* in order to avoid fghting between males.

Morphological study. Nine *O. meleagris* (fve males and four females) and one male *O. cubicus* were euthanized with an overdose of MS 222 (Sigma Aldrich). Three males and two females were fixed in 7% formalin for approximately two weeks before transfer to 70% ethanol. Swimbladders were dissected and examined with a Wild M10 (Leica) binocular microscope. A second lot of *O. meleagris* (four males and four females) was dissected to

Figure 3. Toluidine blue-stained cross-sections of (**A**) the longitudinal intrinsic and (**B**) the transverse extrinsic sonic muscles in *Ostracion meleagris*. TEM cross-sections of (**C**) the longitudinal intrinsic and (**D**) the transverse extrinsic sonic muscles in *Ostracion meleagris* showing the central area (**C**) and the margin (**D**) of fbers. Deep blue dots around the cells (in **A** and **B**) correspond to the mitochondria; m: mitochondriae; mf, myofbril bundles; n: myelinated nerve; nu: nucleus; sr: sarcoplasmic reticulum tubules; s: sarcoplasm.

sample sound-producing muscles associated with the swimbladder. Muscle samples were immediately fxed in glutaraldehyde 2.5% in 0.1 cacodylate bufer pH 7.4, post-fxed in 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated through a graded ethanol-propylene oxide series and embedded in epoxy resin (SPI-PON 812, SPI-CHEM). Transverse semi-thin sections (1µm) were cut using a diamond knife on a Reichert-Jung Ultracut E ultramicrotome (Leica) and stained with toluidine blue (1%, pH 9.0) following the protocol of Richardson²⁵, and photographed under a binocular microscope. Ultrathin sections (70–80nm) were classically stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and viewed using transmission electron microscopy with a TEM/STEM Tecnai G2 Twin (FEI) working at 200kV accelerating voltage.

Sound recording. Afer an acclimation period of two days, fsh were recorded with a hydrophone (HTI Min-96, sensitivity: -163.9 dB re 1 V μ Pa⁻¹; flat frequency response range between 2 Hz and 30 kHz; Long Beach, MS, USA) placed in the center of the aquarium ($98 \times 47 \times 48$ cm) and connected to a Tascam DR-05 recorder (TEAC, Wiesbaden, Germany). Fish were hand held with the dorsal fn blocked in the tank approximately 3 cm from the hydrophone. Fish were held until sounds were obtained but sessions did not last more than three minutes. Diferent recordings of the same specimens were successively realized when necessary. Although handling has a level of artificiality, it does provoke fish to produce sounds as if they were captured by a predator²⁶. This recording method was chosen because it elicits sounds from the same behavioral context, and it ensures that sounds are produced at the same distance from the hydrophone that was placed in the same part of the tank in order to avoid differences in signal loss^{27–30}. Moreover, this distance also enables fish to remain within the attenuation distance³¹. With this methodology, feature variations within the sounds are due to the fish and not to environmental conditions. Ten sounds of each type were analyzed for each fsh. Afer the recording, each fsh was weighed and measured.

Sound analysis. Sound description is based on sounds from the 27 *Ostracion meleagris* and 5 *Ostracion cubicus*. Sounds were digitized at 44.1 kHz (16-bit resolution) and analyzed with AviSoft- SAS Lab Pro 5.2 software (Avisoft Bioacoustics, Glienicke, Germany). Recordings in small tanks induce potential artifacts because of refections and tank resonance, and an estimated minimum resonant frequencies of 2360Hz was calculated

Figure 4. Left lateral view showing innervation pattern to the sonic muscles. Sonic branches are in red. SO, spino-occipital nerve; S1–S3, axon bundles of frst to third spinal nerve; Vert, vertebral body; X, vagus nerve. Drawings were made by E.P.

following Akamatsu *et al*. 31. A band-pass flter (cutting frequencies: 0.05–2 kHz) was therefore applied to all recordings. Since calls are made of trains of pulses, the following temporal acoustic variables were manually measured on oscillograms: call duration (time from the beginning to the end of the sound, ms), pulse period (time between the onset of two consecutive pulses, ms), pulse duration (time from the beginning to the end of the pulse, ms) and the number of pulses in the sound. Spectral characteristics were obtained from power spectra [Fast Fourier Transform (FFT): 512 points, Hamming window, frequency resolution 4Hz] afer converting them to 4 kHz (16-bit resolution). Dominant frequency (frequency component with greatest energy, Hz) and its associated amplitude (sound pressure level in kPa at 3 cm) were measured.

Statistical analysis. Normality of data was assessed with the Shapiro–Wilk test. Acoustic features and biometric data (size and weight) were correlated by means of Spearman's correlation tests. The characteristics of acoustic features in hums and click sounds within *Ostracion meleagris* (juveniles, females, males) were analyzed using a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a subsequent Dunn's multiple comparison test for pairwise comparisons between categories. Due to low sample size, no intraspecifc comparisons were made in *O. cubicus*. A *t*-test was used to compare acoustic features of hums and clicks between *Ostracion meleagris* and *O. cubicus*. Statistical analyses were carried out with R 3.0.2 or GraphPad (version 5, GraphPad sofware, Inc.).

Results

Morphology. The swimbladder is situated under the vertebral column in an area characterized by the fusion of several abdominal vertebrae with each other and to the skull, forming an osseous complex³². Therefore one can discern neural spines and some spinous processes, but the individual vertebral bodies are not clearly distinguishable. The anterior vertebral column is rod like, but posterior vertebrae show enlarged parapophyses (Fig. 1). The swimbladder is shaped like a large "T": it is composed of a voluminous thick-walled dorsal sac with two prominent lateral anterior lobes (Fig. 1). Behind the lateral lobes, the anterior part of the swimbladder has a broad dorso-lateral area that is deprived of a tunica externa, forming a swimbladder fenestra. The fenestra is partly masked dorsally by the vertebral column that divides it in two equal parts (Fig. 1C). Moreover, two elongated pterygiophores originating from the anal fn form a vice on either side of the posterior swimbladder to which they are laterally attached with connective tissue (Fig. 1C).

Ostracion meleagris possess two pairs of sound-producing muscles that cross at right angles above the fenestra (Figs. 1 and 2). The superficial pair is extrinsic, originating on a tendon (Figs. 1A and $2A$) that attaches to the dorsal side of the vertebral column. The muscles insert on the left and right ventral edges of the swimbladder just beyond the fenestra, and the muscle fbers run laterally. Te deep pair is intrinsic to the swimbladder, running between the anterior and posterior vertical edges of the swimbladder fenestra. The muscle fibers run parallel to the long axis of the fsh. Terefore, the longitudinal intrinsic muscles are perpendicular to the extrinsic sonic muscles and cover the swimbladder fenestra.

Histological sections and TEM views (Fig. 3) show many common characteristics in both muscles. They possess mitochondria of diferent sizes and are also characterized by a dense concentration of sarcoplasmic reticulum

Figure 5. Oscillograms showing the hums and the clicks at diferent time scales in *Ostracion meleagris*. Red dotted rectangle refers to the next oscillograms. Double arrows correspond to the hum pulse duration. Note each pulse is made of diferent cycles that attenuate rapidly.

tubules: larger mitochondria occur mainly under the sarcolemma in the fber periphery whereas smaller ones are distributed throughout the cell interspersed in myofbril-rich areas. In both case, they are generally close to sarcoplasmic reticulum that appear as tubule-rich areas just beneath the sarcolemma at the cell periphery or between fbril bundles. Cell morphology is however variable: some fbers show large spaces deprived of myofbrils and flled with sarcoplasmic reticulum while other cells showed myofbrils over the whole sectioned surface. Both muscles possess many nerve endings, and myelinated nerve sections are obvious on the semi-thin slices. They appeared as dark blue ring-shapes between the medium-blue fbers.

The spinal cord in the Ostraciidae ends abruptly forming a cord-like filum terminale within the first vertebra³³. Both sound-producing muscles were innervated by diferent branches originating from the myelencephalon,

.

Figure 6. Relationship between the number of pulses and the call duration in the hums of *Ostracion meleagris* (white circles, $y = 0.057x + 8.1323$) and *Ostracion cubicus* (black squares, $y = 0.0488x + 19.095$).

	Males $N_{\text{fish}} = 12$ $n_{\text{sounds}} = 120$	Females $N_{fish} = 8$ $n_{\rm sounds}=80$	Juveniles $N_{\text{fish}} = 7$ $n_{\text{sounds}} = 70$
	$\bar{x} \pm s$	$\overline{x} \pm s$	$\overline{x}+s$
Standard length (cm)	7.9 ± 0.6	6.9 ± 0.8	3.8 ± 0.6
Weight (g)	51.5 ± 9.8	$37.8 + 11.5$	$8.6 + 2.6$
Hum	$N_{fish} = 12$	$N_{fish} = 8$	$N_{\text{fish}} = 7$
	$n_{\text{sounds}} = 120$	$n_{\text{sounds}} = 80$	$n_{\rm sounds} = 70$
Call duration (s)	51 ± 17	44 ± 18	38 ± 10
Dominant frequency (Hz)	145 ± 5	148 ± 5	146 ± 4
Nbr of Pulses	749 ± 301	$626 + 254$	$523 + 155$
Pulse period (ms)	64 ± 9	$66 + 11$	$67 + 10$
Click	$N_{\text{fish}} = 10$	$N_{\text{fish}}=8$	$N_{\text{fish}} = 6$
	$n_{pulse}=100$	$n_{pulse}=80$	$n_{\text{pulse}} = 60$
Dominant frequency (Hz)	174 ± 4	173 ± 7	170 ± 3
Pulse duration (ms)	$45 + 5$	44 ± 3	43 ± 2

Table 1. Length, weight and acoustic characteristics of sounds recorded in *Ostracion meleagris*.

Figure 9. Oscillograms of a click and a hum (lower) and of a hum at an expanded time scale (between dotted lines) for *Ostracion cubicus*. Note the lower amplitude of the hum. Double arrows correspond to the pulse duration for a hum. Note the clicks difer from those in *O. meleagris*.

close to the caudal cerebellum, and the spinal cord (Fig. 4). Three nerve roots innervate the muscles. Ventral branches originating from the true spinal nerve one (S1) innervates sonic muscles. They are also innervated by spinal nerves two (S2) and three (S3). S1 and S2 innervate the extrinsic sonic muscles whereas S3 innervate both muscle.

Dissections reveal a similar morphology in *Ostracion cubicus*.

Main sonic characteristics in *Ostracion meleagris***.** Two sound types (hum and click) were simultaneously recorded from the 27 hand-held fsh (Fig. 5). Fish produced the hum continuously and regularly although they were sometimes interspersed with clicks. Hums were found in all recordings, but clicks were sometimes missing and were never produced alone.

Hums consist of long trains of 661 ± 274 low-amplitude pulses (mean \pm s.d.; 27 specimens, 270 sounds, TL: 30–91 mm). The pulse period within trains averaged 65 \pm 10ms, and hum duration (mean 46 \pm 17 sec) correlated with the mean number of pulses (r^2 = 0.84; Fig. 6). The dominant frequency of hum pulses was 146 \pm 5 Hz (Fig. 7).

Clicks consist of isolated pulses that were produced irregularly and randomly during the hums. The boxfish emitted from one to more than 50 clicks during the hum although click sounds are not found in all the hums. The time between two clicks varied from 58ms to 41s, meaning there is no repeatable click period. Pulse duration was $45±4$ ms (mean \pm s.d.; 23 specimens, 230 sounds), and the fundamental frequency was 172 \pm 5 Hz. Click amplitudes (1.7 \pm 0.6 kPa, n = 170) had more than 10 times the sound pressure of hum pulses (0.14 \pm 0.1 kPa, n = 170), equivalent to an amplitude diference of 20dB.

Intraspecifc comparison of the calls in *Ostracion meleagris***.** Fish weight correlated with standard length (r^2 = 0.97, P < 0.001, n = 27) in juveniles, females and males (Fig. 8). Sound duration was 51 \pm 17 s $(n=120)$ in males, 44 ± 18 s $(n=80)$ in females and 38 ± 10 s $(n=70)$ in juveniles (Table 1). However, no significant differences were found between the three groups for dominant frequency (KW, $F_{2,25}=2.22$, $P=0.13$), pulse

Figure 10. Waveform (A) of a sound induced by hammer strike in a male toadfish (Fine *et al.*⁵⁷) and the waveform (**B**) of a click in *Ostracion meleagris*. Note the similarity between both sounds despite the swimbladder was excited in a diferent way. Displacement occurred over two cycles: an initial compression of the swimbladder (N1) followed by an expansion (P1) and a greatly attenuated second cycle (N2–P2) exhibiting rapid damping. The shape is inverted for the hum (C) where there is first a positive peak.

period (F_{2,25} = 1.74, P = 0.19), sound duration (KW, F_{2,25} = 4.57, P = 0.1) and number of pulses (KW, F_{2,25} = 5.54, $P=0.06$) for hums (Table 1).

However, there was a positive relationship between the fish length and both the call duration (r_s = 0.61, $p < 0.005$) and number of pulses ($r_s = 0.61$, $p < 0.005$). Fundamental frequency ($r_s = -0.23$, $p = 0.258$) and pulse period ($r_s = -0.21$, p=0.294) did not change with fish size.

The click dominant frequency was significantly different between the three groups (KW, $F_{2,950} = 168$, P < 0.05). Post-hoc comparisons indicated juveniles (169 \pm 10Hz, n=320) were significantly different (Dunn's test, P < 0.05) from both males (173 \pm 10 Hz, n = 290) and females (172 \pm 11 Hz, n = 340). Similarly pulse duration was significantly shorter (Dunn's test, P < 0.05) in juveniles (43 \pm 1 ms, n = 358) than in males (45 \pm 0.5 ms, $n = 825$) and females (44 ± 0.2 ms, n = 655). However, both dominant frequency ($r_s = 0.53$, P < 0.001) and pulse duration (r_s = 0.29, p < 0,001) increased with fish size. Males produce more clicks per hum than juveniles (Table 1).

Main sonic characteristics in *Ostracion cubicus***.** Again hums and clicks were recorded within a sequence (Fig. 9). Clicks (12.3 \pm 2.6 kPa, n = 247) had 40 times greater sound pressure (32dB increase) than hums $(0.1 \pm 0.05 \text{ kPa}, n=430)$. Hums lasted $40 \pm 8 \text{ s}$ and were composed of 425 ± 142 pulses with a fundamental frequency of 152 ± 4 Hz. Sound duration was related to the number of pulses ($r^2=0.94$). Clicks in *Ostracion cubicus* differ from *Ostracion meleagris*. They consist of short trains of 5 ± 1 pulses lasting 56 ± 8 ms, with a dominant frequency of 189±4Hz. Clicks in *O. cubicus* provided a more complex power spectrum than in *O. meleagris* (Fig. 7).

The comparison of acoustic characteristics between *O. meleagris* and *O. cubicus* showed hums and clicks features were all significantly different (t-test, $p < 0.05$).

Discussion

Sound producing mechanism. Different fish species can use a common mechanism to produce different sounds^{34–36}. In most cases, differences result from temporal variation dictated by the firing pattern of vocal pacemaker neurons^{37,38}. In mechanisms that involve the swimbladder, sound production is generally determined by contraction of a single pair of muscles^{2,18,19}. However, in some species within the Ophidiidae or Carapidae for example, two or three pairs of muscles can be involved^{39–43}. The combined action of these different muscles can produce different sounds types^{35,41,44,45}. However, the ability to produce two distinct sounds simultaneously with two crossing muscles appears to be unique. The sonic muscles of swimbladder-associated sonic mechanisms are classically innervated by the occipital nerve or spinal nerves^{46–48}. There is usually not a combination of spinal and occipital nerves, most probably because of the ontogenetic origin of the sonic muscles⁴⁹. They can be derived from muscles associated with the cranium or from lateral trunk (body) muscles^{48,49} as in both boxfish species. It is worth mentioning several families of catfshes include species that can also use diferent kinds of mechanisms that produce markedly diferent sounds. It is however easier to associate sounds and mechanisms in this taxa because these catfish use the pectoral spine to produce stridulatory sounds⁵⁰ and swimbladder to produce drumming sounds19,51,52. In diferent Scorpaeniformes species (*Apistus* and *Pterois*), intrinsic and extrinsic swimbladder muscles have been described⁵³ but sounds are still to be recorded. Also extrinsic muscles originate on the skull and not on the vertebral column as in boxfish. The complexity of the boxfish supports an important investment in this communication channel and suggests sounds should have important functions in the biology of this taxa.

Sounds can be produced by superfast-contracting muscles^{2,21,54} whose contraction cycle provokes inward and outward movements of the swimbladder wall. Tis cause and efect relationships has been shown in the piranha *Pygocentrus nattereri*55 and the toadfsh *Opsanus tau*21,56. In the study of acoustics of the swimbladder, the action of sonic muscles was mimicked by striking the swimbladder with a piezoelectric impact hammer⁵⁷. These two movements, inward and outward, produced a negative and positive acoustic waveform, respectively. In *O. meleagris*, the oscillogram shape of the click sound is similar to the waveform resulting from the hammer strike in the toadfsh (Fig. 10): a single rapid muscle twitch should create the click. In *O. cubicus*, the click call appears to be made of a train of successive cycles, indicating successive muscle contractions.

In the hums made by *O. meleagris* and *O. cubicus*, the pulse period corresponds to 65ms (or 15Hz) and 83ms (or 12Hz) respectively and not the dominant frequencies of 145 and 154Hz respectively. Therefore the pulse period does not correspond to the muscle contraction rate. However, a deeper examination of hum pulses in the oscillogram reveals they can be made of 1 to 5 cycles having a period between 5 (200Hz) and 8.5 ms (117Hz) (Fig. 5D), which is close to the dominant frequency values. Therefore each pulse within the hums could result from regular packs of one to five muscle contractions (Fig. 5D). The waveform of the hum differs however from that of the click. Diferent hypotheses can be formulated. First, contraction amplitude is likely low in the hum causing shorter displacements of the swimbladder and weaker sounds. Second, the fast successive muscle contractions mask the end of individual hum cycles.

In the framework of this study, two diferent muscles work sequentially to produce a specifc distress call consisting of hums and clicks. The muscles that produce the hum works for a long period of time (up to 130 seconds in this study!) and would be fatigue resistant. The click muscles have less sustained but more powerful contractions resulting in a greater amplitude sound than in the hums. Histological sections of fast sound-producing muscles show a common design: mitochondria are abundant in a large band of sarcoplasm in the fber periphery, and a central core of sarcoplasm can be found in some but not all cases^{51,58-60}. In *O. meleagris*, sonic muscles do not follow this scheme completely since large space devoted to sarcoplasm was not observed in all fibers. They are however characterized by an expanded development of sarcoplasmic reticulum and a low density of myofbrils that are related to fast contraction since the relative percentage by volume of myofbrils is inversely proportional to the speed and directly proportional to force⁶¹. The high concentration of sarcoplasmic reticulum is related to the ability to release and remove rapidly Ca^{2+} from the contraction site⁶². Ultrastructure and sound waveforms therefore support that both muscle pairs contract rapidly since dominant frequency is in the same order of magnitude in hums and clicks (146 *vs* 172Hz).

Because we were unable to highlight qualitative diferences between both muscles, we cannot support the association between a given muscle and a corresponding sound. We however have to suggest an alternate hypothesis. There is an overlapping innervation of intrinsic and extrinsic muscles meaning a click could result from the simultaneous contractions of both sonic muscles. The combined contraction would drive larger swimbladder movements and provoke louder sounds than each muscle separately. In this case, trains of contraction would produce the hum and the additional contraction of the second muscle would provoke the click. Physiological experiments are necessary to test our hypothesis.

Acoustic signals. Of the three previously described sounds in *O. meleagris*16, only the spawning sound shares similarity with the hum because both are of long duration (>1000 ms). However, Lobel (1996) did not describe two sound types produced within a sequence. This difference may relate to the behavioral context in which sounds were recorded. Sounds in the previous study were recorded in the field during the reproduction period¹⁶. In the present study, fsh were held by hand and recorded in a tank. In this situation, the behavior is usually associated with distress^{63,64}. The two studies suggest a large sonic repertoire and supports the importance of acoustic communication in the Ostraciidae.

Two sound types were recorded within the same sequences: hums occur with sporadic clicks. These clicks are short (45 ms), have a dominant frequency of 172Hz and are produced irregularly with a pulse period between 58 ms and 41 s. Clicks were thus irregular and would appear to result from isolated contractions rather than a repeated motor pattern. Hums however are long (*ca* 46 s), can possess hundreds of pulses and are regularly produced with a period between 40 and 90ms. Terefore hums consist of trains of pulses produced by rhythmic neuronal fring38,49,65. Additionally, clicks have at least 10 fold greater amplitude (linear units) than hums in both species.

Intraspecific differences. The hypothesized sound production mechanism in *Ostracion* species is supported by the intraspecifc sonic characteristics in *O. meleagris*. In teleosts, sonic characteristic can vary with fish sex and size^{66,67}. The amplitude variation is mainly related to the sound-producing mechanism. In species in which the muscle contraction rate determines the fundamental frequency, variations between specimen size or sex has little efect on timing of sonic muscle contraction and hence sound characteristic since it depends mainly on muscle twitch parameters¹⁸. In other words, in fish using fast muscles, the size can have a mathematical infuence on the sound characteristics but the fsh would not be able to distinguish such minor variation in frequencies29,68,69. In *O. meleagris*, fsh size correlates with weight and separates into three classes: male, female and juvenile. Males (SL = 7.9 cm) are bigger than females (SL = 6.9 cm), both being obviously bigger than juveniles $(SL=3.8 \text{ cm})$. However, this size difference is not related to the dominant frequency, which differentiates only from 1 to 4Hz (Table 1). In comparison, the diference would be about 400Hz in pomacentrids with the same size variation⁶⁷. Therefore dominant frequency does not provide information about the size or the sex in *O. meleagris*. The small differences between fishes having different sizes would be related to a scaling effect: longer muscles from larger fish takes longer to complete a twitch, providing lower frequencies^{18,68}. In the same way, pulse duration does not provide intraspecifc information. Similarly dominant frequency and pulse period of hum pulses did not difer between males, females and juveniles. If the expected status of distress call use to startle a predator or warn conspecifcs can be confrmed, high intraspecifc information content is not needed here. However, the sound duration indicated intraspecifc diferences since male sounds were longest (51 s), followed by females (44 s) and then juveniles (38 s).

References

- 1. Ruppé, L. *et al*. Environmental constraints drive the partitioning of the soundscape in fshes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **112**, 6092–6097 (2015).
- 2. Fine, M. L. & Parmentier, E. Mechanisms of fsh sound production. In *Sound Communication in Fishes*. (ed. Ladich, F.) 77–126 (Springer, 2015).
- 3. Slabbekoorn, H. *et al*. A noisy spring: Te impact of globally rising underwater sound levels on fsh. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **25**, 419–427 (2010).
- 4. Picciulin, M., Sebastianutto, L., Codarin, A., Calcagno, G. & Ferrero, E. A. Brown meagre vocalization rate increases during repetitive boat noise exposures: a possible case of vocal compensation. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **132**, 3118–3124 (2012).
- 5. Vasconcelos, R. O., Amorim, M. C. P. & Ladich, F. Efects of ship noise on the detectability of communication signals in the Lusitanian toadfsh. *J. Exp. Biol.* **210**, 2104 LP–2112 (2007).
- 6. Tricas, T. C. & Boyle, K. S. Acoustic behaviors in Hawaiian coral reef fsh communities. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **511**, 1–16 (2014).
- 7. Lobel, P. S., Kaatz, I. M. & Rice, A. N. Acoustic behaviour of coral reed fsh. In *Reproduction and sexuality in marine fshes: patterns and procesess* (ed. Cole, K. S.) 307–386 (University of California Press, 2010).
- 8. Lobel, P. S. Te "Choral Reef ": the ecology of underwater sounds. In *Joint International Scientifc Diving Symposium AAUS & ESDP*. (eds Lang, M. A. & Sayer, M.) 179–184 (2013).
- 9. Matsuura, K. Taxonomy and systematics of tetraodontiform fshes: a review focusing primarily on progress in the period from 1980 to 2014. *Ichthyol. Res.* **62**, 72–113 (2015).
- 10. Myers, R. F. *Micronesian Reef Fishes*. (Coral Graphics, 1999).
- 11. Sancho, G., Solow, A. R. & Lobel, P. S. Environmental infuences on the diel timing of spawning in coral reef fshes. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **206**, 193–212 (2000).
- 12. Sancho, G. Factors regulating the height of spawning ascents in trunkfshes (Ostraciidae). *J. Fish Biol.* **53**, 94–103 (1998).
- 13. Cornic, A. *Poissons de l'Ile Maurice*. (1987).
- 14. Debelius, H. *Guide du Récif Corallien. Mer Rouge*. (PLB Editions, 1998).
- 15. Moyer, J. T. Mating strategies and reproductive behavior of ostraciid fshes at Miyake-jima, Japan. *Japanese J. Ichthyol.* **26**, 148–160 (1979).
- 16. Lobel, P. S. Spawning sound of the trunkfsh, *Ostracion meleagris* (Ostraciidae). *Biol. Bull.* **191**, 308–309 (1996).
- 17. Mann, D. & Lobel, P. S. Acoustic behaviour of the damselfsh *Dascyllus albisella*: behavioural and geographic variation. *Environ. Biol. Fishes* **51**, 421–428 (1998).
- 18. Parmentier, E. & Fine, M. L. Fish sound production: insights. In *Vertebrate sound production and acoustic communication*. (eds Suthers, R., Tecumseh, F., Popper, A. N. & Fay, R. R.) 19–49 (Springer, 2016).
- 19. Ladich, F. & Fine, M. L. Sound generating mechanisms in fshes: a unique diversity in vertebrates. In *Communication in Fishes*. (eds Ladich, F., Collin, S. P., Moller, P. & Kapoor, B. G.) 3–34 (Science Publishers, 2006).
- 20. Parmentier, E. & Diogo, R. Evolutionary trends of swimbladder sound mechanism in some teleost fshes. In *Communication in Fishes*. (eds Ladich, F., Collin, S. P., Moller, P. & Kapoor, B. G.) 45–70 (Science Publishers, 2006).
- 21. Fine, M. L., Malloy, K. L., King, C., Mitchell, S. L. & Cameron, T. M. Movement and sound generation by the toadfsh swimbladder. *J. Comp. Physiol. - A Sensory, Neural, Behav. Physiol.* **187**, 371–379 (2001).
- 22. Fine, M. L., King, T. L., Ali, H., Sidker, N. & Cameron, T. M. Wall structure and material properties cause viscous damping of swimbladder sounds in the oyster toadfsh *Opsanus tau*. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **283**, 20161094 (2016).
- 23. Chanet, B., Guintard, C. & Lecointre, G. The gas bladder of puffers and porcupinefishes (Acanthomorpha: Tetraodontiformes): Phylogenetic interpretations. *J. Morphol.* **275**, 894–901 (2014).
- 24. Fish, M. P. & Mowbray, H. M. Sounds of Western North Atlantic Fishes (The Johns Hopkins Press, 1970).
- 25. Richardson, K. C., Jarett, L. & Finke, E. H. Embedding in epoxy resins for ultrathin sectioning in electron microscopy. *Stain Technol.* **35**, 313–323 (1960).
- 26. Oliveira, T. P. R., Ladich, F., Abed-Navandi, D., Souto, A. S. & Rosa, I. L. Sounds produced by the longsnout seahorse: a study of their structure and functions. *J. Zool.* **294**, 114–121 (2014).
- 27. Millot, S. & Parmentier, E. Development of the ultrastructure of sonic muscles: a kind of neoteny? *BMC Evol. Biol.* **14**, 1–9 (2014).
- 28. Markl, H. Schallerzeugung bei Piranhas (Serrasalminae, Characidae). *Z. Vgl. Physiol.* **74**, 39–56 (1971).
- 29. Parmentier, E., Vandewalle, P., Brié, C., Dinraths, L. & Lecchini, D. Comparative study on sound production in different Holocentridae species. *Front. Zool.* **8**, 12 (2011).
- 30. Parmentier, E., Boyle, K. S., Berten, L., Brié, C. & Lecchini, D. Sound production and mechanism in *Heniochus chrysostomus* (Chaetodontidae). *J. Exp. Biol.* **214**, 2702–2708 (2011).
- 31. Akamatsu, T., Okumura, T., Novarini, N. & Yan, H. Y. Empirical refnements applicable to the recording of fsh sounds in small tanks. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **112**, 3073–3082 (2002).
- 32. Klassen, G. J. Phylogeny and biogeography of the Ostraciinae (Tetraodontiformes: Ostraciidae). *Bulletin of Marine Science* **57**, 393–441 (1995).
- 33. Uehara, M., Hosaka, Y. Z., Doi, H. & Sakai, H. Te shortened spinal cord in tetraodontiform fshes. *J. Morphol.* **276**, 290–300 (2015). 34. Parmentier, E., Lecchini, D. & Mann, D. A. Sound production in damselfshes. In *Biology of damselfshes*. (eds Frédérich, B. & Parmentier, E.) 204–228 (CRC Press, Taylor & Francis, 2016).
- 35. Parmentier, É., Colleye, O. & Lecchini, D. New insights into sound production in *Carapus mourlani* (Carapidae). *Bull. Mar. Sci*. **92** (2016).
- 36. Onuki, A. & Somiya, H. Two types of sounds and additional spinal nerve innervation to the sonic muscle in John Dory, Zeus faber (Zeiformes: Teleostei). *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* **84**, 843–850 (2004).
- 37. Bass, A. H. & Baker, R. Sexual dimorphisms in the vocal control system of a teleost fsh: morphology of physiologically identifed neurons. *J. Neurobiol.* **21**, 1155–1168 (1990).
- 38. Bass, A. H. & McKibben, J. R. Neural mechanisms and behaviors for acoustic communication in teleost fsh. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **69**, 1–26 (2003).
- 39. Parmentier, E., Bouillac, G., Dragicevic, B., Dulcic, J. & Fine, M. Call properties and morphology of the sound-producing organ in *Ophidion rochei* (Ophidiidae). *J. Exp. Biol.* **213**, 3230–3236 (2010).
- 40. Kéver, L. *et al*. Sexual dimorphism of sonic apparatus and extreme intersexual variation of sounds in *Ophidion rochei* (Ophidiidae): frst evidence of a tight relationship between morphology and sound characteristics in Ophidiidae. *Front. Zool*. 9–34 (2012).
- 41. Kéver, L. *et al*. Sound production in *Onuxodon fowleri* (Carapidae) and its amplifcation by the host shell. *J. Exp. Biol.* **217**, 4283–4294 (2014)
- 42. Rose, J. A. Anatomy and sexual dimorphism of the swim bladder and vertebral column in *Ophidion holbrooki* (Pisces: Ophidiidae). *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **11**, 280–308 (1961).
- 43. Ali, H. A., Mok, H.-K. & Fine, M. L. Development and sexual dimorphism of the sonic system in deep sea neobythitine fshes: Te upper continental slope. *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* **115**, 293–308 (2016).
- 44. Parmentier, E., Lagardère, J. P., Chancerelle, Y., Dufrane, D. & Eeckhaut, I. Variations in sound-producing mechanism in the pearlfsh Carapini (Carapidae). *J. Zool.* **276**, 266–275 (2008).
- 45. Parmentier, E., Bahri, M. A., Plenevaux, A., Fine, M. L. & Estrada, J. M. Sound production and sonic apparatus in deep-living cuskeels (*Genypterus chilensis* and *Genypterus maculatus*). *Deep Sea Res. Part I* **141**, 83-92 (2018)
- 46. Carlson, B. A. & Bass, A. H. Sonic/vocal motor pathways in squirrelfsh (Teleostei, Holocentridae). *Brain. Behav. Evol.* **56**, 14–28 (2000)
- 47. Yoshimoto, M., Kikuchi, K., Yamamoto, N., Somiya, H. & Ito, H. Sonic motor nucleus and its connections with octaval and lateral line nuclei of the medulla in a rockfsh, *Sebastiscus marmoratus*. *Brain. Behav. Evol.* **54**, 183–204 (1999).
- 48. Onuki, A. & Somiya, H. Innervation of sonic muscles in teleosts: occipital vs. spinal nerves. *Brain Behav. Evol.* **69**, 132–141 (2007).
- 49. Bass, A. H., Chagnaud, B. P. & Feng, N. Y. Comparative neurobiology of fshes. In *Sound Communication in Fishes. Animal Signals and Communication*. (ed. Ladich, F.) 35–75 (Springer, 2015).
- 50. Parmentier, E. *et al*. Functional study of the pectoral spine stridulation mechanism in diferent mochokid catfshes. *J. Exp. Biol.* **213**, 1107–1114 (2010).
- 51. Ladich, F. Sound-generating and -detecting motor system in catfsh: design of swimbladder muscles in doradids and pimelodids. *Anat. Rec.* **263**, 297–306 (2001).
- 52. Ladich, F. Comparative analysis of swimbladder (drumming) and pectoral (stridulation) sounds in three families of catfshes. *Bioacoustics* **8**, 185–208 (1997).
- 53. Yabe, M. Comparative osteology and myology of the superfamily Cottoidea (Pisces: Scorpaeniformes) and its phylogenetic classifcation. 32: 1–130. *Mem. Fac. FIisheries, Hokkaido Univ.* **32**, 1–130 (1985).
- 54. Young, I. S. & Rome, L. C. Mutually exclusive muscle designs: the power output of the locomotory and sonic muscles of the oyster toadfsh (*Opsanus tau*). *Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. B Biol. Sci.* **268**, 1965–1970 (2001).
- 55. Millot, S., Vandewalle, P. & Parmentier, E. Sound production in red-bellied piranhas (*Pygocentrus nattereri*, Kner): an acoustical, behavioural and morphofunctional study. *J. Exp. Biol.* **214**, 3613–3618 (2011).
- 56. Tavolga, W. N. *Review of marine bio-acoustics, state of the art* (1964).
- 57. Fine, M. L., King, C. B. & Cameron, T. M. Acoustical properties of the swimbladder in the oyster toadfsh *Opsanus tau*. *J. Exp. Biol.* **212**, 3542–3552 (2009).
- 58. Fine, M. L., Bernard, B. & Harris, T. M. Functional morphology of toadfsh sonic muscle fbers: relationship to possible fber division. *Can. J. Zool.* **71**, 2262–2274 (1993).
- 59. Boyle, K. S., Riepe, S., Bolen, G. & Parmentier, E. Variation in swim bladder drumming sounds from three doradid catfsh species with similar sonic morphologies. *J. Exp. Biol.* **218**, 2881–2891 (2015).
- 60. Boyle, K. S., Bolen, G. & Parmentier, E. Agonistic sounds and swim bladder morphology in a malapterurid electric catfsh. *J. Zool.* **296**, 249–260 (2015).
- 61. Rome, L. C., Syme, D. A., Hollingworth, S., Lindstedt, S. L. & Baylor, S. M. Te whistle and the rattle: the design of sound producing muscles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 8095–8100 (1996).
- 62. Feher, J., Waybright, T. & Fine, M. Comparison of sarcoplasmic reticulum capabilities in toadfsh (*Opsanus tau*) sonic muscle and rat fast twitch muscle. *J. Muscle Res. Cell Motil.* **19**, 661–674 (1998).
- 63. Ladich, F. & Myrberg, A. A. J. In *Communication in fshes*. (eds Ladich, F., Collin, S. P., Moller, P. & Kapoor, B. G.) 122–148 (Science Publishers, 2006).
- 64. Ladich, F. Agonistic behaviour and signifcance of sounds in vocalizing fsh. *Mar. Freshw. Behav. Physiol.* **29**, 87–108 (1997).
- 65. Bass, A. H. & Ladich, F. Vocal acoustic communication: from neurons to behaviour. In *Fish Bioacoustics*. (eds Popper, A. N., Fay, R. R. & Webb, J. F.) 253–278 (Springer, 2008).
- 66. Fine, M. L. & Waybright, T. D. Grunt variation in the oyster toadfsh *Opsanus tau*: efect of size and sex. *PeerJ* **3**, e1330 (2015). 67. Colleye, O., Frederich, B., Vandewalle, P., Casadevall, M. & Parmentier, E. Agonistic sounds in the skunk clownfsh *Amphiprion*
- *akallopisos*: size-related variation in acoustic features. *J. Fish Biol.* **75**, 908–916 (2009). 68. Connaughton, M. A., Taylor, M. H. & Fine, M. L. Efects of fsh size and temperature on weakfsh disturbance calls: implications for
- the mechanism of sound generation. *J. Exp. Biol.* **203**, 1503–1512 (2000).
- 69. Mélotte, G., Vigouroux, R., Michel, C. & Parmentier, E. Interspecifc variation of warning calls in piranhas: a comparative analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 36127 (2016).

Acknowledgements

Tis research was funded by a grant from the Fonds De La Recherche Scientifque - FNRS [T.0056.13 to E.P.] and by a grant from PSL Environnement (project: Pesticor), LabEx CORAIL (project: Etape) awarded to DL. We are grateful to M. Bournonville, C. Michel and the team of the Aquarium-Museum of Liège (Belgium) for helping with maintenance of some specimens.

Author Contributions

E.P. and D.L. designed the experiments. L.S. carried out the frst experiments and analysed the data with the help of F.B., M.F. and X.R. The analysis of the sound producing mechanism has been realised by E.P. and M.N. whereas P.C. and S.S. have worked on the histology. E.P. made the drawings. E.P. and M.F. wrote the manuscript. All authors gave fnal approval for submission.

Additional Information

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

T Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \odot License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2019$